



Health Choice



**Medicaid
Managed Care Organization
Early and Periodic Screening,
Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT)
Medical Record Review Report
Annual Report
Calendar Year 2009**

Submitted by:
Delmarva Foundation
November 2010



HealthChoice and Acute Care Administration
Division of HealthChoice Management
and Quality Assurance

Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT) Medical Record Review 2009 Annual Report

Introduction

This Annual Report summarizes the findings from the EPSDT medical record review for Calendar Year (CY) 2009. Approximately 470,000 children were enrolled in HealthChoice (HC) during this period.

The seven MCOs evaluated for CY 2009 were:

- AMERIGROUP Community Care (ACC)
- Diamond Plan from Coventry Health Care, Inc. (DIA)
- MedStar Family Choice, Inc. (MSFC)
- Jai Medical Systems, Inc. (JMS)
- Maryland Physicians Care (MPC)
- Priority Partners (PPMCO)
- UnitedHealthcare (UHC)

The EPSDT Program is the federally mandated Medicaid program for screening, prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of physical and mental health conditions in children and youth through 20 years of age [as defined by Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act (OBRA) 1989]. Each State determines its own periodicity schedule for services including periodic physical and mental health screening, vision, dental, and hearing services.

The Program's philosophy is to provide quality health care that is patient focused, prevention oriented, coordinated, accessible, and cost effective. The foundation of this is based on providing a "medical home" for each enrollee. This is accomplished by connecting each enrollee with a primary care provider (PCP) who is responsible for providing preventive and primary care services, managing referrals, and coordinating all necessary care for the enrollee. The Program emphasizes health promotion and disease prevention, and requires that enrollees be provided health education and outreach services.

As the External Quality Review Organization (EQRO) for the Division of HealthChoice Management and Quality Assurance (DHMQA), Delmarva Foundation (Delmarva) annually evaluates the quality assurance program and activities of each managed care organization (MCO) contracting with the State of Maryland to provide care to Medical Assistance enrollees in the HealthChoice Program. The medical records review findings assist the Department in evaluating the degree to which HealthChoice children are receiving timely screening and preventive care.

Program Objectives

The Maryland EPSDT Program's mission is to promote access to and assure availability of quality health care for Medical Assistance children, and youth through 20 years of age. In support of the program's mission, the primary objective of the EPSDT medical record review is to collect and analyze data to assess the timely delivery of EPSDT services to children and youth enrolled in a Managed Care Organization (MCO). The review includes an assessment of MCO performance for the following EPSDT components and their respective subcategories:

Health and developmental history requires a comprehensive evaluation and includes documentation of:

- Annual medical, immunization, family, and psychosocial histories with yearly updates.
- Perinatal history up through 2 years of age.
- Developmental history/screening at each visit.
- Mental health assessment beginning at 3 years of age.
- Substance abuse screening beginning at 12 years of age, younger if indicated.

Comprehensive, unclothed, physical exam requires evaluation and includes documentation of:

- A complete assessment of no fewer than five body systems.
- Age appropriate vision and hearing assessments at every visit.
- Nutritional assessment at every age.
- Oral assessment at all ages.
- Height and weight measurement with graphing through 20 years of age.
- Head circumference measurement and graphing through 2 years of age.

Laboratory tests/at risk screenings require documentation of:

- Hereditary/metabolic screening test results at birth and again by 1 month* of age.
- Age appropriate risk assessment results for tuberculosis, cholesterol, and sexually transmitted diseases.
- Counseling and/or laboratory test results for at risk recipients.
- Anemia tests at 12** and 24*** months of age.
- Lead risk assessment for 6 months through 6 years of age.
- Referral to the lab for lead testing at appropriate ages.
- Blood lead tests results at 12** and 24*** months of age.
- Blood lead test results for ages 3 through 5 years of age when not done at 12 or 24 months of age.

NOTES: *accepted until 8 weeks of age, **accepted from 9-23 months of age, ***accepted from 24-35 months of age

Immunizations require assessment of need and documented administration that:

- The Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH) Immunization Schedule is being implemented in accordance with the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) guidelines.
- Age appropriate vaccines are not postponed for inappropriate reasons.
- Children are brought current with the periodicity schedule of preventive care who are delayed in their immunizations.

Health education and anticipatory guidance requires documentation of:

- Age appropriate guidance with a minimum of three anticipatory guidance items or two major topics documented per visit.
- Counseling/referrals for health issues identified by parent or provider during the visit.
- Oral health assessment following eruption of teeth; yearly dental education and referrals beginning at 2 years of age.
- Educating recipient and/or parent regarding schedule of preventive care visits.

CY 2009 EPSDT Review Process

Sampling Methodology

The sample frame was drawn from preventive care encounters occurring during calendar year 2009 for children from birth through 20 years of age. The sampling methodology includes the following criteria:

- A random sample of preventive care encounters per MCO including a 10% over sample.
- Sample size per MCO provides a 95 percent confidence level and 5 percent margin of error.
- Sample includes only recipients through 20 years of age as of the last day of the measurement year.
- Sample includes encounter data for recipients enrolled on last day of measurement year, and for at least 320 days in the same MCO. **Exception** – If the recipient’s age on the last day of selected period is less than 365 days, the criteria is modified to read same MCO for 180 days, with no break in eligibility.
- Sample includes recipients who had a preventive care encounter (CPT 99381-85 or 99391-95) with a diagnostic code of V20 or V70 (For children less than 2 years of age who may have had 4-6 preventive visits within a 12-month period, only one date of service was selected.)
- Sample includes recipients when visits with CPT 99381-85 or 99391-95 were provided by primary care providers and clinics with the following specialties: pediatrics, family practice, internal medicine, nurse practitioner, general practice or a Federally Qualified Health Center (FQHC).

Scoring Methodology

Data from the medical record reviews were entered into the Delmarva EPSDT Evaluation Tool. The analysis of the data was organized by the following age groupings:

- birth through 11 months,
- 12 through 35 months,
- 3 through 5 years,
- 6 through 11 years, and
- 12 through 20 years of age.

Within each age group, specific elements were scored based on medical record documentation. A score of two meant the element was complete; a score of one meant that the element was incomplete; a score of zero meant the element was missing. When an element is not applicable to a child such as a vision assessment for a blind child or a documented refusal for a flu vaccine by a parent, a score of two was given.

Elements, each weighted equally, within a component were scored and added together to derive the final component score. Similarly, the composite score (or overall score) follows the same methodology.

The scoring methodology produced a result that reflected the percentage of possible points obtained in each component, for each age group, and for each MCO. The minimum per component compliance score is 70%. If the minimum compliance score is not met, a Corrective Action Plan is required.

Medical Record Review Process

Medical records were randomly selected in order to assess compliance with the program standards. Nurse reviewers conducted all medical record reviews in the provider offices with the exception of providers with only one child in the sample. These providers were given the option to mail or fax a complete copy of the medical record to Delmarva for review. In total, 2,605 medical records were reviewed for CY 2009.

The review criteria used by Delmarva review nurses was the same as those developed and used by the Department. Delmarva completed annual training and conducted inter-rater reliability (IRR). The review nurses achieved a score of 88% prior to the beginning of the CY 2009 EPSDT Medical Record Review. IRR scores increased to over 90% during the review process.

EPSDT Review Results

EPSDT review indicators are based on current pediatric preventive care guidelines and DHMH identified priority areas. The guidelines and criteria are divided into five component areas. Each MCO was required to meet a minimum compliance rate of 70% for each of the five components. If an MCO did not achieve the minimum compliance rate, the MCO was required to submit a Corrective Action Plan (CAP). All MCOs met the minimum compliance rate of 70% in each of the five component areas for the CY 2009 review. Therefore, no CAPs were required.

Findings for the CY 2009 EPSDT review by component area are described in Table 1.

Table 1. CY 2009 EPSDT Component Results by MCO

Component	ACC	DIA	JMS	MPC	MSFC	PPMCO	UHC	HealthChoice Aggregate CY 2007 Jan – Dec 2007 Encounter Data	HealthChoice Aggregate CY 2008 Jan – Dec 2008 Encounter Data	HealthChoice Aggregate CY 2009 Jan – Dec 2009 Encounter Data
Health & Developmental History	82%	87%	97%	86%	88%	86%	80%	81%	85%	86%
Comprehensive Physical Examination	93%	92%	94%	92%	94%	94%	92%	91%	92%	93%
Laboratory Tests/At Risk Screenings	80%	77%	96%	77%	78%	78%	74%	74%	78%	80%
Immunizations	87%	80%	87%	85%	85%	89%	85%	93%	93%	85%
Health Education/Anticipatory Guidance	86%	87%	97%	85%	91%	86%	83%	88%	89%	88%

The following section provides a description of each component along with a summary of HealthChoice MCOs' performance.

Health and Developmental History

Rationale: A comprehensive personal and family medical history assists the provider in determining health risks and providing appropriate laboratory testing and anticipatory guidance.

Components: Personal history includes medical, developmental, psychosocial, and mental health information, as well as the immunization record. Psychosocial history assesses support systems and exposure to family and/or community violence which may adversely affect the child's mental health. Developmental, mental health and substance abuse screenings determine the need for referral and/or follow-up services. The mental health assessment provides an overall view of the child's personality, behaviors, social interactions, affect, and temperament.

Documentation: Annual updates for personal, family, and psychosocial histories are required to ensure the most current information is available. The use of a standard, age appropriate history form, such as the Maryland Healthy Kids Program Medical/Family History or a similarly comprehensive history form are recommended, such as the CRAFFT Assessment Tool from Children's Hospital Boston.

Health and Developmental History Results

- All MCO's scores exceeded the minimum compliance rate of 70% for the Health and Developmental History component in CY 2009.

- The HealthChoice Aggregate score has increased for this component for the past two consecutive years. From CY 2007 to CY 2008 the aggregate score increased by 4% and from CY 2008 to CY 2009 the score increased by 1%. The current HealthChoice Aggregate score for the Health and Developmental History component is 86%.

Comprehensive Physical Exam

Rationale: The comprehensive physical exam by a review of systems method requires documentation of a minimum of five systems to meet EPSDT standards.

Components & Documentation: A comprehensive physical exam includes documentation of:

- Subjective or objective vision and hearing assessments at every well-child visit.
- Measuring and graphing head circumference through 2 years of age.
- Recording blood pressure annually for children 3 years of age and older.
- Oral assessment including a visual exam of the mouth, gums, and teeth.
- Nutritional assessment including typical diet, physical activity, and education provided with graphing of weight and height through 20 years of age on the growth chart.
- Appropriate referrals for nutrition services and/or counseling due to identified nutrition or growth problems.

Comprehensive Physical Exam Results

- All MCO's scores exceeded the minimum compliance rate for the Comprehensive Physical Exam component for CY 2009. All MCOs scored greater than 91% for this component.
- The HealthChoice Aggregate score increased for this component for the past two consecutive years. From CY 2007 to CY 2008 the aggregate score increased by 1% and from CY 2008 to CY 2009 the score increased by 1%. The current HealthChoice Aggregate score for the Comprehensive Physical Exam component is 93%.

Laboratory Tests/At Risk Screenings

Rationale: The Healthy Kids Program requires assessments of risk factors associated with heart disease, anemia, tuberculosis, lead exposure, and sexually transmitted disease/human immunodeficiency virus (STD/HIV).

Components: Assessment of risk factors includes:

- Tuberculosis risk assessment beginning at 1 year of age.
- Heart disease/cholesterol risk assessment beginning at 2 years of age.
- Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD)/HIV risk assessment beginning at 12 years of age.
- Lead risk assessment (A positive lead risk assessment necessitates blood lead testing at any age. In addition, blood lead levels must be obtained at 12** and 24*** months.)
- Blood testing of hematocrit or hemoglobin at 12** and 24*** months of age, at the same time as the blood lead test (On the initial visit for all children 2 through 5 years of age, unless previous test results are available, a hematocrit or hemoglobin is required.)
- A second hereditary/metabolic screen (lab test) by 2-4 weeks* of age.

Notes: *accepted until 8 weeks of age; **accepted from 9-23 months of age; ***accepted from 24-35 months of age

Laboratory/ At Risk Screening Results

- All MCO's scores exceeded the minimum compliance rate of 70% for the Laboratory Tests/At Risk Screenings component for CY 2009, however historically this component score has represented an area in need of improvement for six of the seven MCOs.
- The HealthChoice Aggregate score increased for this component for the past two consecutive years. From CY 2007 to CY 2008 the aggregate score increased by 4% and from CY 2008 to CY 2009 the score increased by 2%. The current HealthChoice Aggregate score for the Laboratory Tests/At Risk Screenings component is 80%.

Immunizations

Rationale: Children on Medical Assistance must be immunized according to the Maryland DHMH Recommended Childhood Immunization Schedule. The immunization schedule is endorsed by The Maryland State Medical Society, and is based on the current recommendations of the U.S. Public Health Service's Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices and the American Academy of Pediatrics. Primary care providers who see Medicaid recipients up to 19 years of age must participate in the Department's Vaccines for Children (VFC) Program.

Documentation: The VFC Program requires completion of the VFC Patient Eligibility Screening Record for each patient receiving free vaccines. Additionally, federal law requires documentation of date, dosage, site of administration, manufacturer, lot number, publication date of Vaccine Information Statement (VIS), and name/location of provider. All required vaccines must be available at the provider sites to ensure that children will receive needed vaccines to avoid a missed opportunity to vaccinate.

Immunizations Results

- All MCO's scores exceeded the minimum compliance rate of 70% for this component for CY 2009. The following Immunizations were included in the calculation for this component for the first time in CY 2009:
 - Meningococcal Vaccine (MCV4) – HealthChoice Aggregate score was 72%.
 - Hepatitis A Vaccine – HealthChoice Aggregate score was 83%.
- The HealthChoice Aggregate score for the Influenza Vaccine showed the greatest decline. The HealthChoice Aggregate score in CY 2008 was 69% and for CY 2009 declined to 62%. MCOs were encouraged to continue efforts to improve administration of this vaccine according to the Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene Recommended Childhood and Adolescent Immunization Schedule.
- The HealthChoice Aggregate score for the Immunization Component decreased 8% from CY 2008 to CY 2009 and is currently 85%. The decline was likely due to the introduction of two new immunizations into the scoring and last year's emphasis on the H1N1 vaccine rather than the regular Influenza vaccine.

Health Education/Anticipatory Guidance

Rationale: Health education enables the patient and family to make informed decisions about their own health. Anticipatory guidance provides the family with information on what to expect in terms of the child's current and next developmental stage. Information should be provided about the benefits of healthy lifestyles and practices, as well as injury and disease prevention.

Components: A minimum of three topics must be discussed at each Healthy Kids Preventive Care visit. These topics may include, but are not limited to social interactions, parenting, nutrition, health, play, communication, sexuality, and injury prevention. Annual routine dental referrals beginning at 2 years of age for the purpose of educating the parents about appropriate dental care, providing a cursory view of the child's dental health, and familiarizing the child with the dental equipment are required. Scheduling the next preventive care visit and educating the family about the schedule of preventive care increases the chances of having the child/adolescent return for future preventive care visits. Additionally, follow-up for missed appointments needs to occur as soon as possible when the well child visit is missed to prevent the child/adolescent from becoming "lost to care."

Documentation: The primary care provider must document whenever 2-year intervals for preventive care are the usual and customary schedule of the practice instead of annual visits.


Health Education/Anticipatory Guidance Results

- All MCO's scores exceeded the minimum compliance rate for the Health Education/Anticipatory Guidance component for CY 2009.

- The HealthChoice Aggregate score from CY 2007 to CY 2008 increased by 1% and decreased by 1% from CY 2008 to CY 2009 for the Health Education/Anticipatory Guidance component. The current HealthChoice Aggregate score for this component is 88%.

Figure 1 compares the HealthChoice Aggregate Rates for three reporting periods: January 1 – December 31, 2007 (CY 2007), January 1 – December 31, 2008 (CY 2008), and January 1 – December 31, 2009 (CY 2009).

Figure 1. HealthChoice Aggregate Rates for EPSDT Program Review Components for CY 2007 through CY 2009



From CY 2007 to CY 2008, HealthChoice Aggregate increased for each component with the exception of Immunizations which remained unchanged. This resulted in the total composite score increasing by 2%.

From CY 2008 to CY 2009 HealthChoice Aggregate increased from 1% to 2% for three of the five components and decreased 1% to 8% for two components. Most notable was the 8% decrease in the Immunizations component. These changes resulted in the total composite score decreasing 1%.

Corrective Action Plan Process

DHMH sets high performance standards for the Healthy Kids Program. All MCOs scored above the 70% minimum compliance score for all five components; therefore, no corrective action plans were required.

Conclusions

The results of the EPSDT review demonstrates strong compliance with the timely screening and preventive care requirements of the HealthChoice/ EPSDT Program. Scores for three of the five components increased for the past two consecutive years. This demonstrates the PCP and MCOs ongoing commitment to provide care that is patient focused and prevention oriented. For the past two consecutive years all MCOs met the minimum compliance rate in each of the five component areas, therefore no CAPs have been required.