

IN THE MATTER OF

\* BEFORE THE

JOHN C. ANDREADAKIS

\* MARYLAND BOARD OF PHARMACY

\* \* \* \* \*

FINDING OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

Pursuant to the provisions of Article 43, §266A of the Annotated Code of Maryland, the Commissioners of the Maryland Board of Pharmacy (the "Board") determined to charge John C. Andreadakis, a person licensed to practice pharmacy in the State of Maryland by the Board, with violation of Article 43, §266A(C)i and §266A(C)ii:

- (i) Conviction of a crime of moral turpitude.
- (ii) Conviction of a crime involving professional misconduct respecting the pharmacy laws of this or any other state.

Appropriate written notice of the charges was given to Respondent and a hearing was duly convened before the Board on March 18, 1981, at which time the Respondent was present with his attorney, Gerard Martin, Esquire. A quorum of the Board was present. The case against the Respondent was presented to the Board by Susan K. Gauvey, Assistant Attorney General.

Ms. Gauvey introduced the following exhibits:

Exhibit I - Certified Docket Entries, Circuit Court for Baltimore County, State of Maryland v. Andreadakis, Case No. 69449.

Exhibit II - Criminal Information; Statement of Plea Negotiations; Statement of Facts.

Exhibit III - Transcript of September 25, 1980 trial before Judge Raine.

Exhibit IV - Transcript of December 17, 1980 sentencing proceedings before Judge Raine.

Ms. Gauvey then rested the case on behalf of the Board.

Mr. Martin then proceeded to present the case on

behalf of the Respondent. Testimony was heard from Mr. William

Respondent's Exhibit I - A letter and recommendation from Mr. Andreadakis' employer, I. Barry Statter, of Edward's Pharmacy, Inc.

After closing argument, the hearing was concluded.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

From the admissions of Mr. Andreadakis and from the uncontested evidence produced, the Board finds:

1. That Mr. Andreadakis for a period of three years illegally distributed Quaaludes, a controlled dangerous substance under Article 27, §279, as part of a scheme with a Mr. Keller.

2. That Mr. Andreadakis cooperated with police and was charged with unlawful distribution of a controlled dangerous substance, Quaalude, under Article 27, §286 of the Maryland Code and admitted to the Court his involvement with this distribution.

3. That Mr. Andreadakis, after a finding of guilt by the Criminal Court of Baltimore County of violation of Article 27, §286, was put on probation for three years, sentence suspended.

4. That the Respondent has admitted to the charges the Board has placed and offered evidence in mitigation of any sentence the Board might impose, citing the severe strain he was under, financially and emotionally, at the time of the crimes.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

From the foregoing findings of fact, the Board makes the following conclusion of law:

Respondent is guilty of the charges placed, to wit:  
Violation of Article 43, §266A(C)i and §266A(C)ii:

ORDER

Upon the foregoing findings of fact and conclusions of law, and based on the Board's perception of the Respondent's remorse and belief that Respondent can again become a productive member of society and that Respondent will not again engage in criminal conduct, it is this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 1981, by the unanimous vote of the members of the Maryland Board of Pharmacy hearing this case,

ORDERED that the license to practice pharmacy in the State of Maryland heretofore issued to Respondent by the Board is hereby REVOKED; and be it further

ORDERED that the aforesaid revocation shall be immediately STAYED and the Respondent placed on PROBATION for three years upon the following conditions of probation:

1. That Respondent report to the Board on the third Wednesday of every month, at a place and at a time specified by the Board. It is Respondent's obligation to learn from the Board where and at what time he should meet with the Board since he will not be specifically so advised.
2. That Respondent immediately report to the Board any change in his employment.
3. That Respondent advise his employers of this proceeding and the current status of his license, and be it further  
ORDERED that if the Respondent violates any of the conditions of probation, the aforesaid stay shall be withdrawn and his license to practice pharmacy shall be revoked beginning from the date of any such violation of a condition of his probation.