MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND MENTAL HYGIENE

+ + +

HEALTH ENTERPRISE ZONES SUMMIT:

SUSTAINING SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH PROGRAMS

+ + +

November 3, 2016 8:30 a.m.

Doordan Institute Conference Center Anne Arundel Medical Center 2001 Medical Parkway Annapolis, MD 21401

MAURA DWYER, Dr.P.H., M.P.H.

Director, Office of Data, Systems Integration and New Initiatives

Prevention and Health Promotion Administration Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

VAN MITCHELL

Secretary

Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

STEPHEN THOMAS, Ph.D., M.S.

Professor, Health Services Administration University of Maryland, School of Public Health Director, Maryland Center for Health Equity

E. ALBERT REECE, M.D., Ph.D., M.B.A. Vice President for Medical Affairs University of Maryland John Z. and Akiko K. Bowers Distinguished Professor and Dean

PRESENTERS:

MICHELLE SPENCER, M.S.

Director

Prevention and Health Promotion Administration Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

DARRELL J. GASKIN, Ph.D.

Professor

Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health Director

Hopkins Center for Health Disparities Solutions

ANGELA MERCIER

HEZ Director

Caroline-Dorchester's Competent Care Connections Dorchester County Health Department

ERNEST CARTER, M.D., Ph.D.

Deputy Health Officer and Project Director Prince George's County Health Department

PATRICIA CZAPP, M.D., FAAFP Chair, Clinical Integration Anne Arundel Medical Center

SHARON CAMERON

Manager, AAMC Community Clinics, LLC HEZ Administrator

LORI WERRELL, M.P.H., MCHES
HEZ Project Director
Director, Population and Community Health
MedStar St. Mary's Hospital

MAHA SAMPATH, M.H.S.A.

Director, Health Enterprise Zone Bon Secours Baltimore Health Systems

JENNIFER SULIN-STAIR, M.S.

Program Coordinator, Get Well Services

HOWARD HAFT, M.D., M.M.M., CPE, FACPE Deputy Secretary, Public Health Services Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

VICTORIA W. BAYLESS, FACHE President and CEO, Anne Arundel Medical Center

SAMUEL ROSS, M.D., M.S. CEO, Bon Secours Baltimore Health System

STEPHEN T. MICHAELS, M.D. Chief Operating and Medical Officer MedStar St. Mary's Hospital

KENNETH KOZEL, M.B.A., FACHE
President and CEO
University of Maryland Shore Regional Health

TIFFANY SULLIVAN, M.P.H.

Vice President for Community and Population Health Dimensions Healthcare System

CARLESSIA HUSSEIN, RN, Dr.P.H.
Immediate Past Director
Office of Minority Health and Health Disparities
Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

DAVID KROL, M.D., M.P.H., FAAP Senior Program Officer Robert Wood Johnson Foundation

DAVID A. MANN, M.D., Ph.D. Epidemiologist Office of Minority Health and Health Disparities Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

STEPHEN M. PORTS Director, Center for Engagement and Alignment Maryland Health Services Cost Review Commissions

NICOLE DEMPSEY STALLINGS, MPP Vice President, Policy & Data Analytics Maryland Hospital Association

TRICIA RODDY
Director, Office of Planning
Maryland Medicaid

DAVID WEINMAN

Senior Program Manager, Organizational Operations Camden Coalition of Healthcare Providers

GLEN P. MAYS, Ph.D., M.P.H.

F. Douglas Scutchfield Professor of Health
Services & Systems Research
University of Kentucky, College of Public Health
Free State Reporting, Inc.
1378 Cape St. Claire Road
Annapolis, MD 21409

(410) 974-0947

STEPHEN M. PRATT
President, Impact Catalysts

ALSO PARTICIPATING:

SUSAN JOHNSON, RN, M.P.H. Vice President of Quality and Population Health Choptank Community Health

DORELEENA SAMMONS HACKETT

Executive Director

Directors of Health Promotion and Education

JUNE CASTRO
Operations Specialist
MedStar St. Mary's Hospital

TROY JACOBS, M.D. Pediatrician

TOMIKO SHINE RAPP Campaign

ELIZABETH CHUNG Commissioner Maryland Community Health Resources Commissions

ANTOINETTE WILLIAMS
Consultant, West Baltimore HEZ

SADIE PETERSON Medical Director, Center for Chronic Diseases Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

JIE CHEN, Ph.D. University of Maryland

KAREN-ANN LICHTENSTEIN CEO, The Coordinating Center

KARI ALPEROVITZ-BICHELL, M.D. Morris Blum Clinic

VERN SHIRD
Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

	J
INDEX	
	PAGE
OPENING REMARKS - Maura Dwyer, Dr.P.H., M.P.H.	8
WELCOME - Secretary Van Mitchell	10
PRESENTATIONS	
Maryland's Health Enterprise Zones Summit: Sustaining Social Determinants of Health Programs — History and Background - Michelle Spencer, M.S.	15
Initial Findings: HEZ External Evaluation - Darrell J. Gaskin, Ph.D.	26
INTRODUCTION OF MASTER OF CEREMONIES - Stephen Thomas, Ph.D.	41
WELCOME - E. Albert Reece, M.D., Ph.D., M.B.A.	47
Q&A	55
PRESENTATIONS (cont.)	
HEZ Successes, Lessons Learned, and Sustainability Challenges and Strategies	
Caroline-Dorchester HEZ Competent Care Connections - Angela Mercier	64
Prince George's County HEZ - Ernest Carter, M.D., Ph.D.	76
Anne Arundel Medical Center's Annapolis Community Health Partnership - Patricia Czapp, M.D., FAAFP and Sharon Cameron	91
MedStar St. Mary's AccessHealth — Greater Lexington Park Health Enterprise Zone (HEZ) Project – Lori Werrell, M.P.H., MCHES	101
West Baltimore Primary Care Access Collaborative - Maha Sampath, M.H.S.A. and Jennifer Sulin-Stair, M.S.	110
Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Appapolis MD 21409	

Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

INDEX (cont.)

	PAGE
Q&A	125
Maryland Primary Care Model - Howard Haft, M.D., M.M.M., CPE, FACPE	151
Hospital Partnerships: How Hospitals are Engaging the Community to Improve Care	
Anne Arundel Medical Center - Victoria W. Bayless, FACHE	167
Bon Secours Baltimore Health System - Samuel Ross, M.D., M.S.	171
MedStar St. Mary's Hospital - Stephen T. Michaels, M.D.	176
University of Maryland Shore Regional Health - Kenneth Kozel, M.B.A., FACHE	180
Dimensions Healthcare System - Tiffany Sullivan, M.P.H.	183
Q&A	187
Award Presentation	
Stephen Thomas, Ph.D.	216
Carlessia Hussein, RN, Dr.P.H.	219
How Maryland's HEZs are Building a Culture of Health - David Krol, M.D., M.P.H., FAAP	231
New and Emerging Opportunities in Maryland for Addressing Social Determinants of Health: Key HEZ Sustainability Questions - David A. Mann, M.D., Ph.D.	241
Maryland Health Services Cost Review Commission (HSCRC) and the All-Payer Model - Stephen M. Ports	245

INDEX (cont.)

	PAGE
Maryland Hospital Association - Nicole Dempsey Stallings, MPP	257
Maryland Medicaid — Maryland's Accountable Care Model for Duel Eligibles - Tricia Roddy	263
Q&A	274
The Camden Coalition's Sustainability Strategies, Successes and Lessons Learned David Weinman	- 280
Research Findings: Delivery and Financing Systems for Health Care and Public Health Services - Glen P. Mays, Ph.D., M.P.H.	305
Next Steps: Developing the HEZ Sustainability Plan - Stephen M. Pratt	321
Q&A	333
ADJOURNMENT	350

MEETING

(8:50 a.m.)

DR. DWYER: Good morning. Welcome. Good morning. We're going to go ahead and get started even though we have some folks stuck in traffic. My name is Maura Dwyer, and I am serving as the Program Director for the Maryland Health Enterprise Zones Initiative. So I want to welcome you today and thank you for your interest and your time today. We're very happy that you're here.

Just a few housekeeping items: There's no Wi-Fi password, so you can just go to public access on their webpage. Bathrooms are past the elevators to the right. There's breakfast, continental breakfast in the back, and then we'll be serving snacks and lunch. And there's an all-day drink fountain with tea, water, ice tea, coffee, I believe, so help yourselves.

And I'd like to thank Anne Arundel Medical

Center and Annapolis HEZ for hosting us today and for

all of their hard work to help pull this day

together. They've really been a tremendous support.

In your package, you'll notice the agenda.

And we have a tight agenda today, so we'll be keeping things moving along. We have a lot of great speakers to hear from. The speaker bios are included, information about the awards and the awardees that we'll be presenting after lunch, and evaluation forms. So please be sure to complete your evaluation forms.

Dr. Ed Ehlinger, who is the current
Minnesota Secretary of Health and former ASTHO

president, said when we were meeting with him in a

series of meetings focused -- of the Big Ten Academic

Alliance focused on health equity issues, said that

we cannot achieve health equity by tinkering on the

edges of social justice issues. So I'd just like to

thank DHMH and our leadership and the HEZs for making

Maryland a place where we take these issues head on

instead of tinkering around the edges.

So I'd like to now introduce our Secretary,
Van Mitchell. Secretary Mitchell has been a champion
on public health programming and a leader of the
State's Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, and

1	he's been a supporter of the ongoing efforts and
2	commends the zones in their focus to enhance
3	community-based care through innovation and
4	partnerships.
5	Prior to serving as Secretary, Secretary
6	Mitchell served as Principal Deputy Secretary from
7	2004 to 2007 and served in the Maryland House of
8	Delegates from 1995 to 2004.
9	Secretary Mitchell?
10	(Applause.)
11	SECRETARY MITCHELL: So good morning.
12	AUDIENCE: Good morning.
13	SECRETARY MITCHELL: All right, for those
14	of you who have Waze on your phone app, don't put in
15	2601 because you'll end up in Parking Lot A, which is
16	where I was this morning. So I thought I was going
17	to be late for Michelle, and I thought she'd be upset
18	at me. I told Tori I've already toured all of the
19	parking garages this morning at Anne Arundel Medical
20	Center. And all of the garages are working great, by
21	the way.
22	(Laughter.)

1	SECRETARY MITCHELL: All right, before we
2	get started, how about those Cubbies?
3	(Cheers and applause.)
4	SECRETARY MITCHELL: Dr. Hussein's a Cubs
5	fan. Great night last night. I actually went to bed
6	in the bottom of the ninth; I can't believe it. But
7	the Cubs did win, 108 years. Great for the city of
8	Chicago and a lot of folks around the country.
9	I do have talking points, but I'm not going
10	to use them, as always, thanks to Michelle and her
11	team.
12	Sincerely, I do want to thank you for
13	coming out this morning. I saw Dr. Reece last night
14	at the Center Club. I was speaking to a group, and
15	he was with a group, so I'm sure he's on his way. I
16	do want to thank Tori and her staff here at Anne
17	Arundel for hosting this event. I'm glad
18	Dr. Hussein's here to join us. And I know Dr. Thomas
19	is going to be here as well later.
20	I just want to tell a little story about
21	coming back to the Department. So, you know, I've
22	been back about 20 months, and I've had the
	Free State Reporting Inc

opportunity to meet a lot of people. And that's
always something that I really enjoy doing. And one
of the reasons I wanted to come back to the
Department was because I thought it was one of the
best groups I'd ever worked with in my life. And I

sincerely mean that.

So Dr. Hussein, unfortunately, didn't stick around to see me come back. She had already retired. And we had a vacancy there, and I said to my chief of staff, you know, I really want to change the dynamics for minority health. I wanted to go to the next level. Dr. Hussein and her staff had done a tremendous job, as many of you know, for 10 or 12 years, putting us on the map, but where do we go from here? We know all the data. We have all the data.

And so I get introduced to Michelle

Spencer. And so I'm having some conversations, and I said, you know, Sean, I want to -- when we go to hire this replacement, I want Michelle on my panel. So Michelle and myself and Dr. Thomas made up the panel to hire Dr. Hussein's replacement, which is not an easy task to do. It's a hard situation.

Hello, Senator Eckardt. How are you?

Good. Welcome. Come on in.

So we took the task of going to do that, and I got to work closely with Michelle Spencer. And we came to an agreement with a young lady who I hope a lot of you have met, Shalewa, who I think is doing an outstanding job for us in that and will take us to the next level. But in going through that process, I really found out how much Michelle Spencer meant to our Department.

And, you know, public health is one of those things where, in the budget side of it, it doesn't get a lot of attention. Over the last 10 or 15 years, core funding in local health departments, as many of you know, unfortunately has gone from 68 million to 42 million. We're back up to 56 this year. My goal is to get it back to 70 before I leave. And that's something that we need to be doing.

But, you know, when I was there before and when I came back, MRSA, Zika, Ebola, all of these infectious diseases that are now front and center

1	weren't there. So not only do they do their day-to-
2	day job, but, oh, by the way, we have this 24/7
3	scenario and, as they like to call it down in their
4	offices, like crazy Fridays at 5. Everything happens
5	after 5:00 on Friday.
6	But I wanted to take this time to recognize
7	Michelle because Michelle unfortunately is leaving us
8	but going on to bigger and better things. She's
9	going to the
10	Are you actually at the Bloomberg School?
11	Right, with the new grant with
12	Dr. Sharfstein and will be the Deputy Director. And
13	so I would like all of us just to recognize and thank
14	Michelle
15	(Applause.)
16	SECRETARY MITCHELL: And wish you the best.
17	You'll be sorely missed.
18	With that, I'm going to like who am I
19	turning it over to?
20	And again, thank you all. Have a great
21	conference. And thank you for everything that you do
22	for us. Thanks.

1 (Applause.) MS. SPENCER: Oh, okay. Well, hi. 2 3 morning. 4 AUDIENCE: Good morning. 5 MS. SPENCER: Thank you, Secretary 6 Mitchell. Woo. I'm a crier, so just so you know, 7 the emotions of working with such wonderful people such as Secretary Mitchell and the team at the 8 9 Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, particularly those who are within the Prevention and Health 10 11 Promotion Administration and certainly individuals 12 like Dr. Dwyer and Donna Gugel and Valerie and Kyle 13 and so many more, and certainly the Health Enterprise 14 Zone leadership, there's so much that can be said. 15 And so it's so funny, I try really hard not 16 to talk about this, and I've asked my team not to say 17 anything about it because it's been super emotional. 18 So as individuals are coming in -- I think -- from 19 Anne Arundel County came this morning, and I said 20 don't say a word, don't say a word, and so she said, 21 okay, what can I talk about that's not about that?

> Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

And I'm like let's talk about family.

22

1	So anyway, thank you again, Secretary
2	Mitchell. And again, good morning to each of you.
3	And thank you, Anne Arundel County, for hosting us.
4	Certainly, Anne Arundel County is one of
5	our Health Enterprise Zones, and I've been asked this
6	morning to talk a little bit about the history and
7	the background of the HEZs. And I will do so as
8	oops. Perfect. And so I'll do so in some rapid
9	succession. I'm particularly excited to talk about
10	the zones in the context of the social determinants
11	of health programs and how do we sustain those.
12	So, Maura, can you just oh, perfect.
13	Okay.
14	So I find this particular quote to be
15	particularly applicable to the zones because they
16	ultimately had to address these issues while
17	implementing their individual place/space
18	initiatives. And so the World Health Organization
19	Commission report back in 2008 on the social
20	determinants of health indicated that "Social justice
21	is a matter of life and deathavoidable health
22	inequities, arise because of the circumstances in

which people grow, live, work, and age, and the
systems put in place to deal with illness. The
conditions in which people live and die are, in turn,
shaped by political, social, and economic forces."

And you'll see why that's so important, not just
because of what it says but because of the work that
the individual zones had to do to get to this place,

to get to where they are today.

So a little bit about the state of

Maryland. Maryland has a total population of about

5.8 million individuals. Our state is racially and
ethnically diverse. About 45 percent of our state
are minorities. Four jurisdictions have greater than
50 percent minority populations, and nine
jurisdictions have greater than 33 percent minority
populations, and we have 24 total jurisdictions in
our state.

We are a state much like other states that have rising healthcare costs. Prior to the implementation of the Affordable Care Act and certainly the expansion of Medicaid, we had about 720,000 individuals in our state who were uninsured.

We have an aging population, and we know that health disparities do exist across our state. We also know that for every dollar spent on healthcare in the U.S., approximately 84 cents are spent on persons with chronic conditions.

Although chronic conditions are preventable, chronic diseases are among the most common and costly health problems in our country.

Throughout Maryland, in every county in our state where rates have been reported, there are enormous disparities. Certainly asthma, by race, emergency department visits being one such of those particular factors. We know that chronic diseases such as heart disease, cancer, and diabetes are the leading causes of death, disability, and healthcare costs, accounting for about 70 percent of all deaths each year and 75 percent of all medical costs.

So the burden of heart disease in Maryland, this represents about 25 percent of all deaths, and this is from 2010. That's about 11,000 people in the state of Maryland. Heart disease is the number one cause of death in Maryland. And nationally it's

about 600,000. This map shows disparities by region,
where pockets of high mortality sits next to areas
with significantly better mortality rates.

So how do we begin to address costs, chronic diseases, and disparities in health? This is where we all come in.

So some critical dates: Back in October of 2010, the Office of Minority Health and Health
Disparities, led by Dr. Hussein, presented to the
Health Care Reform Coordinating Council on Maryland's
Health Disparities. In January of 2011, the HCRCC's
report noted Recommendation No. 14: "Achieve
reduction and elimination of health disparities
through exploration of financial, performance-based
incentives and incorporation of other strategies."

In March of that year, the Maryland Health
Quality and Cost Council was established. The Health
Disparities Workgroup, chaired by Dean Reece of the
University of Maryland, included as well other
diverse experts in minority health from across the
state. The previous governor gave the charge of the
workgroup to think about improving the quality of

care, improving health outcomes, and reducing costs.

Health Improvement and Disparities Reduction Act, was passed of 2012. The Act was signed into law, and that act established the Health Enterprise Zones, with the goal, again, of reducing health disparities, expanding access in underserved areas and improving health outcomes, reducing healthcare costs and hospital admissions and readmissions. And Dean Reece and Dr. Gaskin and Dr. Hussein were pivotal in the execution and development of that legislation that then passed, and certainly Senator Addie Eckardt as well as Senator Nathan-Pulliam.

Zones were designated. There were 19 applications
that were received by the Department of Health and
Mental Hygiene. There was a multi-disciplinary
review team, and five zones were selected: one in an
urban area, that's West Baltimore; two in suburban
zones, Anne Arundel County and Prince George's Health
Department; and two in rural areas, DorchesterCaroline Health Department and St. Mary's Hospital.

1	There were \$4 million over four years that were
2	allotted. The eligibility criteria included
3	continuous clusters of zip codes of communities with
4	at least 5,000 residents with demonstrated economic
5	disadvantage and poor health outcomes, and this was
6	all based on data.

Included in the legislation were tax

credits for practitioners as well as hiring tax

credits. There were also the Maryland Loan

Assistance Repayment Program and the Janet L. Hoffman

Loan Assistance Repayment Program, and the goal here

was to incentivize individuals to come into the zones

to address health and access in these disadvantaged,

socially disadvantaged communities.

So the need for focused attention: We know that minority health disparities cost Maryland between \$1 and \$2 billion per year of direct medical costs. And so these excess charges, and how do we begin to address these given the social, economic, and health challenges?

When we think a little bit about the largest health impact, and socioeconomic factors are

that level when we think about the Health Enterprise How do we begin to do that from a perspective beyond health but certainly addressing issues such as housing and unemployment and structural issues? Those structural historic issues of racism and unemployment and education and those things that have been in communities for hundreds of years, and yet, here we are telling the zones that we're giving you these dollars, and we're giving you an aggressive agenda in terms of reducing health disparities and reducing hospital admission and readmission rates and reducing costs. How do you begin to do that when there's so many other underlying socioeconomic concerns that needs to be addressed? And so we looked at the integration of community-based health with a focus on primary care support at the center of community-integrated medical whole model, of this medical whole model, a model that better addresses the full range of health needs

at that very last level, how do we begin to address

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

for the most vulnerable residents while reducing

overall health costs and improving individual and

community health.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And I know Dr. Gaskins is going to go into details in terms of some of the successes. This was early data that revealed, based on all-cause unplanned readmission rates, we were going down. The zones had seen the benefits of their actions in implementations of their strategies within the first two years. But we know that more still needs to be done. And I just want to note this again, the root causes of health inequities: institutional racism, social inequities, including housing and education and access to quality healthcare, conscious and unconscious biases that we each carry, economics, limited resources, access, trust, and political structures all play a part in the execution of these Health Enterprise Zones and their ability to do their work.

I want to end on this one quote: "One should be able to see that things are hopeless and yet be determined to make them otherwise."

You know, I want to tell you about Wilt Chamberlain, right? So I'm not a -- I'm a basketball

fan, but I'm not that intimately knowledgeable. But
Wilt Chamberlain had, I think it was, one game where
he shot over 100 points doing this underhanded free
throw, right? And then he stopped doing it, and they
said why did you stop? And he said because I looked
silly, I looked foolish, even though he scored 100
points at the free throw line.

So I want to say that the Health Enterprise
Zone leaders are not the Wilt Chamberlains. These
are the individuals who address all of those social
determinants of health issues. They faced it, and
they did it courageously. They did it when the
funding wasn't available. They did it when questions
about why they existed remained. They did it in the
face of so much.

And even though we have strong leadership in our secretary, Van Mitchell, we have strong leadership at DHMH in our Prevention and Health Promotion Administration, there have been challenges. And each of you face them with us. When we asked for data that you could not produce because we didn't quite know exactly what we were doing, you stood with

us. Dr. Gaskins, he was there in your face and in your area for two years trying to figure this out with you, and you guys just continue to press forward.

So I want to tell you that you are my greatest champions. You are the individuals that look at social determinants and say yes, we can make a difference because we're able to see things that are hopeless and determine that we are going to make them otherwise.

So thank you all.

(Applause.)

DR. DWYER: Thank you very much, Michelle.

Well, next we have Dr. Darrell Gaskin,
who's a professor at the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg
School of Public Health and Director of their Center
for Health Disparity Solutions. Dr. Gaskin has spent
the last two years working closely with the zones,
and he served on the Disparities Workgroup that
birthed the vision for the Health Enterprise Zones.
Dr. Gaskin is a health services researcher and health
economist who is internationally known for his

1 expertise in health disparities, access to care for 2 vulnerable populations, and safety net hospitals. 3 Dr. Gaskin? 4 (Applause.) 5 DR. GASKIN: Thank you very much, Maura, 6 for that kind introduction. 7 And it's good to see you all. And we're 8 certainly always pleased to see the Secretary, Van 9 Mitchell, here with us. And I think his presence 10 here demonstrates how important this initiative is to 11 the state and to the persons who you all serve. 12 we're very excited that Michelle is coming over to 13 Hopkins. And so I hate to be the one that says, 14 well, you all's loss is our gain, but I'm sure that 15 you all will find someone equally, who can continue 16 this work. 17 So what I want to do --18 DR. DWYER: If you could just speak a 19 little bit louder. We are recording. 20 DR. GASKIN: Okay. Great. So what I want 21 to do is I want to share with you some of the 22 thoughts and findings of the work of the external

evaluation team. And I just want to acknowledge both
my colleague Roland Thorpe and then the main persons
on the team, Yolanda Klemmer, Rachael McCleary, and

Roza Vazin.

So the Health Enterprise Zone is really, it's a good idea, and so I've got two pictures of two individuals here, which one is Jack Kemp, and the other is Donna Christensen. One is a former congressman from New York; the other is a congresswoman from the Virgin Islands.

And so what do these two people have in common? Well, Jack Kemp came up with an idea that he promoted, these health -- I mean, economic empowerment, economic enterprise zones, and this notion of trying to provide resources to the distressed communities in such a way that you can then leverage those resources to bring in other resources to try to improve employment opportunities, business opportunities in places that were distressed. And the literature on how effective those zones are, it's pretty mixed. There are some places where they've been very successful and other

places where they haven't done as well.

So as I was on the workgroup and we were thinking about what we could do and trying to think out the box, we came across this idea that Donna Christensen was promoting, which is a notion of trying to apply a similar type of approach to distressed areas, places where there were challenging health problems, to try to create these zones, essentially, where we would put resources there so that the people in those communities, the stakeholders in those communities can attack the problems that they're facing.

And so the Health Enterprise Zones, the

HEZs is really sort of an evolution of this notion of

trying to do some interesting things. So in that

sense, it's in the spirit of the kinds of things that

Jack Kemp was promoting, and it's this notion of

instead of trying to develop a one-size-fits-all

approach to addressing disparities, addressing poor

health outcomes, what we instead do is we provide

some sort of incentives in targeted areas so that the

people in those areas can then address the problems

that they're facing. And then what you do is you sit back and you try to measure the things in which, in this case, the State of Maryland would be concerned about and measure those things. But in terms of trying to prescribe individually what each individual zone should look like, we sort of let the stakeholder groups try to figure out how to do that because every situation is different.

So the Health Enterprise Zones initiative has an overall goal of trying to reduce disparities and improve access to care and reduce the costs of healthcare and hospital readmissions in distressed areas. And that's the overall goal, but in some sense, what it does is it allows stakeholders in those various communities to come together, create coalitions of both public partners and private partners to address the unmet healthcare needs. And so when you're looking at what a HEZ is, in the real sense it's this coalition of people who are working together, in different organizations, trying to address these pressing healthcare needs.

So these are our five HEZs. And as

Michelle indicated, there's one real urban one, which is in Baltimore City, and then we have two that are in suburban areas and then two that are in rural areas. And so it's a real good mix of what sort of the unmet healthcare needs in the state are.

So the scope of our evaluation is to do five things. One is to think about what the overall impact of the HEZ initiative has been and evaluate the individual performance of each or the performance of each HEZ, to think about what the economic impact of the HEZs are, and then to chronicle the residents' experiences and the clinicians' or providers' experience because some of the providers are not clinicians.

So we have five strategies that we're employing, and I'm going to talk about two of them today that we're in the midst of. One is to do these individual site visits. And each of you all have seen me and my team during this past year, and we had a wonderful time coming and seeing your various projects, if you will. And then telephone interviews with providers, both clinical and non-clinical,

telephone interviews with residents about their experience, their level of satisfaction, and economic impact analysis, which is based on the BEA's regional input-output model, and then an analysis of some hospital data to sort of think about what the impact may be on hospital admissions, emergency room use and readmissions.

Now, the one thing that I would say, if you have seen a HEZ, you have seen one HEZ.

(Laughter.)

DR. GASKIN: And that is really the -- I mean, if you sort of lay down these HEZs and look at them individually, you have -- while they all have pretty much similar health outcomes, healthcare goals, the way in which they have to go about achieving those goals are different. And so you can't just sort of put them all in the same -- you know, the HEZs are anchored by either a health department or a hospital, but even in that case, because of just the heterogeneity, the diversity of both problems and needs and the way in which -- and the resources that HEZs have at their disposals, they

have to look different. They can't look the same.

And so one of the things in which -- in thinking about the site visits, the thing that we've concluded is that while each of the HEZ are in some ways addressing common healthcare problems, each of them have to be -- you have to be creative in order to develop solutions because the underlying causes of the problems are not necessarily the same in Baltimore City as they are in St. Mary's County. And so that requires, they required different means in order to get people to the area that they need and also promote the kinds of healthy behaviors that you want to promote.

So even though all the HEZs, for the most part, do care coordination, community health workers, they're deployed in different ways because the underlying causes, the needs of the community, the people who live there are quite different. And then on top of that, you're laying heterogeneity of underlying causes, but then you think about each of these communities in which they're located are different.

Some communities have real strong not-forprofit, community-based organizations. Others of
them don't have the same sets of nonprofit
organizations on which to draw from. And so you sort
of -- the persons who put together each of these
programs really had to sort of look out and see who
are the potential partners in my community, what are
their capabilities, and what is it that they can do
in order to contribute to improving health. And that
mix of people are different in each community.

In my office, if you ever get to come and visit my office, I have these pictures of these wonderful jazz greats on my wall. And one of the things I like to do is, when the students come in, I like to get them to sort of name the people because they're not of this era. And sometimes they figure out who Duke Ellington is and who Billie Holiday is, and they sort trip up on Dizzy Gillespie. But the reason that I have those greats on the wall is because the thing about jazz is that while it's structured, you have to be innovative within the structure, right? And if you're not innovative

within the structure, then you really can't be
successful with regard to playing good jazz music.

And I tell my students that we have structure here,
but you have to bring your creativity and your

innovation.

And that's what I see when I see the HEZs throughout the state, because while the State has provided some structure, the individual HEZs have to be creative and innovative in order to deal with the fact that you have different underlying causes sometimes, as well as different community-based resources. And then as we think about going forward, even the way in which the long-term sustainability of these HEZs, there's not one solution for all of them because of the way in which these — the problems which they're trying to address and the manner in which they have to address them.

So one of the things that we did not anticipate, I think, in the workgroup is just how hard it is to bring primary care physicians to underserved areas and that a loan repayment alone is not the strongest incentive because providers have

other opportunities. And so sometime you get the person who you'd like to have, and then another opportunity will open up for them, and they're out the door and you have to then recruit someone else.

The other thing is that just trying to find a location for them sometimes is very challenging, and getting through the permitting process in order to locate your person in a practice in a setting in which they then can have access to the patients is challenging. And as I was sort of reflecting on this, one of the things that we probably did not think about as well is the legislative or the regulatory environment, because one of the things about the economic HEZs is that they try to create the regulatory environment so that people could do things fairly quickly in order to get things done.

So the HEZs have to be creative. And so it's more than just primary care. It's more than just bringing a physician. Because we know that while having access to a physician is important, there's much more to delivering healthcare than just making sure that there's a physician-patient

encounter.

So we have community health workers working with high utilizers; providing transportation services to try to get people not only to their physicians but also to their medicines; providing behavior healthcare so that when people are having episodes in the community they don't find their way to the emergency room, that there are people in the community; providing care in schools so that we're impacting the number of children who potentially would have problems with asthma.

One of the things that I really found interesting was this notion of care teams, where all the people who are touching the high utilizer are brought together in a room to try to help solve their problem, help them get through their -- to address their healthcare issue. And so it's these kinds of things which I think are noteworthy about the HEZs.

So we looked at some hospital utilization data to try to at least get a sense as to what's happening in terms of utilization. Now, there's two main messages here. On the inpatient side, it looks

- 1 like things are going down. On the emergency
- 2 hospital side, it looks like things are going up.
- 3 And we're not quite sure, you know, exactly what's
- 4 going on, so what we did was we divided the state
- 5 | into three different groups. One is the zip codes
- 6 | for which there are HEZs located, zip codes which
- 7 | were eligible to apply for HEZs but did not get them,
- 8 and then zip codes that were not eligible for HEZs.
- 9 And then we looked at the trend in hospital
- 10 utilization and also compared hospital utilization in
- 11 | the HEZs compared to those zip codes that were
- 12 eligible for HEZs but did not get them.
- So this is the emergency room use data and,
- 14 | as I said, it looks like, I mean, we -- if you look
- 15 over the nine-year period, the HEZs --
- 16 Do you have a pointer? Does it have a
- 17 | pointer? Oh, okay, good.
- So the blue line here are the HEZs, the red
- 19 line are the HEZ-eligible. And if you look at it, it
- 20 doesn't look like we're getting any really
- 21 appreciation in terms of changes in emergency room
- 22 visits. And it doesn't matter whether we're looking

at conditions for which -- visits for conditions in
which the HEZs are focusing on or -- whoops -- or
visits that are associated with sort of these
preventable quality indicators that AHRQ developed.

5 We still see this trend.

And when we look on the hospital side, this is what excites us. In the blue line are the HEZs.

The red lines are the HEZ-eligible. And we see this narrowing of downward trend in inpatient hospitalization, which is good, and then a narrowing between where the HEZs are and where the HEZ-eligible are. And just a little bit -- when we compare the HEZs to the HEZ-eligible zip codes, the HEZ-eligible zip codes tend have lower rates of poverty and near poor. I mean, they're still needy, but they're not as needy as the HEZs. So the State really picked the zip codes where there were problems.

And then likewise, for HEZ-related conditions, we see this narrowing and then for these PQEs. So we did this, and then this is data on hospital readmissions and downward trend, somewhat looks like it's narrowing. So we did a regression

analysis of difference and difference analysis.

I won't bore you with the details of how we did this, but what it looks like is that from the enactment of the HEZ program, that there is a 10 discharge per 1,000 reduction in hospital use in HEZs inpatient use, 1 discharge per 1,000 for HEZ-related conditions, and then almost 2 discharges for these preventable hospitalizations. So it looks like there may be some impact that's happening.

And then on the -- when we look at readmissions and we just sort of look at the trend in readmissions, it looks like in 2013 the HEZs had 1.2 per 1,000 less readmissions than HEZ-eligible places, and then that trend continues. And I'm sorry, that should be a point not a dash. It increases to 2. So it looks like there's something that's happening on the inpatient side.

So our preliminary conclusion from the hospital side is that it looks like lower inpatient utilization. We're not quite sure what's happening on the outpatient side.

So we still have a lot of work to do.

We're in the midst of doing our interviews with our physicians, actually just finished the physician and other provider interviews. We're still completing the resident telephone interviews. We're updating the economic analysis. Some of you have seen that work, and the BEA has updated their RIMS model, so we're in the process of revising that analysis. And then we're -- because we're revising this hospital utilization analysis because we just received the 2015 data, have three-quarters of the data in for the year, then there's just a little problem with ICD-9s versus ICD-10s, and they're not exactly the same. So next year, what we hope to do, in the spring, is to bring residents in and actually have some focus groups with them to talk about their levels of satisfaction and so forth like that, do another round of conversations with the physicians on the telephones to talk about their level of satisfaction and sustainability. I think I have overstayed my welcome because she had the red sign up that says please

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

stop.

1	(Laughter.)
2	DR. GASKIN: And so I'm going to be I
3	didn't look over at her because she's had that sign
4	up for a while.
5	(Laughter.)
6	DR. GASKIN: But I really appreciate this
7	opportunity. I think this is an exciting project and
8	a real sort of model as to how we can address
9	place/space problems that create disparities in
10	health and healthcare utilization. Thank you very
11	much.
12	(Applause.)
13	DR. DWYER: We have time for a couple
14	questions for Dr. Gaskin. So if you have any
15	questions, please raise your hand, introduce yourself
16	and your organization that you're with, and we'll
17	have him answer them.
18	No questions? That means that he did a
19	very good job, then. All right, thank you.
20	(Applause.)
21	DR. DWYER: Thank you, Dr. Gaskin.
22	So now I'm going to introduce our master of
	Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Appapolis MD 21409

Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

ceremonies for the day, Dr. Stephen Thomas, who's one of the nation's leading scholars on community-based interventions designed to eliminate racial and ethnic health disparities. He's a tenured professor in the Department of Health Services Administration in the School of Public Health and founding director of the Maryland Center for Health Equity at the University of Maryland in College Park.

He applies his expertise to address a variety of conditions from which minorities generally face poor outcomes, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, obesity, and HIV/AIDS. Currently, he's principal investigator of the Center of Excellence on Race, Ethnicity and Health Disparities Research funded by the National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities.

Dr. Thomas is also, unfortunately, a devoted fan of the North Carolina Tar Heels, who lost to my alma mater, the Villanova Wildcats, in the 2016 NCAA men's basketball championship. And we happened to be at a conference at the time, and we had great fun. So despite that, we would like to thank

1 Dr. Thomas to his commitment to and support of the 2 HEZs and for being here to lead us through our day 3 today. 4 Dr. Thomas? 5 (Applause.) 6 DR. THOMAS: So you want to start a fight 7 just when I walk in. 8 (Laughter.) 9 DR. THOMAS: That's what I get for being 10 tied up. I am telling you, I cannot tell you how 11 exciting it is to see the Health Enterprise Zones. 12 Are you guys fired up? 13 (Cheering.) 14 DR. THOMAS: I've just returned from 15 Denver, where the American Public Health Association 16 had its annual meeting, and you all would be so 17 excited because the pendulum is moving to right where 18 you are. You're at the center of attention, center 19 of action. And around the country they're looking at 20 Maryland, and they're looking at the HEZ model as a 21 way of moving forward. So I was very excited this 22 morning to read in the Washington Post that Medicare

has decided to pay for prevention. Put your hands
together for that.

3 (Applause.)

DR. THOMAS: All right? They have figured out that it's actually cheaper to keep people healthy.

(Laughter.)

DR. THOMAS: Finally. And they're going to figure out how to pay for it. But like anything else, it's a big ship. Are all the infrastructure components in place? Are the troops on the ground ready to go once we put the policies in place? And that's why it's so important — our next speaker is so important because these reports that we do sometimes just gather dust. I will submit to you that there are a lot of solutions that we actually have that could save lives that are living in journals that few read, reports sitting on desks and in libraries gathering dust. And the whole effort here is to take what we know and to put it into action.

And I was just blessed, I'll call it

L	blessed, to have been at the table where such a
2	report was being developed. And I think at the time,
3	I'm looking at Dr. Carlessia Hussein here, we had no
1	idea that that report would actually come alive. And
5	that's because leadership matters.

And so I'm very, very pleased to introduce our next speaker, Dr. Albert Reece, who's the Vice President of Medical Affairs at the University of Maryland and the John and Akiko Bowers Distinguished Professor and Dean of the School of Medicine.

Dean Reece chaired the committee that produced the health disparity report that went to the office of the Lieutenant Governor and was later translated and turned into the legislation that created the Maryland Health Improvement and Disparities Reduction Act of 2012.

He's probably going to speak on this, but I just wanted to say that at that time, we had no idea that it would actually come alive. And you're all the evidence of that. And that meant that after the report was done, someone had to be a champion and keep moving it forward and getting over all the other

1	barriers and getting the resources to launch this
2	initiative. And so Dean Reece was pivotal as a
3	thought leader in both the development and passage of
4	the Maryland Health Improvement and Disparities
5	Reduction Act of 2012.

Focused on innovative options to address the state's persistent health disparities, Dean Reece led the workgroup, the Maryland Health Quality and Cost Council's Health Disparity Workgroup that articulated the concept of applying principles of economic development and revitalization to public health and healthcare delivery, creating an innovative approach we now know to be the Maryland Health Enterprise Zone.

Now, you know, we fought in that room. You know, sometimes people say we're speaking to the choir, but every great choir I know has practice.

And so we need to practice here our song. We fought in that room about what this would be called, Health Enterprise, Health Empowerment. There were a lot of little nuances, and Health Enterprise won the day.

And I think that's very important because it gets at

1	the heart of the economic issues and the community
2	capacity building that we want to leave behind and
3	create sustainable infrastructure.
4	So help me bring your choir director to
5	this microphone, Dr. Dean Reece. Give him a hand,
6	will you?
7	(Applause.)
8	DR. REECE: Well, I thought Dr. Thomas was
9	just going to deliver my comments.
10	(Laughter.)
11	DR. REECE: I thought he did a great job
12	and just about summarized how I felt then and how I
13	feel now. But it's a real delight to be here. I
14	wish this morning a two-hour travel would have been
15	the 45 minutes that it should have been.
16	(Laughter.)
17	DR. REECE: But traffic is totally
18	unpredictable. So, again, I know you got a chance to
19	hear Dr. Gaskin's remarks, and it was very exciting.
20	So let me say, first of all, it is
21	exciting, and I was privileged, as Dr. Thomas
22	mentioned, to serve as the chair of that workgroup.
	Enco Chaha Danasahina Tara

And I made it very clear that I was simply the chair leader, because the folks in the room, there could have been, I don't know, 25 people, 30 people. was a number of people who were real experts. were the content experts. And my role was going to be the chair leader. The only requirement was they had to meet on my turf so it was more convenient. they did come to my office, and we met for a few hours for maybe 10 times.

And as Dr. Thomas said, we started from a blank slate of knowing how to proceed, a tabula rasa, if you will, and was able to craft together what we eventually called the HEZ, or the Health Enterprise Zones. But nevertheless, we had a very strong conviction that it was based on sound principles.

It was based on principles and practices that have worked before, such as the economic zones that have been used before and the concept of basically identifying areas of great need, areas of great disparities, or greatest disparities and greatest need, and try to make efforts to replete or replace those deficiencies that were identified

within those specific regions. So that was the principle of which it was based off. And if indeed these deficiencies were either the cause or certainly the associated factors with these health disparities, then repleting those neighborhoods with deficient areas should in fact have a positive impact.

know, not every scientific hypothesis or principle necessarily works in practice. So I was eager to see the initial results and will continue to follow the progress as we proceed. But most importantly, I'm delighted to be here with you to really celebrate a milestone in what has been an innovative and an aggressive initiative that was long overdue, one that was focused on the right things: reducing costs, improving quality of healthcare, improving health outcomes for most vulnerable population or citizens. Who can argue with that? This, to me, is an apolitical position.

The last four years has helped us to leverage the passage of a number of initiatives, the Affordable Care Act, the expansion of Medicaid in our

state, the coverage of more people, hospital global 1 budgets, all of which are aimed at trying to be 2 3 helpful in one way or another to advance health and 4 equity within our state. But today we're hearing, we 5 just heard a moment ago and we'll continue to hear 6 for the remainder of the morning and afternoon, 7 lessons learned and certainly progress that we've 8 made. We may also see progress that have not been 9 made just yet, and we'll explore those. But most 10 importantly, we'll begin to applaud early successes 11 and identify ways that can be tangible in themselves 12 to further improve these Health Enterprise Zones 13 across the state.

I recall during our first summit, during our first meeting of this sort, I went back and reviewed my notes, and I said then as follows as a quote: "All organizations advance faster and go further together. The knowledge and expertise of academic medical centers, of hospitals, and of health providers will result in a reduction in health and healthcare disparities in Maryland's most vulnerable populations," unquote.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So my comments then were somewhat prophetic. I see elements. I saw Dr. Gaskin's talk, and I saw elements of what I believe will happen, what we believe will happen. Now, we obviously put forth a proposal on principles, but we certainly are eager to watch the results. We believe in the fact that these will occur. But most importantly, I am pleased by the commitments of each group in advancing the health equity agenda of the Health Enterprise Zones.

This is, from my perspective, the only way that we can make a difference. The difference that we seek is through our commitments and through our relentless efforts. The success of Maryland Health Enterprise Zones arises from what I believe is deep dedication. People are not doing this because they just want to do things for academic purposes, but there's a really deep dedication of working on the front lines and trying to make a difference in individuals' lives. In communities, hospitals, care-coordinated centers, local health departments, state agencies, federally qualified health centers,

academic institutions, and faith organizations, all of these various constituencies in their own way need to be involved and to some extent have been involved. They certainly were present within our working group.

So because of all of these efforts, I want to just extend my personal, and I believe appropriately on behalf of the committee that really worked to put this together -- Dr. Hussein was there, Dr. Thomas was there, that group of 30 strong, vocal individuals -- extend on our collective behalf our gratitude to those whose unrelenting efforts have touched and transformed the lives of individuals and families across the Health Enterprise Zones.

The creation and the passing of the legislation, as Dr. Thomas mentioned, is further the proof that Maryland continues to be a leader when it comes to addressing issues of health disparities.

These Health Enterprise Zones have collectively addressed so many of the causes of health disparities, some of which, not inclusive, include transportation, food deserts, housing, unemployment, access to healthcare, to quality healthcare, safe

places for physical activity, education, et cetera,

tet cetera. This is, in fact, just some of the

determinants that we believe that the Health

Enterprise Zone collaboratives are actually working

to address.

But overall, these are not easy tasks, and therefore, I applaud the leadership of the state, the hands-on leaders, leaders within each of these Health Enterprise Zones, and those who are working on the front line to make the difference. You have indeed risen to the challenge. And let me conclude by once again commending you for your commitment and your dedication to addressing the health disparities in our state and really taking a very bold agenda and moving it forward. We have been the leaders in this area.

I should just mention before I close that shortly after we completed our work, I got a few calls from leaders across the United States asking me how we came up with the idea and how we got around to it. And, you know, they thought it was a secret sauce that I had to share. I was a little

embarrassed to say that we got together and just argued and talked and argued and talked and discussed what seemed to have worked elsewhere, what should work, and through the collective brains, I would say, of everyone, we came up with what seems to be a very plausible approach.

And I shared with them those -- not in great detail of how the sausage was made, but shared with them that basically we believe that it's based on principles that have worked before, and we have strong belief that, therefore, when applied in our setting here, should have similar effect. These were state officials who were planning to take it to their state legislature as well. I have no idea how it fared.

But let me conclude once again by applauding those who are taking this effort so seriously. It is a matter of individuals' lives, and the fact that we're taking it seriously and making a difference for this, I greatly appreciate and applaud you on behalf of our entire workgroup. Thanks very much.

1 (Applause.)

DR. THOMAS: So Dean Reece, we have time just for a few questions, if anyone wants to just line up there to the microphone. I'll take the prerogative and take the first question to set the stage because Dean Reece has been very modest as he's describing the fights and the fussing that we did in that room.

One of the things that I think that you did as a leader was we had to set aside our competition.

Leave your sabers outdoors, and put the people first, and stay focused on the deadline. We had a crushing deadline. Can you say something about the importance of that kind of accountability that we had in that room?

DR. REECE: Well, I think one of the benefits, I think, is that we had a number of stakeholders from different areas, from academia, from hospitals, from public health. And this is great. So we had a diversity of views. And so it was very easy, as Dr. Thomas says, for there to be competition and almost rivalry, just human nature.

But I think to the extent that, one, from a research standpoint, this not my area of research but obviously an interest, I was able to adjudicate much better when there were conflicting voices. I said no, no, let's not talk about that now; let's focus on

trying to identify this aspect or that aspect.

So I do believe that it's important to have a, if you will, a semi-neutral voice, but you're also trying to adjudicate between various conflicting thoughts, and in the end, as you can see, that's what we got.

DR. THOMAS: So, Dr. Reece, you had hospital systems at the table, you had the competing universities at the table, local county health departments, and this whole notion of Health Empowerment versus Health Enterprise, we really struggled with that, and I'm hoping somebody might go to the mic and see what they think about the difference. But now we see that this whole economic piece matters. But what you do at the local level, rolling up your sleeves, what motivates you to go forward, it may not simply be about return on

investment, how we balance that motivation to get the work done and these economic imperatives to lower costs and reduce hospital readmissions.

DR. REECE: Well, first of all, what we probably hadn't said earlier, and that is one of the mandates of the larger committee, was really to achieve increased quality and decreased costs. And that committee, actually, the larger committee started working on initiatives that would do that, the first of which was a hand-washing campaign across all the 52 hospitals through the state of Maryland, which we did.

A second project we took on was to use the blood products. Many of you may not realize that once you -- a doctor calls for two or three units of packed red cells or fresh frozen plasma and it comes to the floor, it's been thawed out. You can't really refreeze it, so essentially, if you don't use it, you actually waste it. So we came up with an approach where, in fact, we would be able to communicate with all hospitals across the state so when you need a unit of two or three of fresh frozen plasma or packed

1	red cells, you can actually identify them in any
	other hospital that was already thawed and you didn't
3	have to throw them away. So that was another cost
4	savings. So that was kind of low-hanging fruit. We
5	then decided to go way in the top of the tree with
6	these health disparities.

both an economic imperative as well as a health imperative. So we had to always balance those. The first two were a little less difficult, but it was always looking at cost while looking at health improvement. So we're balancing this out. The Health Enterprise Zones phraseology, we think, addressed that because it's really an enterprise that we're taking on with costs as well as health. So those two principles were constantly in our sights and have been our operative terms that we've used.

DR. THOMAS: One question? Yeah. And please, stand up and introduce yourself.

MS. JOHNSON: Hi, my name is Susan Johnson.

I'm Vice President of Quality and Population Health

at Choptank Community Health, the FQHC on the mid-

shore over on the Eastern Shore. And my organization came sort of late to the HEZ. We joined in 2014.

But one of the things that I want to make sure, as we're sort of wrapping up this version of the HEZ, that you realize, when we're talking about people and changes in culture, it takes more than four years. And, you know, I think that in some of the graphs we saw earlier, there is a downward trend, but it's too early to see whether we've actually made a difference because it takes a good two or three years for a community to gel around whatever the topic is we're working on. And that has just happened, and now the funding is going away.

So I wanted, I just wanted to push that forward, that when we're talking about these huge culture paradigm shifts with people, it's different than adding, you know, having economic -- even an economic -- having a new business plan and putting in a plant. We're talking about changing people's approach to their lives and how they care for themselves, and that takes a long time.

And I don't want us to give up. We've

1	scratched the surface, and we've awoken the beast.
2	We can't leave it. So we've got to make sure that
3	we're continuing to push forward. We have a rural
4	health workgroup that's been commissioned, and we're
5	looking at those same kind of issues. How do we
6	deliver care in a way that gets to the things that we
7	need to take care of?
8	DR. REECE: Two quick points. First of
9	all, I totally agree with you. It took us more than
10	four years to get there. This is going to take us at
11	least the same time to get out of where we are. I
12	just noticed that we have changed the term from
13	Health Enterprise Zones to HEZ. That's a new one
14	now.
15	(Laughter.)
16	DR. THOMAS: Let's give Dean Reece a hand,
17	will you?
18	(Applause.)
19	DR. THOMAS: Well, I can assure you that
20	Dean Reece has not taken his hand off the wheel. He
21	has been describing this work and his various venues,
22	and you should know, also, that it's gotten the

1	attention of the Big Ten Academic Alliance. Have you
2	talked about the Big Ten yet, Dr. Spencer?
3	MS. SPENCER: No, we have not.
4	DR. THOMAS: And you should know that the
5	Big Ten where's my ACC person over here?
6	(Laughter.)
7	DR. THOMAS: But the Big Ten Alliance has
8	embraced community capacity building, and at the
9	center of their concept of community capacity
10	building is the Maryland model of the Health
11	Enterprise Zone.
12	So I want you to have hope, ma'am, and stay
13	the course and recognize that the needle is moving to
14	you. Wayne Gretzky, they asked him how he got so
15	many goals. He said some people skate some people
16	wait for the puck to them, and others go to where the
17	puck's going to be. You are where the puck is going
18	to be, and you just need to hang in there and use
19	this meeting to document where you are and where you
20	want to get to.
21	So now I think it's kind of time to roll up
22	your sleeves and get down to what's happening on the
	Free State Reporting, Inc.

ground. What are those lessons learned about 1 sustainability challenges and strategies? And here's 2 3 where we have to have some truth-telling here. 4 Michelle, are we recording this? 5 MS. SPENCER: Yes. DR. THOMAS: All right, this is good. 6 7 Okay, you've got to set the workers straight. You've 8 got to let people know what page they're supposed to 9 be singing on and in what key. And that means 10 lessons from the front lines. You've got to give 11 voice to those people that you know have no voice and 12 how the HEZ has made a difference and how we can 13 improve it. So this next panel called Leadership from 14 15 Each of Maryland's Five HEZs will now share some of 16 their lessons, their successes, their sustainability 17 challenges, the good, the bad, the ugly. 18 important. So let's have our speakers head to the 19 front table. And as they come up, I'll begin to 20 introduce them. And you can take your seats. 21 So what we're going to do is I'm going to 22 introduce all the speakers, and we're going to

1	welcome them. And we'll let them speak in the order
2	in which they are listed here. And what we're going
3	to do is we'll hold our questions for the end. So I
4	want you to be jotting down your questions, because
5	the most important part of this, I think, is that
6	kind of discussion that's going to come when we open
7	up the floor.
8	Our first panel member comes from the
9	Caroline-Dorchester Competent Care Connection.
10	Angela Mercier, raise your hand, please. There's
11	Angela.
12	And we also have the Prince George's County
12 13	And we also have the Prince George's County HEZ represented by Dr. Ernest Carter. And the Anne
13	HEZ represented by Dr. Ernest Carter. And the Anne
13 14	HEZ represented by Dr. Ernest Carter. And the Anne Arundel Medical Center's Annapolis Community Health
13 14 15	HEZ represented by Dr. Ernest Carter. And the Anne Arundel Medical Center's Annapolis Community Health Partnership, Ms. Sharon Cameron, a manager. And we
13141516	HEZ represented by Dr. Ernest Carter. And the Anne Arundel Medical Center's Annapolis Community Health Partnership, Ms. Sharon Cameron, a manager. And we have the MedStar St. Mary's HealthAccess, Lori
13 14 15 16 17	HEZ represented by Dr. Ernest Carter. And the Anne Arundel Medical Center's Annapolis Community Health Partnership, Ms. Sharon Cameron, a manager. And we have the MedStar St. Mary's HealthAccess, Lori Werrell. And the West Baltimore Primary Access
13 14 15 16 17	HEZ represented by Dr. Ernest Carter. And the Anne Arundel Medical Center's Annapolis Community Health Partnership, Ms. Sharon Cameron, a manager. And we have the MedStar St. Mary's HealthAccess, Lori Werrell. And the West Baltimore Primary Access Collaborative, Maha Sampath. Boy, I did give them
13 14 15 16 17 18	HEZ represented by Dr. Ernest Carter. And the Anne Arundel Medical Center's Annapolis Community Health Partnership, Ms. Sharon Cameron, a manager. And we have the MedStar St. Mary's HealthAccess, Lori Werrell. And the West Baltimore Primary Access Collaborative, Maha Sampath. Boy, I did give them a hand. Give everybody a hand.

1	DR. THOMAS: And Dr. Pat Czapp.
2	(Applause.)
3	DR. THOMAS: Okay. Sorry, my apologies if
4	I messed up on your names. So we'll go in that order
5	and I will where's my timekeeper?
6	We'll have a time so I'm going to be the
7	bad guy if I have to stand up and move close. We'll
8	get through them, and then we'll open this whole
9	conversation up. So Angela Mercier, would you like
10	to begin?
11	MS. MERCIER: Yes, I would.
12	DR. THOMAS: Just pull that mic right
13	there. Bring it really, really close to you.
14	MS. MERCIER: How about now?
15	DR. THOMAS: There you go.
16	MS. MERCIER: All right. Good morning. I
17	thought maybe it was because I had two cups of
18	coffee, but really I think it's the enthusiasm and
19	the pride about the HEZs for why I'm so excited about
20	being here and to kick it off with our Caroline-
21	Dorchester HEZ Competent Care Connections, which was
22	developed by 15 organizations in Caroline and
	Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road

1 Dorchester Counties and designed to improve

- 2 healthcare access and the health status for
- 3 | individuals living in our underserved community. And
- 4 | the primary mission, of course, can be summed up in
- 5 | two words: health equity. I think we all know that
- 6 and that's why we're here.
- 7 DR. THOMAS: How do we advance the slides?
- 8 DR. DWYER: Sorry.
- 9 MS. MERCIER: Thanks. That's okay. There
- 10 we go. All right, so this is our region. It covers
- 11 seven zip codes from Federalsburg to Cambridge, with
- 12 a total population of a little over 36,000. And our
- 13 | area is unique because we have a lot of geographic
- 14 | features that are barriers for accessing healthcare,
- 15 transportation being a huge barrier. And beyond
- 16 geographical characteristics, economics, education,
- 17 everything you've been hearing about this morning, a
- 18 history of racial prejudice, they've all had a
- 19 substantial impact on the health status and
- 20 healthcare access. I mean, we were identified as a
- 21 | Health Enterprise Zone for a reason.
- 22 Being a medically underserved area,

Dorchester County has been declared as having the
largest population designated as medically
underserved in Maryland. And all of Caroline County
has been designated that as well. So what do we do
about this? It's absolutely been a collaborative
effort. That's been an essential part of the
solution.

organization can effectively address the health of individuals and communities. There are such complex coordinates that requires coordination, especially with multiple chronic conditions, and our coalition made up of 23 leaders, community members, advisory partners, et cetera, have different levels of capacities and resources and skill sets that they bring to the table and really just strategize, understanding health issues, how to engage the community and identify gaps and build bridges across groups to create shared values and goals.

So this kind of recaps our goals, which we've already kind of covered this morning, but I just wanted to point out that the coalition was built

into a model with four key values of cultural competence, citizen leadership, behavioral healthcare 3 integration, and recruitment and training. And these values correspond with strengthening healthcare So -- oops. Sorry. Okay. Correspond with strengthening healthcare access, so health status is addressed through the availability of four healthcare service teams: primary care, peer recovery, community health, and behavioral health.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So I'm not going to cover all the services provided, but I wanted to put this slide on here just so you can see how many partners -- and these are just the funded partners -- that we have for our HEZ, and just a brief snippet of the services provided. And really they do so much more, but I can only fit so much on one slide. And I wanted to highlight more as I talk about some of our major accomplishments anyway.

So this picture here is from the opening of our Federalsburg Mental Health Clinic. So Caroline County Health Department opened that in November of 2015, with licensed clinical social workers and a

psychiatrist to provide adult outpatient mental
health services. And really, this helps overcome
that serious transportation barrier by having a
clinic closer for the Federalsburg participants as
well as the Hurlock area.

We contracted Choptank Community Health, which is our FQHC, and they have two clinics in our zone for care coordination. So we have a full-time care coordinator that ensures patients are referred appropriately and assists patients with navigating the healthcare system.

Dorchester School-Based Wellness, they help to expand access to pediatric care. So they have a nurse practitioner in one of our middle schools to provide on-site care, primarily somatic but also primary mental health. And one of the great accomplishments was developing and implementing an asthma management program, and that was in collaboration with our local pediatrician, and also involves families even though it's an on-site program. Until recently, Dorchester County only had one pediatrician for the whole county. So expanding

access to pediatric care was a really huge accomplishment.

they assisted with two-mini residency rotations in one of our high schools to kind of grow our own and give a taste of practicing in a rural school setting. And they've done so much work developing our CHW workforce, community health workers. And 50 community health workers have been trained to date. Beyond that, they've provided testimony and just done a lot of advocacy work, working with legislators, which resulted in the passing of a bill to provide tax credits to preceptors who work with medical students, again to increase capacity in our region.

MedChi, again, promotes incentives to open or expand services in our zone. They helped to recruit a satellite office for Chesapeake Women's Health. Previously, we had no prenatal healthcare providers in Dorchester County. And then, also, three additional physicians were recruited.

We are proud to say we have a culturally competent workforce who can also address health

literacy challenges through training and increase access to peer recovery support through several partnerships and leveraging resources. Chesapeake Voyager and DRI-Dock, which is our recovery drop-in center, have peer support specialists who use their own life experiences and training to support those with substance abuse or mental health conditions or co-occurring.

Associated Black Charities established our community health worker team, and they really solidified the reach of our HEZ into homes and communities through various direct services like blood pressure screenings, chronic disease and diabetes self-management trainings, connecting to resources and education.

And this data listed here is just for the span of April to September 2016. So really, it's just a six-month snippet: 70 percent of currently enrolled participants are actively advocating for their health; 59 percent of participants with diabetes showed reduced medications prescribed by the primary care providers, so there can certainly be

some cost savings in that; 97 percent of participants report they trust their CHW and have modified their behavior to improve health outcomes.

Maryland Healthy Weighs offers medical weight loss services. And they did a yearlong study from July 2015 to June 2016 with 55 HEZ patients.

They lost an average of 15 percent of their initial BMI over an average of 25 weeks in the Phase 1, which is the weight-loss program. The average starting BMI for these patients was 44, and using results from a 2015 study published in *PharmacoEconomics*, the estimated savings in annual medical care costs for one HEZ patient is over \$13,000.

Eastern Shore Mobile Crisis Response, they actually receive funding from Behavioral Health Administration, but they've been such a crucial partner, and really, the collaboration is what made all of this happen, so I did want to highlight them as well.

They are crisis response. They help people in crisis with mental health issues, substance abuse, and developmental disabilities, however the person

1 defines crisis. They started a Dorchester-Caroline team to be a closer resource because of, again, that 2 3 transportation barrier and added 4.4 FTE behavioral 4 health professional positions, increasing capacity. 5 And the initial goal was to reduce response time to less than 60 minutes, but for the length at HEZ, they 6 7 have a reduced median response time of 21 minutes, 8 which if you know our area is a huge accomplishment. 9 And they facilitated 545 ER diversions and over 1,500 10 dispatches, for a potential savings of nearly 1.2 11 million based off of the Healthcare Bluebook value, 12 which rates ER visits or average psychiatric 13 emergency room calls. 14

I wanted to just show a slide of our reach for how many participants have received services, and this is for up to June 30th, 2016. A little over 4,200 unduplicated patients and over 21,000 patient visits. But I also wanted to add we have been keeping count of passive contacts because a lot is done with making phone calls, leaving messages, sending letters, e-mails, et cetera, for providing services, which was over 8,500 passive contacts.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And I also wanted to highlight how many new and retained jobs the funding has brought, just almost 26 FTE, and that includes, you know, school-based wellness nurse practitioner, physicians, community health workers, social workers, addictions counselors, and other support staff.

Of course, when we're talking about sustainability, I wanted to highlight our expenditures. For the four-year period, it's a little under 2.8 million. And these are the services vulnerable without HEZ funding. And I kind of already covered that, but I did want to have a slide so you can see some of the things that we're trying to sustain.

But I really wanted to get to the meat with the lessons learned and sustainability challenges.

And I lumped this together because I really think they kind of go hand-in-hand. To start off with, because we have so many partners providing such different services, collecting and compiling data is really challenging. And it wasn't until fall of 2015 that we began using Cyfluent EHR, which has, you

know, limitations as well.

And like was said earlier, ROI is not always tangible, and it's just too soon to effectively demonstrate. An example we've often referred to is, you know, how do you measure ROI for the trust that's built between a community health worker and a patient? But there's significant value in that, especially just being in a rural area with limited resources and cultural challenges. So continuation of funding would help us better be able to determine ROI.

We've made so much progress expanding the community health workforce, but we still had to continue advocating for reimbursement or policy change and buying from others such as, you know, healthcare providers and payers and insurers. We have committed partners who know our community and the people living in it and the integration and coordination among these partners to identify needs and develop solutions is really one of the main important pieces of our program.

And I just wanted to say, too, we need a

1	multifaceted approach because of the complexity of
2	issues, from provider shortages and transportation.
3	There isn't just one solution. We can't just look to
4	the hospitals. We can't look at just grant funding
5	or just insurance companies. And, of course, all of
6	this takes time and is not easily resolved.
7	So, you know, if HEZ no longer exists, we'd
8	be competing for the same funds, and we wouldn't be
9	able to communicate and collaborate to identify gaps
10	in serving the same population.

So I know I am out of time. So please, I strongly encourage you to read our participant testimonials. One of them is one of our ABC CHW participants, and then another highlights the asthma management program in Dorchester School-Based Wellness.

And I know you had mentioned you had never heard HEZ before, but with a project this intense, we live and breathe HEZ, and we, you know, have to lighten it up, and we like to do a play on words like what the HEZ is going on in here.

(Laughter.)

1	MS. MERCIER: So I'm just going to say I
2	want to let this HEZonate and pass it off.
3	(Laughter and applause.)
4	DR. THOMAS: Yeah, give her a hand, will
5	you?
6	(Applause.)
7	DR. THOMAS: And, you know, throughout
8	these talks, you're going to hear how much we saved
9	this, we saved that, we averted costs here. Does
LO	that just go into ether? So think about some of
L1	those. As you think about it, that adds up. That's
L2	real dollars somewhere to recover.
L3	Dr. Ernest Carter is with the Department of
L 4	Health. He is the Deputy Health Officer, Project
L5	Director of Prince George's County Health Department.
L 6	Dr. Carter, you have the floor.
L7	DR. CARTER: Thank you, Dr. Thomas.
L8	I would like to say before I start, I'm
L9	going to run out of time.
20	(Laughter.)
21	DR. CARTER: Because it's a lot to say, and
22	all of us have a lot to say, and you know, we're
	Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road

Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

going to try to get through most of this. And a lot of stuff we're not going to be able to say, but we're going to try. I can tell you one thing that I want to be able to be sure to impart to you all, that everybody up here is doing God's work. And that's what we're doing.

(Applause.)

DR. CARTER: And we say that in Prince
George's County. We say that in our meeting, we're
doing God's work. And so we're going to continue
this one way or the other.

Health Enterprise Zone, which is in Prince George's County. When we took on this project, our goal was to find a zip code where we actually could make a difference. We looked at all the zip codes that we had in our county, and the one that stood out to us was 20743. It had about 40,000 people in it. It didn't have hardly any physicians whatsoever. It had huge health disparities. All the outcome measures were very low. And we said, well, we've got to go after it. We have to go after this, because if we're

going to demonstrate how health disparities can change the dynamic in health costs, et cetera, et cetera, this is where we have to go. So that's where -- so we applied for the grant.

And this is what Capitol Heights looks like. That's 20743. And those little dots on there, which you can hardly see, but there was only one really major dot there before we started, and that was Greater Baden. Other than that, it was hardly anything there. And we had -- we put on this little map, we put where pharmacies were, everything else, and we said, oh my God, we don't have a lot of infrastructure here, we've got to do something. That means we have to come up with a system, not just a project. We have to come up with a system that's going to address this.

Because what we found in those -- where you see those little circles, there were townships.

There were three mayors and three municipalities in 20743. And we talked to them, and these are good people doing good work, again, doing God's work in communities that need to be built. And so we knew we

had to start with the people. We talked to the fire, police, everybody, anybody in the county so we could figure out -- in that particular zip code so we could figure out what kind of system do we need to create, and then how can we get to the promise land of being able to say we actually improved this zip code.

So in this particular overview, we set out to create a system. And to do that, we had to say, well, we start at the patient, we start at the client and say what do we need to do? Where are the points where we can create this system? And we looked at where people transition from hospital to home, then they come from a nursing home to home, or they come from some ER to home. At least we know that because they're going to get sick and use these facilities.

Where can we intervene?

So we started looking at that, and we realized that we've got services in the Health

Department that might be able to help. We realized that there are county services. And there are services all over the place, but they're not necessarily all in 20743. We're going to need a

system to coordinate these services. And not only that, we said to ourselves, we're going to have to have some people go out there. And this is one of the fundamental points here is that it's the peer-to-peer interaction. It's people in the community working with people in the community that's absolutely critical. Because that's how you change behavior is peer to peer. It's not all this other stuff; it's peer to peer.

And then you've got to create a system that helps peer-to-peer interaction, so we knew we had to use community health workers, and we need to have some health information technology underbelly so we can communicate, so we could pass information, and so that we can have a way to create what you call a longitudinal care record so that we can actually manage that. So we did that.

And the other thing, while we were in parallel, we knew people in -- we had a lot of uninsured folks, and we needed people to get onto insurance. So these were the considerations that we had. And more important, we knew that our health

system that surrounded this county had to help us with preventing disease. So we knew that we were going to have to create points of access to help people manage their disease.

But even more important than that, we knew we had to organize the community. So we created community coalitions. We created literacy coalitions. We worked with the folks in the community, at the community level, and we knew most importantly the bedrock of being able to transform a community was to make sure that the community has health literacy, because that is not — along with the peer—to—peer interaction, it's the health literacy that starts to transform. Knowing that, that's where we knew our system had to go, and more than anything else, we knew we were going to have to reduce costs. If we didn't do that, then people don't want to sustain us.

So one of the things we did in terms of creating the system was to say in order to reduce costs and create a system, it had to be what they called a value-based care system. It had to be a

system that relied on how the quality indicators were improved by what you did. But in order to do that, again, I'm going to keep re-emphasizing, you have to engage patients. So to do that, we came up with the criteria. And I didn't come up with this. This is, you know, this is -- you can find this on HHS' website, that we sort of imposed what we had onto those areas that could cause value-based purchasing in this area, which would be to do care coordination using community health workers, build a health information technology infrastructure, leverage CRISP and those types of things.

So we did that, and we came up with a system, and we started creating what we needed to make this Enterprise Zone work. First, we knew we had to increase the infrastructure, and that was one of the charges of the grant, so we said we need at least five Health Enterprise -- at least five patients in medical homes. We've got to bring some doctors in here. But we didn't want to bring doctors into a clinic necessarily. We wanted doctors to come in and have a practice there. So we insisted that

practices come in, we'll help you set up, and we said
we want five of those to help our people in this
Health Enterprise Zone.

Secondly, we said we're going to create a care coordination team using community health workers that were from the community. Number two, we're going to have to have an organization that oversaw that care coordination team, which we call a CCCT, a Community Care Coordination Team, that helped us with the quality of what we were doing and to oversee us. Are we doing a good job? Are we helping the patients who we were looking at? We had to have a health literacy campaign, and we also needed to integrate behavioral health into what we were doing. And that's what we set out to do, along with social services integration.

So here are some of the -- starting with increasing the access, here are some of our results.

We've had about 42,000 total number of patient visits in our Health Enterprise Zone after we had set up.

We have four. We have a fifth one that's really coming online in December. And we've had about

30,000 patients seen that have been unduplicated, and about 40 percent of those, 41 percent of those come from the zone itself. Now, one thing you should know about our numbers, we take everybody. You know, whether somebody comes in to see somebody in one of our facilities -- well, not our facilities, one of their facilities, if they are in the zip code or not, we take them. So that's how we count it, just so you know.

And we've increased the workforce, and you can see those numbers there. I think our zone FTE count has gone up to almost 25 now. The other thing we had to do then, that second down that tier, so we created these five, one coming online in December, and we feel like that's coming on, and we've done something there.

But secondly, we did care coordination.

And so we created the care coordination team, and we needed to know, okay, who are we coordinating? So the idea was to find the people who over-utilized that system the most. And what system were we talking about? Well, the hospitals, primarily. Are

they over-utilizing the emergency room and getting readmitted to the hospital too many times? So the best way for us to get that data was to go to CRISP and say, okay, who are the people who are really being readmitted all the time? Who are they, and can we go get them from our zip code, 20743?

And they were kind enough to supply us with that data, and we found out that it was about 10 percent of the people in our zip code who was really being readmitted the most, about 80 percent of the time. And that's on this graph. And it was really striking to us because that was only about -- in our zip code, it was somewhere around maybe 200 -- well, the 10 percent is about 400 people, but it really was about 270 people who were really causing the -- costing the system the most. So we said, well, we don't need to do a lot of risk stratification, we just go get -- let's go ask the hospitals who those people are and let's try to manage those people.

And so that's what we did. And so our care coordination team functioned that way, and I listed out here the way we went about creating that care

coordination team. And the idea was to make sure we take care of that patient's needs. And you can see all of the delineation there, because I don't have a lot of time. I'm out now, but I'm still going to get through this.

(Laughter.)

DR. CARTER: So what we did, we did create those teams. We decided that we needed to concentrate a lot on their social determinants, be able to manage them when they come out of the hospital, but not only out of the hospital, also between their doctor visits. And we did that.

We created that Community Care Coordination
Team, that oversight team, which really has about 45
people in it. It has EMS. It has visiting home
nursing. It has QIO. It has all the people who
touch that patient at some point. It has our case
managers from the hospital systems. It has case
managers from our patients in medical homes. And
it's overseen by Barbara Banks-Wiggins, who makes
sure all that stuff happens. And what that does is
we take case by case, and we look at their needs, and

we try to satisfy their needs. And each one of their needs we delineate into a pathway. It's sort of like a workflow. And if we can say we meet that need, then we've gotten over that workflow, that pathway.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So that organization exists. We have a workflow for it, and it works for us really, really well. And this is sort of -- these are just sort of some graphical depictions. I'm going to get through that real quick. And I'm not going to go over a case. We have nice cases that we could tell you about, people who came in that had horrible situations, that when we did each, when we addressed each one of their needs, we were able to help these We call those people people who graduated people. from our program. And it takes about 120 days to graduate. I don't know about you guys, but it took us about an average of 120 days to graduate somebody from our program.

But we had -- so we got the data from the hospitals, and I'm going to show you our 2015 data, where we looked at the people who graduated, the people who were noncompliant but we still were

working with, but they were not compliant. Then we had people that we're working with but they're still ongoing. After 120 days, they still have need after need. And you all can imagine that. I'm going to show you the data we got from working with these patients.

This particular graph right here shows to you each one of our pathways. These are the needs that we concentrated on. When we sent a community health worker out, they had to do initial assessment, and they created a profile of needs. And each one of those needs, you can see in this graph, shows you how needy people were. And they had combinations of these. It's going to be interesting, and at least was interesting to us is that even the people who we touched once and were noncompliant, something happened.

And in this graph right here, this is our analysis of our 2015 hospital data. This is from Doctors Hospital and Dimensions. We found that the people who graduated from our program, that they stuck -- six months prior to coming and seeing us,

they would have been in the -- they would have been

seen -- they would have had 3.5 hospital visits. But

then after seeing us, six months after that, that

six-month period after seeing us, those visits

dropped to about two visits. But that was a

tremendous cost savings. That's almost a \$10,000

cost savings.

But the other thing that was interesting to us was the people who were noncompliant, they also -they had about six visits, on average, six months
before we saw them. Six months after, it dropped to
about five, which also was a cost savings. So
there's something about touching that person. It is.
And everybody is going to say that. It's something
about having that -- even if they're noncompliant
helps to save money. I'll just say it that way, and
that's as quick as I can say it.

So I'm not going to go through all the other stuff. We had a great health literacy campaign. We do things with Sister Circles, which also helps modify people's behavior. And I'm not going -- and I guess I don't have time to go through

all our successes and lessons learned, because like
Angela, myself, Sharon, Pat, Lori, and Maha, we did a
whole lot of work in four years, and we got like 15
minutes to talk about it.

(Laughter.)

DR. CARTER: I can't talk about it in 15 minutes.

(Applause.)

DR. CARTER: I can't do that. I can't do

it. It's impossible. So you can look at our slides,

I suppose, and look at our lessons learned. We've

got a whole lot of lessons to learn. We've got

things that we think can be sustainable. But because

I'm out of time, I just wanted to make that one

point. Our work actually does save money, because

we've got the data, everybody here has the data. Our

work is God's work. We need to be sustained.

(Applause.)

DR. THOMAS: I'm so glad to hear that it's possible to talk about the patient as not being the problem. All right? So you built the systems around that were making the problem, not labeling them in

1	ways that were negative or stereotype. I love that
2	graduating, graduating from our program. So even
3	bringing in this new language is extremely important
4	for how we move forward.
5	Now, from Anne Arundel Medical Center, we
6	have Sharon Cameron and Dr. Patricia Czapp. You have
7	the floor.
8	(Applause.)
9	DR. CZAPP: Hi. Hello. Good morning, I'm
10	Pat.
11	MS. CAMERON: Good morning, I'm Sharon.
12	DR. CZAPP: And we're going to do something
13	a little different. We thought about this last night
14	at the last possible moment. We decided we're going
15	to tell you a story, and this is a special story for
16	two reasons: number one, it's brief; number two,
17	this story won an award. It won the 2015 National
18	Total Cost of Care Story Contest. And we feel like
19	this is a story about our HEZ. It tells the story
20	well.
21	Sharon, let's do it.
22	MS. CAMERON: "'Doc, I need an MRI for my

back.'"

DR. CZAPP: "I recognized the voice immediately and turned to greet one of my favorite patients, Mr. P. There he was, smiling, leaning on his walker. Mr. P visits me several times a day in my primary care office that is essentially in his living room.

"The practice itself, sized fewer than a thousand square feet, is on the first floor of a high-rise apartment building that houses disabled and low-income adults. My team and I provide primary care to the residents of the building, which is a public housing unit, and the surrounding community, a diverse population that has in common these characteristics: social isolation, poverty, low health literacy and low general literacy, a high prevalence of behavioral health problems, and limited transportation.

"We came to practice in the building because our health system, Anne Arundel Medical Center, several years ago noted a high number of ED visits from individuals of one address. We visited

1	the address to meet the residents of the building and
2	their landlord, the local housing authority. We
3	found a population of individuals who were aged
4	beyond their years, suffering from preventable
5	complications of chronic disease and for whom a visit
6	to the hospital met medical as well as non-medical
7	needs, individuals like Mr. P.
8	"Mr. P is a man living a marginalized
9	existence, but he thrives when people take the time
10	to listen to him, to touch him and show him that they
11	care. For many decades he found this comfort in the
12	ED. When his landlord agreed to try an experiment
13	with us, we came to practice in his building. Mr. P
14	was one of our earliest patients. We provide a low-
15	cost alternative to meet his needs and do so with
16	kindness, tolerance and generosity.
17	"'What happened to your back, Mr. P,' I
18	asked. 'Did you fall or hurt yourself?'"
19	MS. CAMERON: "'No, Doctor. I just woke

DR. CZAPP: "Rather than lecture him about

Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

up, got out of bed, and it hurt real bad for a while.

I could hardly stand up.'"

20

21

22

1	the lack of medical necessity for an MRI, I accompany
2	him to his modest apartment where we together review
3	the condition of his bed and mattress and suggest
4	alternative ways to use pillows to support his back.
5	Mr. P beams"
6	MS. CAMERON: "'Thank you so much.'"
7	DR. CZAPP: "and then meanders toward
8	the community room. If we had not been there to
9	intercept Mr. P, he would have dialed 911. It shocks
10	many to learn that many individuals use the ED for
11	non-emergency and non-medical needs, but for some
12	this is their only access to supportive human
13	interaction.
14	"Our practice has been open for three
15	years. In that time, we have experienced a
16	significant decrease in medical 911 calls, ED visits,
17	admissions and readmissions of residents of the
18	apartment building. They have an alternative now to
19	the ED, and we meet their social needs in their
20	living room, one visit at a time, sometimes multiple

MS. CAMERON: "'Doc, I need a CAT scan for

21

22

times a day."

1	my head.'"
2	DR. CZAPP: That's our story.
3	(Applause.)
4	DR. CZAPP: Okay, so we're going to fly
5	through these slides. You already know what we did.
6	We opened a patient center medical home, a very
7	special one, with the aim to not only serve residents
8	of that building but the surrounding environment,
9	which is medically underserved.
10	Our goals were to provide a special type of
11	primary care to them. We accomplished that. Our
12	secondary goal was to reduce that potentially
13	avoidable utilization, and we accomplished that. We
14	have, to date, in that tiny space, served with
15	medical care 1,700 people, more folks through
16	navigational services.
17	Some lessons that we learned. Take it
18	away.
19	MS. CAMERON: All right. On-demand
20	services: It's not about our schedule; it's actually
21	about our patient's schedule. Team-based care: It's
22	not all about the doctor. Let me say that again.

It's not all about the doctor. 1 2 DR. CARTER: Wait a minute now. 3 (Laughter.) 4 MS. CAMERON: It's not, okay? 5 DR. CZAPP: Current company excepted. MS. CAMERON: Fun health education events: 6 7 It's all about them. Relationship building: a 8 trusted, consistent team, not the free clinic, a 9 parade of volunteers. Psychosocial needs competently 10 identified and addressed. Navigational services, 11 particularly for the newly insured, who really don't 12 know what this means to them. Medication therapy management. Health coaching: tobacco use cessation 13 14 counseling. 15 Also, we have a ready and willing network 16 of behavioral health, dental, and medical 17 subspecialty providers. Integrated EMR, which helps 18 immensely, especially in capturing all the data that 19 we need in the matrix. Traditional and non-

> Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

police, the food bank, whatever it is that we need to

meet the needs of that individual at that moment in

traditional community partnerships: housing, EMS,

20

21

22

time, that's what our team is trained to do.

huddles, constant humor and goodwill.

My mantra is welcoming, forgiving, tolerant atmosphere, absolutely no judgment to patients, family, and staff. Everyone is welcome where they are at that moment in time and beyond. Ongoing staff training/coaching: annual retreat, daily team

The really important thing about staff training, and I need to emphasize this, is when you're hiring your staff in these Enterprise Zones, they need to be compassionate, passionate, well trained in their skill; however, trained in additional scenarios like crisis prevention/intervention, where they're able to, you know, learn how to deescalate a situation as opposed to escalate a situation and also how to be able to navigate community resources. That is crucial from all levels, from the time they walk in.

Want me to keep on going, or you got it?

DR. CZAPP: Do you want me -- okay. So
other lessons learned: Just because you build it
does not necessarily mean they will come. This is a

1 marginalized society. They're angry. They're hurt.
2 We have a lot of history to get past, so you have to

3 be there past the photo op, if you will.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

aware of that.

4 Intercultural conflicts can be overcome.

5 If I had more time, I'd go into those stories.

The newly insured individuals don't know what a primary doc is or what that person can do.

They don't know how to refill a prescription. They don't know why they should keep a follow-up appointment. So what we do is we are that patient training ground, if you will. Obviously, if you're doing this in somebody's living room, you have to be

You already talked about the staff. So, in summary, right care is given at the right time and the right place, chronic disease in marginalized populations is identified and treated earlier so we can prevent those costly complications, and a trusted community-based healthcare resource provides a better alternative to the ED. If you can accomplish that, wow.

So sustainability, we're just going to keep

1	doing this because it's the right thing to do, right?
2	We've seen results. We've seen reductions in PAU.
3	We've made people happy. So would we stop now? Are
4	you kidding me? How would we ever recover if we
5	pulled out? The door would be slammed shut forever.
6	So we will be continuing this. We operate the clinic
7	at a loss, but we hope that by telling our story we
8	can inspire others to go ahead and do this as well.
9	It can be done. Thank you.
10	(Applause.)
11	MS. CAMERON: Before we totally close,
12	there are some members of the audience we really need
13	to recognize. Dr. Scott Eden, who is our Medical
14	Director of the Community Clinics, please stand up.
15	(Applause.)
16	MS. CAMERON: Dr. Kari Bichell is our
17	anchor physician.
18	(Applause.)
19	MS. CAMERON: We have Joanne Ebner and
20	Tuesday Tynan, our tobacco cessation team. Please
21	stand up. They come on-site.
22	(Applause.)
	From State Poperting Inc

1	MS. CAMERON: We have our grant team over
2	there, Gretchen Mulvihill and Maureen O'Neill, which
3	is phenomenal.
4	(Applause.)
5	MS. CAMERON: We also have Lenny Nyangwara.
6	Woo. Yeah.
7	(Applause.)
8	MS. CAMERON: He is the director of our
9	respiratory team and really helps us immensely.
10	And I want to do a special thank you to
11	Tori and the executive leadership team for supporting
12	us and really being part of this journey, one step at
13	a time, one patient at a time, one moment at a time.
14	Thank you so very much, everybody.
15	(Applause.)
16	DR. THOMAS: Yeah, I just really love this
17	theme of dignity and respect that's running through
18	these conversations of putting the patient at the
19	center and not blaming them. I really like the self-
20	reflection, that you're saying we as health
21	professionals must look in the mirror, look at
22	ourselves, and address those issues. And at the core
	Free State Reporting, Inc.

1	is trust. Many of these communities, like you said,
2	are angry. And I love the story. That's the other
3	part of this. Behind every one of those data points
4	is a human being. Give their story life and lift it
5	up. What a wonderful, wonderful and you make it
6	sound so fun.
7	DR. CZAPP: It is.
8	DR. THOMAS: To save lives.
9	DR. CZAPP: We love it.
10	DR. THOMAS: You look forward to going to
11	work, right?
12	DR. CZAPP: We do.
13	DR. THOMAS: Now, from MedStar St. Mary's,
13 14	DR. THOMAS: Now, from MedStar St. Mary's, we have Lori Werrell, who's the Project Director and
14	we have Lori Werrell, who's the Project Director and
14 15	we have Lori Werrell, who's the Project Director and Director of Population and Community Health at
14 15 16	we have Lori Werrell, who's the Project Director and Director of Population and Community Health at MedStar St. Mary's. You have the floor.
14 15 16 17	we have Lori Werrell, who's the Project Director and Director of Population and Community Health at MedStar St. Mary's. You have the floor. MS. WERRELL: Thank you. Can you hear me
14 15 16 17	we have Lori Werrell, who's the Project Director and Director of Population and Community Health at MedStar St. Mary's. You have the floor. MS. WERRELL: Thank you. Can you hear me now? Okay. So, you know, what they said.
14 15 16 17 18	we have Lori Werrell, who's the Project Director and Director of Population and Community Health at MedStar St. Mary's. You have the floor. MS. WERRELL: Thank you. Can you hear me now? Okay. So, you know, what they said. (Laughter.)

puppies didn't really know what we were doing, to be perfectly honest, but we knew our communities. And so we set out, just like everybody else, to try to tell a story of a community that doesn't have a voice.

In our case, it's Lexington Park, which is an area right outside our naval base. Embedded in a rural county of about 110,000 folks are 35,000 folks that live in the greater Lexington Park area. And, you know, when we -- when I took my job, I took my job about six years ago, you know, I was charged with trying to find ways to improve the health of our community.

So when the Health Enterprise Zone grants came up, we looked at it. And we had a hard time in our community getting money because it's hard to tell our story because St. Mary's County is a relatively wealthy county. So when we looked at the zip codes, when we realized that Lexington Park, Great Mills, and Park Hall qualified, I had one of those moments where I went, we qualified! And then I'm like, oh my gosh, we actually qualified.

1 (Laughter.) We have more -- we do have a 2 MS. WERRELL: 3 problem. We do have folks that don't have what they 4 need. So, you know, this is not about MedStar St. 5 Mary's Hospital. What this story of the last four 6 years is about is about, you know, again, the 7 patients and clients we serve, and it's about the folks doing the work. So June Castro and Debbie 8 Baker are here from our team. 9 10 Debbie is community health worker 11 personified. She has grabbed this role, and she's 12 It's like if Debbie doesn't know the our go-to now. people, first of all, there's a problem because I 13 14 think Debbie knows everybody. And then she's related 15 to the other half of the folk that she maybe doesn't 16 know as well. 17 And June Castro, who is our operations 18 specialist, kind of our jack-of-all-trades, I mean, 19 the woman is running bus routes. I don't think she 20 saw that in her job description when we started this 21 journey. So I want to say that's the story. 22 And so we do -- we also have a patient

story, and Debbie's going to help me a little bit with the details. But this just tells you how far we've come, but how far we have to go.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So we have a gentleman that we've been working with, and he needed hernia surgery. was specialized hernia surgery, so of course, it had to happen at the University of Maryland, which might as well be on the moon if you live in St. Mary's County and you don't have transportation. So through a series of phone calls and coordinations, we got him up there to get his surgery, and then they found bedbugs on him, and so they wouldn't let him come home until the bed bugs were taken care of. Debbie got on the phone and got an exterminator in there through, you know, working with our partners in the housing authority and a bunch of other people, got the bed bugs remediated. He only had to stay like an extra day at the hospital, which was good. So we saved the University of Maryland some money. Got him home. Home health was supposed to

show up on Monday because, of course, he's wearing a big old Foley bag, you know, one of the big ones

1	that's up on his belt, so gravity is not helping him
2	there. And the home health agency from up the road
3	was supposed to come in and take care of all this.
4	They got there, and they refused to go into the home.
5	So we got a call. So, again, the healthcare system
6	has not fixed itself yet.
7	Got a call. Debbie and Stephanie, his care
8	coordinator, went to work, because they're like now
9	what do we do? Because if we leave him there with a
10	full Foley bag, guess where he's going to be? In our
11	emergency room. First call we made was to his PCP;
12	can you please see him and show him how to empty this
13	Foley and make sure he's okay? Primary care doctor
14	said send him to the ED. Stephanie said no, he
15	doesn't need to go to the ED.
16	(Applause.)
17	MS. WERRELL: So I think she was having a
18	mini nervous breakdown at this point because she had
19	said no but she didn't know what she was going to do.
20	(Laughter.)
21	MS. WERRELL: So we put our heads together,
22	kept trying, made some more phone calls. A local
	Free State Reporting, Inc.

1	walk-in primary care/urgent care associate, we're all
2	related down in St. Mary's County, called them and
3	said we know this is weird, but would you, if we got
4	him to you, would you (A) empty the bag, make sure
5	that it's emptied and that he's okay, and teach him
6	how to empty it himself because he has some cognitive
7	issues. And they said yes, and we said okay. So
8	Debbie jumped in what, the repurposed cop car?
9	MS. BAKER: Yes.
10	MS. WERRELL: Yeah, jumped into our
11	repurposed cop car, which we got from the County
12	because we didn't have enough money to buy another
13	one, vehicle, when we realized we needed one. Went
14	to his house, picked him up, took him over, got him
15	taken care of, took him home. He's not in the
16	emergency room. He doesn't have a UTI. Yeah.
17	(Applause.)
18	MS. WERRELL: So still a lot broken, but a
19	whole lot fixed because that gentleman would probably
20	still not have his hernia surgery if the HEZ did not
21	exist.
22	So having said that, you all that have been

around for a while have seen these slides a million

times. We're very proud of our community work. We

moved them, early on, down into the zone. They were

up at the hospital in the very beginning. We

realized very quickly that wasn't going to work. And

now they have more clients that walk in and ask for

help than they probably find when they call, make

their calls.

So our community down in the Park is about 70 percent Caucasian, 30 percent African American.

We have a small Hispanic population. But the clients we're serving are disproportionately coming from our minority populations, which we think is really great because it means we are building trust. They're coming in, they're asking for help, they want to be healthier, and we see that as a real win.

There's just, you know, the usual picture.

Lexington Park is the smaller area. If you don't know where St. Mary's County is, we're the one all the way at the bottom, surrounded by water. And I'm sorry it took some people to get here from Baltimore. It only took me an hour and a half from St. Mary's

County this morning.

(Laughter.)

MS. WERRELL: So here's our major program components. Again, not a whole lot different than anybody else's, although transportation is huge for us because of our rurality. Here's some of our demographics; you've seen them all. We're all doing well. There's no need to really spend a lot of time here.

So sustainability, you know, you don't want to -- the worst thing you can do is start something that is working and then pull out, the absolute worst thing you can do because then you've set yourself back. You might as well not have started it because the community is not going to trust you. They're not going to think that you're there for them.

So the good news is, you know, between us and our partners, we are sustaining all the things we feel are critical. So, you know, the hospital absorbed the care coordination, CHWs. Between the hospital and our partner, Greater Baden, transportation. Baden's taking dental. We have two

behavioral health partners that are committed to sticking with us.

We've been in the process for a very long time of building a house center. It's not done yet, but you know what? So what. We're still going to get there, and it will open, and it will do what it was designed to do. And so we in the meantime, opened another primary care office down in the zone so we could get providers down there. As we find them and can recruit them, which is difficult for us, we're bringing them into this other office, and we'll sort them out and staff the health center once we get it up and running.

So lessons learned -- I don't think any of us is doing what we originally thought we were going to be doing, because I don't know about anybody else, but we wrote our grant really late at night, under a lot of pressure, and things that sounded good then maybe weren't so smart afterwards.

But again, lessons learned, the same as everybody else. You know, we are seeing some reduction in our readmissions in the HEZ versus the

1	county as a whole, and so we're clinging to that.
2	End of story, because that's really what's important
3	is the patient experience. And there's a health
4	center being built. Better late than never.
5	And so thank you.
6	(Applause.)
7	DR. THOMAS: Wonderful. Again, love the
8	stories, and amazing that saying no was the way to
9	get to yes, and the flexibility and the creativity or
10	realizing that what you wrote in the original grant
11	had to now be adjusted by the realities on the
12	ground, and again, showing that man dignity and
13	respect. Those people that refused to go in the
14	house, you need to really document that as well. So
15	all across the whole system, we have to advocate and
16	make change.
17	Our next speaker comes from West Baltimore
18	Primary Care Access, Maha I'm going to have you
19	pronounce your name for everyone.
20	MS. SAMPATH: Sure. My name is Maha
21	Sampath.
22	DR. THOMAS: Maha Sampath. Give her a

hand, will you?

2 (Applause.)

MS. SAMPATH: So good morning, everyone.

Just like everyone already shared, so I just want to share a little bit of the West Baltimore, which is the urban HEZ that was mentioned earlier. So I just want to talk a little bit about our call to action.

So for West Baltimore Primary Care Access
Collaborative, Bon Secours Baltimore is the fiduciary
organization. And more than Bon Secours Baltimore
being just the community hospital, we were on the
population health track before it was known as
population health. So we have housing, we have a
support center called Community Works, where we
primarily address social determinants of health. So
HEZ is a perfect grant for us to go through. So
thank you for giving us the grant.

So our call to action, I just want to talk a little bit about what the West Baltimore community looks like. We have approximately 86,000 residents, mostly African Americans, and the median income is only \$27,000. And we have the highest disease burden

and the worst indicators of social determinants of
health than any other community in the state of
Maryland. And then as you can notice, that's where
the unrest happened in April, so a community which
has a huge need.

What does our patient look like? The patients are usually often unemployed or they are part of the working poor. They are living in and out of crisis daily. They are frequently on the edge of homelessness. And they are three times more likely to have cardiovascular disease than any other area in the state of Maryland.

As part of the Health Enterprise Zone, we knew that Bon Secours couldn't do it all, so we partnered with a number of partners, as mentioned on the slide. We partnered with FQHCs, we partner with four other hospitals, community-based organizations, schools, as well as the City and the State.

I just want to talk a little bit about our goals and strategies for building a health community. So our focus was on the 86,000 residents that reside in West Baltimore, primarily in the four zip codes of

16, 17, 23, and 29. But we focus on the 1,200 high utilizers as well. Our core disease and target conditions that we focus on is cardiovascular disease as well as the cardiovascular risk factors, which is diabetes, hypertension, et cetera. strategies that we have implemented is the care coordination, which is our biggest piece of what we do, as well as community-based risk factor reduction strategies. And we have a number of strategies underneath it that I'll talk about.

This is our structure. We have a steering committee that provides us oversight and guidance, and Bon Secours Baltimore, as I mentioned, is the fiduciary organization that provides program management. We have an advisory board that assists us in terms of daily programmatic initiatives that we work on, that advises on those things, as well as we have the program management team, where we have two coordinators as well as one community health worker as well. And then I would be remiss if I don't thank our State HEZ Team, who has always been super supportive of everything that we've done, even in

times where we struggle with data.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Our care coordination piece, which is huge, we actually partner with the coordinating center in providing these services, and this is focused on the high utilizer population, which is the 1,200 that I mentioned before. And we follow patients for 30 days and 60 days as needed.

And how it actually works is there's a referral made from the hospital, usually by the case management team based on a set of criteria, a high utilizer criteria that's been identified, and then they are referred to the coordinating center as they're enrolled into the care coordination program, and then where a health coach or a community health worker goes into the patient's home, makes a home visit and up to three phone calls. That's our ideal intervention. And they are followed for 30 days and up to 60 days as needed. And then as mentioned before, if they complete the full intervention, then they have officially graduated from the program. then we keep track of the number of referrals that's been made, how many went through the full program as

well as the readmission rate.

These are the other factors, because we can't just focus on the medical and the clinical piece and not address the other issues that may be other factors that may be the source of these issues. One is increased identification and screening of residents. So we look at the screening rates for the cardiovascular risk factors that I talked about at our provider practices and see how many visits have we had, and then have we increased it over year to year since the HEZ has been in place.

I don't know if you can see this, but second it says recruitment of primary care professionals. The state tax credits has been huge in this piece in terms of recruitment and retaining of providers into the zone. And then we also do community outreach and health awareness education. So one piece is the community health worker that we have. She actually goes into public and senior housing facilities where she provides care coordination as well as brings in other folks from Hopkins or University of Maryland to do vision

1 screenings and, you know, blood pressure screenings 2 and things like that.

We also have cooking classes. We have nutrition education.

And then we also have community partnership grants. So using the money that we have in order to have a larger reach, we provide mini grants to other community-based organizations such as Paul's Place, schools so they can have a larger impact into the community and serve more residents that we can't serve as an HEZ ourselves.

We also have a scholarship program because, if you can imagine, it's really hard to recruit folks to come work in West Baltimore. So for folks who are pursuing careers in healthcare who live within the four zones, and if they're pursuing something in healthcare, we give them a stepping point so that, you know, they can start a career in healthcare and hopefully be employed in the zone, commit to being employed in the zone two years after they've graduated. And then we also do -- we connect them with Bon Secours Community Works where they can get

assistance with job readiness and job placement as well.

Physical activity. Safe places. Free fitness classes. So it's safe places for folks to come and exercise. And then we also give them incentives in order to keep them coming back.

Some of our impacts and outcomes: So we've successfully connected 7,200 high utilizers to a community health worker. We've had about 7,400 encounters with the high utilizers in terms of home visits, phone calls, health screenings, and clinic visits. We connect those high utilizers to a primary care provider.

We've provided state tax credits and loan repayments in the amount of \$116,000 to about 17 HEZ providers, awarded 16 community-based organizations with a total of \$130,000 to support community-based cardiovascular programs serving 2500 residents.

We've awarded 85 scholarships, about \$250,000 to students pursuing careers in healthcare.

We offer free fitness classes in partnership with our neighborhood recreation centers

1	because we have to realize that, you know, that's
2	where folks go and that's within the community, and
3	churches. And we've seen an average weight decrease
4	of about 15 pounds, as well as a BMI decrease of
5	about 1 5

We have provided 25 community health workers trainings, as well as one trauma informed care training. That's also been really helpful. I know we got great feedback from that because folks that come into West Baltimore, they have at least five or six traumatic factors that have affected them in their life, so we have to keep that in mind before we can give them any sort of medical care. And then we also, we are planning for a cultural competency training as well.

Some of the outcomes that have been shared:
West Baltimore, in terms of our decrease in
readmission rates, overall improvement in quality of
care in terms of our PQIs.

So just talking a little bit about data, something that we've been doing is working with CRISP to see what the pre and post impact has been for our

care coordination program. So the initial preliminary results only focused on the one hospital out of the five hospitals that we work with, and it has shown some improvements in charges and visits for the residents that we've served in that population. The plan is to do that for all five hospitals, and our next report is due end of November. So we are eagerly waiting to see what those results look like.

have two minutes until your stretch break. So we have a lot of partners, which is great, but that also comes with we need to be extremely clear on their roles and responsibilities and then continuing to keep them engaged when there's lots of things that are going on in the, you know, healthcare arena. And they also have competing priorities, not just with other things that they're working on, but they also have their own care coordination efforts that they're building within their hospital.

And then in terms of the patient population, we talked a lot about trust. There's not a lot of trust there, and you know, these folks are

struggling with just the basic resources. It's not
the clinical things that they are looking for, but
it's, you know, food, utilities, housing. So that's
what they're looking for, so you need to affect those
before you can move on to anything else. So
continuing the dialogue with the community to see
what they actually need.

And being flexible and agile with our shift of focus. So originally, we tried to focus on all 86,000 residents, and we realized that's difficult, so we moved to -- you know, we need a separate approach for the 1,200 high utilizers and then focusing on prevention and community outreach for the other population. We are planning for sustainability, but obviously we're here because we are looking for funding. But we wish we had planned for this well ahead of time, but then it's also hard to plan for it when you don't have much data to show for. But we are working diligently to get the data to prove our business case.

Almost done, I promise. Moving to sustainability, some of the things that we worked on

1	is assessing ongoing engagement of these partners.
2	We explored filing a 501(c)(3) and becoming our own
3	entity and filing for grants that way, but then we
4	decided that that would need additional
5	infrastructure. Who's going to pay for it, et
6	cetera, so we ruled that out, and we decided that it
7	nicely aligns with our currently finished CHNA
8	process, so we are working towards building something
9	around that. And then finalizing the CRISP reporting
10	hopefully will help us build a stronger business
11	case.
12	And then we did get a grant from the Kaiser
13	Foundation to help with our scholarship program, so
14	we did assist more folks than we thought we
15	originally could and then connected them with
16	Community Works so people can get jobs and such.
17	And do we have time for a patient story?
18	It will be one minute, I promise.
19	Jennifer, can I invite you up?
20	MS. SULIN-STAIR: Good morning, my name is
21	Jennifer Sulin-Stair, and I'm the program coordinator
22	for what we call our Get Well program at the
	Free State Reporting, Inc.

coordinating center, and I just wanted to share a

huge impact that we had particularly -- I know we

have a huge impact on everyone, but in particular a

very high utilizer who was 37 years old and had

actually been to multiple hospitals within our Health

Enterprise Zone.

We got a referral. She was 37, morbidly obese, diabetes, legally blind from diabetic complications, hypertension, high cholesterol, and a history of mental health issues. She had three children and one with a disability, and she was what we call medically homeless, meaning she did not have a primary care physician.

So we were referred, and of course, sometimes when we go to visit, individuals don't really want to see us because they're not really sure what we're offering. And as we were able to get to know her, we found out a lot of different things that we were able to do to help her.

One important thing was that she was insulin dependent, and her glucometer did not talk to her. So she was only checking her blood pressure

when her boyfriend was home or her oldest child was home because if she checked it, you know, she often couldn't see the reading. So we were able to get her, through our nurse contacting and getting her connected to a primary care physician, we were able to get her a glucometer that spoke to her. And in addition to that, we got her the insulin pen, where she could click and she would know how much insulin she needed through that click. So that made her independent, which also decreased her need to go to the emergency room.

In addition, we helped her with transportation. In getting her signed up for long-term MA transportation, we were able to provide transportation for her on an immediate basis to get her to the primary care physician and to get her to the Joslin Diabetes Center that we were able to hook her up with. And in addition to that, we were able to help her sign up for WIC and get her some of those services so she could get food into the house.

So Dr. Reece and Dr. Thomas, thank you from her family for helping her and having such a huge

1 | impact on her life.

2 (Applause.)

DR. THOMAS: Well, it really does take a village, doesn't it? Thank you very much.

It really, really does take a village, and I know in the city of Baltimore it's hard to get a good story out, right? And so the one story I did read in the Sun was, you know, had to do with people not trusting. But once you open the door and the people start sharing, you're going to be ready to be told off. You might get told off for the first three months, because that's how they build trust. And now this positive story also needs to find legs and have a voice. What a wonderful panel. Give them a hand again, will you?

(Applause.)

DR. THOMAS: Now, we're doing fine on time. Those microphones, let's have people line up at the mic. Just line up at the mic because I don't want to have to come out there and take a mic away. But just line right up. Stand right up and introduce yourself, please.

Oh, you're such a gentleman. Ladies first.

I see.

MS. SAMMONS HACKETT: Good morning. I'm

Doreleena Sammons Hackett, and I'm the Executive

Director for the Directors of Health Promotion and

Education in Washington, D.C. I am so impressed with

the work that these communities have done, and you,

the Right Reverend Dr. Ernest Carter.

(Laughter.)

MS. SAMMONS HACKETT: I'm a P.G. County resident, so I'm quite proud of your efforts. I often wonder and wanted to know, how well were you able to get men into care? I've dealt with 36 years of public health and directed a cancer screening program for women and a prostate cancer screening program for men and always note that men are the ones that are least likely to have a medical home because they don't go unless somebody else pushes them. So my question to the panel is how successful were you in reaching men and getting men to become compliant?

DR. THOMAS: Very good question, the

demographics cutting along gender lines. Who wants

to take that? Just jump right in there.

MS. WERRELL: Actually, I want June and

3 Debbie to answer that question for us. What do you

4 think?

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

DR. THOMAS: Since they're recording, just step over there to the mic. Uh-oh. Don't pass that

7 mic around.

MS. CASTRO: For our office, it was very easy. Because we're right there in the neighborhood, once everybody heard about what we were doing, we didn't have a problem with the guys coming in. We have veterans who come in who didn't realize they were veterans.

DR. THOMAS: Okay.

MS. CASTRO: Once we helped them realize that, they -- you build that trust, they start doing what you recommend. Debbie is the mother. She gives them tough love. She will really let them know if you don't do this, this is what's going to be the consequence.

DR. THOMAS: You mean she can tell those men off and make them like it, and make them like it?

1	MS. CASTRO: Debbie is known in the
2	community, so she can do a lot that others can't.
3	DR. THOMAS: Okay. Others, how are you
4	getting men? Quickly, just take the mic.
5	DR. CZAPP: One thing that worked for us
6	pretty well was having men's health events right
7	there.
8	DR. THOMAS: Okay.
9	DR. CZAPP: And especially if they were run
10	by men. That peer-to-peer interaction really helped
11	a lot.
12	DR. THOMAS: You can keep the mics on.
13	Just keep them live.
14	Go ahead, Ernest.
15	DR. CARTER: What we had, what happened
16	that happens a lot, and what you said is absolutely
17	true. And what we found well, we had 50/50. We
18	found almost 50 percent of our people who get
19	referred to us are men, so we're balanced. But when
20	you look at who graduated, most of the women
21	graduated; most of the men were in that category
22	where we lost them in the follow-up, et cetera, et
	Free State Reporting, Inc.

cetera. So we increased the number of men community
health workers. That helped a small bit, but there
is a huge problem there, and we're still trying to

DR. THOMAS: So we've got to work on issues of masculinity, that masculinity doesn't mean that you simply wait until your arm's ready to fall off before you say you've got a problem. And the ladies in the lives of these men can make a big difference.

Yes, sir?

address that.

DR. JACOBS: Yes, I am Troy Jacobs. I'm a pediatrician. I work in D.C. And this question came to mind as Dr. Carter was talking of the work that's happening in Prince George's County, but I suspect that any of the speakers that are from suburban or urban areas, and this is maybe -- Maha was talking about model complexity. Maybe this is part of that is that as we understand the care coordination that needs to happen, the social networks that exist in these communities in our zones that we're working in, very quickly we reach and we start to cross jurisdictions.

1	In the case of Capitol Heights, you cross
2	state boundaries, and the benefits change and
3	there's our patients or clients, in fact, are
4	navigating those cross-jurisdiction boundaries. What
5	experience have you had in terms of or best
6	practices or visions in terms of going forward,
7	because I think as we think about how to broaden the
8	net, how to actually improve the resources, we have
9	to think beyond the jurisdictions, beyond the
10	boundaries of the zones as we've defined them. And
11	in some of them, it becomes a very pressing issue,
12	particularly I would say in Prince George's County,
13	Capitol Heights.
14	DR. THOMAS: It's hard to imagine that we
15	have border health issues right here in the state of
16	Maryland?
17	DR. CARTER: Oh, absolutely. And then I
18	can tell you that was one of the first things we
19	understood and had to consider, especially when
20	you're trying to get data about people who are
21	crossing the border all the time. But not only just
22	Prince George's County, but we're surrounded we've

got Montgomery County, we have Anne Arundel, we have
Charles. We're surrounded by ways we need to

collaborate.

need to take a regional approach. We can't take -you can't say that we're in Prince -- you know, we
can't just concentrate on that particular zip code.

So one of the ways is we just got in the car and
drove over to D.C., went over to the D.C. Primary

Care Association, who, you know, basically works with

Unity clinics and said we need to connect to you.

And then, you know, talked to people, just talked to
people and said we need to connect to you, we need to
be able to try to get your data. We work with CRISP,
who, you know, now has connectivity in D.C. about
getting data.

But also, you know, how do we -- those first steps of how do we coordinate across this boundary. At the time, actually, St. Mary's Center had a care coordination team that we were working with. You know, they had gotten a CMMI grant, and they were doing care coordination, and we were

1	working with them. So we just it's about this
2	sort of we don't look at the borders really.
3	We're looking at the patients. We look at the
4	client. Where are they going? Now, let's try to
5	help them, you know, wherever they go. I know that's
6	easier said than done, but that's just I agree
7	with you, and I appreciate the guestion.

DR. THOMAS: And also the power of the HEZs give you the flexibility, the creativity to say that we're not going to turn people away because of their zip code, and you're going to follow them wherever they go. Wonderful.

Yes, ma'am?

MS. SHINE: Yes, my name is Tomiko, and I'm a research anthropologist, and I do research. I volunteer at the RAPP Campaign. We work to release elderly people out of prison. My research, I look at African Americans and their lifecycle and identity developments in America and how racism impacts that.

One of the reasons why I'm here today is because there are many elderly people that are in prison, and we decided to start a chapter here in

1 Maryland. One of the reasons is because Maryland has

- 2 one of the highest number of a group called lifers.
- 3 These are people that spend most of their lives in
- 4 prison. One of the things that we're encountering is
- 5 that they are very sick inside because they spent 30,
- 6 40, 50 years inside, and when they come out, they
- 7 have like -- they're just like a public health
- 8 explosion. So we see it as a public health crisis,
- 9 which is why we're here today.
- But my question is for the panel. In your
- 11 programs and in what you're doing, where does the
- 12 elderly population begin to fit in?
- Because we have one story where a
- 14 gentleman, he was in D.C., he did 50 years. He came
- 15 | out, he had OCD, he had diabetes, hypertension, on
- 16 and on. He was released to a hospital after 50
- 17 | years. He died four months later. But he was not
- 18 able to get the health and the public health access
- 19 that he needed. And so we're finding that we're
- 20 advocating for them to come out, but when they come
- 21 | out, this is -- they're just like -- it's like a
- 22 black hole. It's like where do I land?

1	And so one of the problems with Maryland is
2	that we had a gentleman, he did 47 years. When he
3	did 47 years when he first went in, there was only
4	5 prisons; now there are 20 prisons in Maryland, and
5	Maryland is a very small state.
6	So this is a crisis we see coming up. It's
7	just like it's right on the brink. There's a lot of
8	talk being done, but as far as with the HEZs, and I
9	guess with all the doctors and public health
10	officials, how do we begin to put the brakes on so
11	when these folks come out they have some type of
12	qualify of life left?
13	DR. THOMAS: So when you're defining the
14	elderly, at what age does elderly start? Be careful
15	here.
16	(Laughter.)
17	MS. SHINE: An elderly, in the data, in
18	prison they considered it 50 years old.
19	DR. THOMAS: Okay, 50 years old is elderly.
20	MS. SHINE: But one thing I wanted to say
21	is that in prison, your body physically ages 10 to 15
22	years. So when a person comes out, they're 70, they
	Free State Reporting, Inc.

	may be about 80, 85 physically, physiologically
2	because your body isn't made to exist in concrete and
3	metal for about 30, 40, 50 years. So these are
4	people that their body has just been physically and
5	mentally traumatized.

DR. THOMAS: So chronological age versus actual biological, this constant stress, and the returning citizen, as I'm hearing the term, the returning citizen, people coming out of prison back in the community, and it's that handoff, it's that passing of the baton, as you're describing, people falling through the cracks.

Yes?

MS. CAMERON: I'd like to take that. At Morris Blum, we see six years right on through to the geriatrics, and we do have patients that come in that were recently released from jail and/or prison and they're able to establish care. We also know that when they come out of institutionalized settings, there's many challenges that they're dealing with, many, many challenges.

And it goes back to the well-trained staff

being able to meet that person right there because sometimes, as we know, their behaviors or the way the interaction goes may be a little special. So it's so, so important, but what -- and this is Sharon's personal vision. What I'd love to see is when they get ready to reenter society is that there is a collaboration between the reentry team and then a primary care team and/or a specialist.

And I'm going to share a little story with Dr. Eden, our medical director. About four years ago, we noticed a trend with our Forest Drive Clinic. It's a detention center here on Jennifer Road. And, you know, people were getting released, and they needed medication, whether it was for mental health or blood pressure, whatever, and they had a lot of -- they either had the test done in jail -- so we actually met with the medical team. And I don't know if Dr. Eden's recovered from the tour of the jail, but we did that. And we went through all levels, because for me it was extremely important that we had that bridge built and to help. So that's me.

DR. THOMAS: Please, because we've heard

stories about where your HEZ is, and you have more

people in that area of where the uprising was in jail

and prison per capita than the entire state and

sometimes higher than anyone in the country.

MS. SAMPATH: I really wanted to answer this question. We are extremely passionate about this. And Bon Secours is an extension of the HEZ. We work with Bon Secours Community Works, where we have a program called the Reentry Program, which is specifically focused towards this reentry population. We have a Tyro program for men returning from prison, and then a Shero program for women returning from prison. And as you mentioned, West Baltimore in our four zip codes is the highest number -- I forget what percentage exactly it is, but the highest percentage of returning citizens as well as the folks that are being incarcerated.

And I see my boss, Dr. Ross. He can speak more to it, as well, on his panel. But that is a program that we are focusing on, and they help with -- it's actually a 12-week program. We don't just start from after they've been released, but we

actually go into the prison and start beforehand,

letting them know that this program is available, and

they can enroll as soon as they are released.

DR. THOMAS: Dr. Carter?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

DR. CARTER: Yeah, one thing I wanted to add was is that this is -- that particular question is really important because it points out the fact that you have to have a systems approach, because there are resources. Like in Prince George's County, we have a reentry program. There are reentry programs. There are people who focus on this. when you're doing care coordination, you need to be coordinated with those programs. So when we get a patient from a hospital, we don't know whether they were incarcerated for a long time or not. find out that they are, we know what program to put It's the system, how we communicate and how we can efficiently put the people in the place where they need to be at the right time. That is the critical thing that I think what our HEZs basically concentrate on. That's very important.

DR. THOMAS: And notice how they're not

stigmatizing the person who's leaving prison. They
are now coming back into your community, and you
don't have that care coordination, it can overwhelm
what little programs that you have set up. So I'm
very glad the questioner put that on the table.

Yes, ma'am? Oh, oh, oh, grab that microphone.

MS. MERCIER: I really just wanted to highlight the work of our peer recovery support specialists, because we absolutely recognize the need for those social supports, and so we have, you know, that drop-in center and a staff to help, you know, learn how to problem solve, improve socialization, and help them get a job, help them get connected to resources, if it's the food bank or transportation or what have you. There definitely needs to be that support.

I mean, learning how to apply for jobs and writing a résumé, those are all important parts for reentering society and being productive as much as they can. So I just really wanted to highlight that as well.

1 DR. THOMAS: And who would have imagined that's now part of care coordination. That's what 2 3 happens when you address the social determinants of 4 health. 5 Yes, ma'am? 6 MS. CHUNG: Yes, good morning, sir. 7 morning, my name is Elizabeth Chung, and I'm from Frederick, Maryland, but I'd like to disclose I am 8 9 also a Commissioner with the Maryland Community 10 Health Resources Commissions as far as the commissions for the APA commissions. Those are very 11 12 important. APA is from the Governor's Office of 13 Community Initiative. Why is it important? Because 14 again, public health, public good, public services. 15 So as we serve publicly, we look at, you know, every 16 aspect of our community's life. 17 But what I want to -- first of all, I did 18 have the pleasure to listen to some of your 19 presentations during your report and so forth. 20 very glad to come back again and really as a -- I'm a

So a different -- a different time, but

Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

committee member, so just to let you know.

21

22

again, as a director of the Asian American Center in
Frederick, Maryland, we have 30 languages in our
offices, and one of the very, you know, important
programs that we're moving forward -- and I want to

5 share with you -- I know, I promise I'll speak in

6 Chinese, and it will be 30 seconds.

DR. THOMAS: No, ma'am, my watch was telling me to stand up and breathe.

MS. CHUNG: Just very quickly, first and foremost, my training besides 30-some years in public health is really from -- from Dr. Hussein, who really gave the opportunity to the community to learn, to engage, to participate, to build capacity and so forth. But what I wanted you to consider continuously beyond, you know, this project is inclusiveness. I haven't heard anything from you regarding those other communities. Because our state is diverse. Our country is diverse. You must look at your demographic and say my colleague from St. Mary asked me what can we do. You know, do we have Chinese restaurant? If you do, you have four families that you need to reach out to.

1 (Laughter.)

MS. CHUNG: So think about that, right? So don't tell me everything you don't have. So commission -- is the one that is really in the community, is a frontrunner, in my opinion. And I thank Susan. You're talking about this is people's life, so it takes time. Maryland is one of the 15 states working on community health workers. I'm also very fortunate to work on a workgroup. I think that Dr. Haft is coming maybe.

DR. THOMAS: He's here. He's here.

MS. CHUNG: So looking into the -competence skills and so forth. We need to continue
this process. We can do it. So I ask that the
partners look into economic productivity in terms of
workforce, diverse workforce. If we are economic
productive, then we are healthy. We have jobs. We
have health insurance. So that is what health equity
is all about. So, again, you can see my passion.
Inclusiveness, all people, you know, all walks of
life need to be included in your program, in your
strategies. So I just continue to make that pledge

1 to you, and congratulations for a job well done. And
2 I'll continue to advocate for you, too.

3 DR. THOMAS: Very, very good. Thank you so

4 much.

5 (Applause.)

6 DR. THOMAS: This will be our last

7 question. Yes?

8 MS. WILLIAMS: Actually, this is a comment, 9 and it should be quick.

10 DR. THOMAS: Please.

MS. WILLIAMS: My name is Antoinette

12 Williams. I'm with the West Baltimore HEZ as a

13 consultant. And so I just wanted to give a shout out

14 to Michelle -- at DHMH on this whole issue of

15 reentering citizens, right, and access to care.

16 Because as a part of the support that they provided

17 to the HEZ in the prep forum, they actually brought

18 DH -- I'm sorry, the State Department of Mental

19 | Health and Hygiene and the Medicaid space in to have

20 a conversation about insurance and the gaps that

21 exist for those individuals that are reentering and

22 | the quality of care that they receive while

incarcerated and what happens to them when they come
out. And there's incredible opportunity there.

But I just wanted to mention we were not aware of this tremendous opportunity, and I think it's going to help Bon Secours in their efforts, right, the Community Works, to help people get insurance. So tuck that away, guys, right, and work closely with DHMH to make that happen because that was good information.

DR. THOMAS: Well, I think that what a wonderful demonstration of what's happening on the front line, because at the end of the day, that's the grand experiment that's going on. And I think that this group here is just tremendous in the lessons learned. And look at the power of the State to convene. Because of this program, you're in the same room, and it's not fragmented, and these lessons can be learned and best practices shared. I think that's a very, very important part of sustaining the lessons. And I want this audience to give these guys a round of applause. Get up off your feet.

(Applause.)

1	DR. THOMAS: There you go. Wonderful. And
2	congratulations. So we have a housekeeping comment
3	here.
4	DR. DWYER: Thank you so much for your
5	wonderful questions. We have a court reporter here
6	today, and he would like to make sure he gets all of
7	your names and organizations. So if you have made a
8	comment or will make a comment at any point today,
9	please then stop by the table in the back. You'll
10	see Tom here, and give him your name and
11	organization. Thank you.
12	DR. THOMAS: And so, again, the
13	documentation of this meeting is so very important.
14	This is a bipartisan issue because it's about our
15	citizens. Nobody asks questions when you make that
16	phone call about what party you belong to; it's that
17	you need help. And that's the message that you have
18	to get across, and this is the change that we all can
19	sustain.
20	Now we have a break. And our times says
21	we're supposed to be back
22	Michelle, tell me what time they can come
	Ence Chaha Danantina Inc

back. It's 11:26. We're not too far off. So we'd
like you to be back in this room at 11:40, but this
is your stretch break. Thank you, everybody.

(Off the record at 11:26 a.m.)

(On the record at 11:44 a.m.)

DR. THOMAS: Love the conversation, but I'm going to ask if you could have people begin to move back in the main room, have people start to move back in the main room.

You know, I always wonder what's in a word and what we call things, and I go back to when we were in the room arguing with one another about this initiative. And be mindful that in the Maryland Health Improvement and Disparities Reduction Act, the Health Enterprise Zone is just one component, one component of major initiatives that were sent in motion that included standardizing how we measure issues of race in all of our data collection across all the different systems. So I think it's noteworthy that it is this initiative, the HEZ initiative that has really come to signify the entire legislation. And I think that's in large part

because it's the one element of that legislation that
both challenged and gave the freedom for people to
break the mold.

I think in that room, when we look at all the data, we recognized that there has indeed been progress over time, and some would say that glass is half full. And then we looked deeply at those numbers and saw that everyone wasn't benefiting equally, and then we realized that the glass was half empty.

But as we looked closer to the solution, because we all -- we have a lot of data telling us these problems exist. As we looked closer to the solution, we realized like an engineer, the glass was the wrong size, that we really needed to break the glass, break the mold, get out of our silos and come up with a whole new way of working together by putting the patient first. And even before they become a patient, they're citizens, they're neighbors.

And that's the way in which they've been talked about in this room, and I've been so pleased

to hear people describe the priority population in terms that give them dignity and respect. And also, the acknowledgement that the solutions cannot reside simply in our clinical facilities, that we have to pay attention to the neighborhood environment where the people live and the incorporation of the social determinants of health. You should know, that's a new thing. That's the new frontier, and the idea of inside of our health systems to create this culture of health, that becomes the new social norm.

So, again, I mentioned I just returned from Denver at the American Public Health Association meeting, and I will tell you that what's happening here in Maryland and the themes coming out of that meeting would suggest that we're on the cutting edge, we're at the beginning. I know a number of you were saying, oh, our grant has a certain time frame. You need to know you're at the beginning, not the end, and that you didn't get this far to go backwards. And to be mindful that the moral foundation of public health, the moral foundation of public health is social justice. That's not a bad word.

There's an entire book titled <i>Social</i>
Justice: The Moral Foundation of Public Health. Read
that book. It gives you the philosophical and the
moral imperative for why you're doing what you're
doing. And so it's very, very important that you
embrace that language as well because it comes down
to the fact that you cannot simply collect the data,
describe the problem, and say the solution is
somebody else's job. That is so frustrating.
And if you heard anything in these lessons
learned is that your colleagues embraced the tough
problems, the wicked problems, and they came away
from the battlefield smiling, encouraged, and
reinvigorated. And it's very, very important that we
translate that into the kind of support you need at
the top. Leadership does matter.
Remember, this report started almost like
at the top, out of a, you know, a commission,
Governor's Office, Lieutenant Governor, and making

Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

heard here today, this morning, is that it was indeed

sure that it was not a mandate but a spark for your

creativity was an unknown. And I think what we've

a spark for your creativity.

And so it gives me pleasure to bring our next speaker to the table, Dr. Howard Taft [sic], who's the Deputy Secretary for Public Health Services at the Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. And I had the opportunity to work closely with Dr. Taft when the Big Ten Academic Alliance came to town and said we want Maryland to be part of this national effort to mobilize the Big Ten universities across the country to focus on a health equity initiative. And so there's been a team from Dr. Taft's office working with the School of Public Health, and we've been working with the Big Ten Academic Alliance around how to disseminate this model program across the country.

Now, Dr. Taft has 27 years of clinical experience in primary care and internal medicine and 10 years of hospital-based emergency medical and clinical leadership experience. He also worked early in his career as a consultant in internal medicine for the State of California, Department of Medical Health -- Mental Health, and at that time his

academic affiliation was with the University of California, Davis.

You know, a lot of great things come out of California, but Dr. Taft, you have to admit that there are some wonderful things coming out of Maryland that maybe we can share across the country.

Among his numerous accomplishments include the fact that he co-founded ConMed Health,

Incorporated in 1984 and served as its chief medical officer. ConMed is the Maryland-based provider of correctional healthcare services to county and municipal detention facilities in 15 states. Now, here's a man that knows what it's like on the front end of that system and what it means to ensure that when they come back into the community, they're not simply abandoned. He retired from ConMed in 2010.

Dr. Haft also has founded and is President and Medical Director of the Maryland Healthcare

Associates, where he established a multi-specialty medical practice. He was Founder and President of the Maryland Foundation for Quality Healthcare and provided healthcare education to uninsured and

1	underinsured Marylanders. Most recently, he served
2	as Chief Medical Officer at Health Partners, a
3	Waldorf-based charitable clinic serving Charles
4	County and the surrounding areas.
5	Put your hands together for Dr. Haft, will
6	you?
7	(Applause.)
8	DR. HAFT: Thank you very much for that
9	really generous introduction.
10	So it's really a pleasure to be here today.
11	This is a great group. And it seems to me that
12	there's some really important times in anyone's life,
13	so, you know, among those important times I count
14	certainly my wedding, the birth of all of my
15	children, last night the Cubs after 108 years finally
16	winning a World Series. So I might be a little tired
17	today because I stayed up and watched every last
18	exciting minute of that. It was absolutely
19	worthwhile.
20	But listed among those great days is this
21	right here. To be able to be here today with all of
22	you celebrating what you have done over the last five

years, the incredible, innovative, brave, exciting
work that you've done, the results that you've

produced, and celebrating also the bright, as

Dr. Thomas said, the bright future that you have

ahead of you.

I'm always harkened by the words of Victor Hugo. You recall he wrote Les Mis, but in another book that he wrote, he said that there is no army in the world as powerful as an idea whose time has come. And I think that's what you have right now is an idea whose time has come. And I think as was said already, this is just the beginning, and there's no turning this tide back. So it's exciting to be here with you today.

what I want to do today, I'm very, very enthusiastic and excited about having our panel of hospital leaders and CEOs here to talk about the interface between hospitals and the HEZs and the changing landscape of healthcare in the state. And I'm not sure whether you all are already aware that the hospitals have gone through a tremendous change in their world in the last several years as they've

moved from a fee-for-service system, a volume-based system to all the hospitals in the state moving to global budgets.

And what I want to tell you about today is really looking at what you do, what they do, and what the State is already doing to bring all of us into alignment in terms of changing the world from a valueless, fee-for-service, volume-based system to a valuable, globally budgeted, and value-based system. And one of the things that we're going to be doing in the state, we strongly believe, is to be able to align what happens in the communities, not only what you do but leveraging what our provider communities do and get them aligned with all of the same kind of determinants, the social determinants, the disparity, finding where the gaps are in care and meeting those gaps.

But that's a tall order. That system, just like the hospital system, was geared very much to a fee-for-service world, and it responded in that way. It clearly went to volume. It was incentivized by volume. Our providers are still incentivized largely

by volume, but that's changing a little bit. And
what I'd like to do in the next few slides is just
tell you about what the State plans to do to help, on
a voluntary basis, provide some opportunities for our
community providers to also be aligned in the same
way in a primary care model.

There. Good. So this is, in short, the goals of the primary care model. And I'm not going to spend -- I have a lot that I want to hear from the hospitals, so I'm not going to go through this line by line. It won't be death by PowerPoint, but I can tell you that the goals of the primary care model are to redirect the efforts of all of our primary care providers so that they're really doing very patient-centric care. As you know better than anyone else, that it's really all about the patients at the end of the day.

The systems that we build that serve, the systems themselves are really not valuable systems.

Things that make sure that our providers' offices have the lights on, but independent of taking care of patients is not really a good system because we're

all about really taking care of the patients at the end of the day. And we want to make sure that the incentives in our system are aligned exactly in that way and that we make it easier for our providers to make that transition from pure volume-based care. Volume means that the more you do, the more you get paid, independent of what value you bring to the people you serve.

And that's how the system is now. We want to help bring that system around so that it's not how much you do, but it's how much you do it, what the end results are to the patients that you serve.

That's the most important thing.

So we have kind of a timeline for doing this on the right-hand side here. It says that, you know, we have to submit, and the State will submit to the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation by the end of this year a plan that we've been working on for a long time that will allow the federal government to provide infrastructure, dollar support to allow this State of Maryland to make that change for our primary care providers.

So this really has a relationship to the all-payer model. As I said, and you probably already know, a few years ago the hospitals pivoted from being fee-for-service to being global budgeted. And as they pivoted, they really looked at the world to say, and I'm speaking for them and boldly, now what we have to do is ensure that we only take care of those individuals who need to be taken care of. We don't want to continue to push on volume.

In fact, it's better under a global budget to have the volume limited to only those who need to be cared for in the hospital. And the only way to do that is to create healthier communities. If you don't have healthier communities and if you keep the front door open because you always have sick people in the communities, you can't really ever reduce your volume. You might be able to reduce length of stay, or you might be able to prevent a readmission in 30 days, but the ultimate key to that is having healthier communities. So hospitals are kind of thinking about how to best do that, and you'll hear more about that in a few minutes.

Well, at this point, we want to be able to align the providers in the same way, have them thinking about not just the people who they see in their offices each day but the populations they serve, kind of the flocks of sheep that they tend, as it were. And that's really the important thing, and give the provider tools so that they can do that in an effective way.

Our providers, by and large now, our primary care providers are burnt out. You know, they used to see 15 or 16 people a day, and that was sufficient to make whatever necessary revenues they needed to keep the lights on and pay for the staff and have comfortable incomes. That over the last decade has changed. It's more like 30 or 35 patients. So what gives in that situation is the time you get to spend with each patient and the quality of each of those visits.

So we have to purposefully do things and thoughtfully do things to change that payment system and the delivery system so it goes back to focusing on the patients. And that, I submit to you, is full

alignment with what's happening in the hospitals.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So we got a little wind at our back At the federal government, after many years of suffering under a piece of legislature that was called the Sustainable Growth Rate, or SGR, which said to doctors that we're going to pay you less, and which would cause them to do more volume for each individual they saw, we will pay you less depending on the economy of the country. Seventeen years in a row that was threatened to the doctors, and 17 years in a row there was pushback, and Congress reneged and said, okay, we won't do that until the amount in 2015 that would have been -- the doctors' reduction in pay was 29 percent, and all the providers said if you take 29 percent out of the Medicare payments, we'll just simply stop seeing Medicare patients, which would have been a catastrophe.

So Congress, in a moment of clarity, and these are rare moments, the fog in Washington, D.C. lifted, and they said let's do something different; let's have a new system that's based on value for paying doctors. And that system is called MACRA, the

Medicare Access and CHIP Reauthorization Act. And it allowed basically a pivot beginning now in just two months, in 2017, from pure fee-for-service, volume-based provision of payments for doctors to a value-based system with sort of two arms, only two

6 choices, Choice A and Choice B.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Choice A is what we call MIPS, or the Merit-Based Incentive Payment System, which is sort of a draconian system that can ultimately reduce the provider's pay by up to 9 percent and requires a fairly high level of data provided to the federal government. Or the alternative, entering into an advanced alternative payment system. So the system that we said we think the doctors and the State will want is an advanced alternative payment system. I'll tell you what we sort of ginned up to do that, because in the end, what public health wants is to create a healthier state. And we know we can't do that without you. We can't do it without the hospitals. We also can't do it without the providers being perfectly aligned in the same way.

So what the two systems, as you can see in

1	this kind of graphic, do is if you're not in an
2	advanced APM, you simply get this MIPS adjustment,
3	which actually could be 9 percent up or it could be 9
4	percent down. You don't know where you are for two
5	years. You submit data; two years later you find out
6	whether you get a loss or a gain. It's kind of a
7	little tough to deal with, tough to budget for.
8	Or you can be in an advanced APM, where the
9	doctors will get a bonus called a MACRA bonus. So
LO	they get a 5 percent bonus on top of everything that
L1	they do, and they'll get these supports. They'll get
L2	money invested by the federal government, a
L3	significant amount of money, something in a range
L 4	coming to the State. It could be as much as 100- or
L5	\$150 million a year for building a better
L 6	infrastructure for care management.
L7	Have you all done care management out
L8	there? You know how expensive it is. Do you know if
L 9	a doctor wanted to just say I'll build care
20	management, but I have to do it out of my own pocket,
21	how hard that would be? This is the federal

Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

government saying we think we can weigh in and help

22

doctors if they're going to be serious about it and providing an investment to help them do that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And this is kind of a one-time opportunity because we have an opportunity to come parallel with the hospitals' Medicare waiver, which says beginning in 2019 how are we going to manage the quality across the board in the state? How are we going to manage the costs across the board in the state? And this is an opportunity for the federal government to look at it and say I think we can get the providers and the hospitals aligned. And you know what? That doesn't happen in any other state in the country because there's no other state in the country that has an all-payer model and a global budget for all the hospitals. So from their perspective, this is a golden opportunity. So we're leveraging now what is a really great window of opportunity.

So what does this look like? The big shadow in the middle is grey. It's not white, it's not black, it's not yellow, it's not red, it's grey. That's patients. That's what this is all about. That's the middle piece on this. But the simple

structure of this, if I describe it for you, is that the federal government, up on top in the purple box, said we're going to make investment, and we're going to make investments in a model similar to what we call the CPC+ model in 17 other markets around the country, but we're going to do it for your whole state, as many providers as want to be in there, and we are going to allow you to establish organizations within the state, care transformation organizations.

really bona fide care transformation organizations, they can be almost anything, but they have to be bona fide. They have to be there to help the provider transform their practices so that they can effectively and in a sustainable way move from this volume-based system to this value-based system. And then we'll have the providers who will take their practices and move them from the old format, and that's hard to do, to change, to pivot 180 degrees and move from volume to value, but we'll be there for five years to help them pivot, if they want to, on a voluntary basis, to do this. And we'll do it with

supports, and we'll give them incentives. We'll give them incentives for doing quality, incentives for reducing unnecessary utilization, and we'll give them a bonus every year if they stay in the program. So it's really kind of -- you know, I look at it as it's almost too good to be true. This is the gift horse.

So, further, when we looked at it in terms of Medicare patients, because this is initially just Medicare, although we anticipate over the course of five years the commercial plans and Medicaid and the dual eligibles will all fold in, because it's again an idea whose time has come. But we know when we look at Medicare patients -- got my time?

Medicare patients are unique in that they
get to pick their own providers. So we're going to
say that Medicare patients can design their own
providers the way that they want to. So if a

Medicare patient, for instance, had heart disease and
they only ever saw a cardiologist, that could be
their primary care doctor. If they only had lung
disease and they only saw a pulmonologist, that could
be their primary doctor. And so we changed this from

what usually is a patient-centered or patient primary
care physician to a patient-designated provider,
which kind of rolls a lot of other people into the
program. But the key is practice transformation.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And from a patient's perspective, here are the things, the list of things. What does a patient see? The patient, you know, has a better experience. They're a Medicare patient, they have a better experience. They can get more time with their physicians. They have a care team from the provider's office that surrounds them, that can make those connections to an HEZ if it was necessary, can make it to social supports, can make it to a pharmacist, to a community health worker, and others. And that's all brand new. That's not really happening at a primary care per se right now.

Because my time is short, I'll go through this. From the provider's perspective, it's just a better world. You know, I have more supports, I have somebody to help me with transformation, and I have the financial underpinnings to do this, to make this sustainable. So we look at this as really being a

1	great opportunity to align what's happening in the
2	hospitals and now, in a moment, I'm going to bring
3	the hospital folks up with what's happening in the
4	community. And at the end, if there are questions
5	about that, I'd be happy to do it.

But I'd like to now move to what is really the important part of this and have our hospital partners, Victoria Bayless, who is the President and CEO of Anne Arundel Medical Center; Dr. Sam Ross, who is the President of Bon Secours Health System; Dr. Steve Michaels, who's the Chief Operating Officer and Medical Officer of MedStar St. Mary's Hospital; Ken Kozel, President and CEO of University of Maryland Shore Regional Health; and Tiffany Sullivan, Vice President for Community Population Health of Dimensions Healthcare System, to come up to the -- for our panel discussion.

(Applause.)

DR. HAFT: So while this august group is getting seated, I can tell you there's probably more brainpower here than there is in the Watts and IBM system and more managerial experience and

accomplishments. It's great to see them all here. I
know these are all people who have been deeply
involved in the things that you're doing.

And the format that we'd like to do now is just go through each of you, starting here with Victoria Bayless, and ask three questions and have you respond to three questions. I know some of you also have some slides, and we'll be happy to tee up the slides at the same time.

But the three questions are this: In the context of the global budgets, which we're now into about the third year of, what can you say about how you would be making smart investments in that context, either from hospital rates or from community benefit dollars, and how that might relate to the HEZs or other kind of community support programs? That's question number one.

Question number two would be what has been the valued added of the HEZs for your particular hospital. And three, and I think what everyone here in the audience wants to hear is, how can the HEZs best position themselves to partner with you in the

future?

2 So if you need, I'll be happy to repeat 3 those questions. Victoria?

MS. BAYLESS: Can folks hear me in the back? I'm good? Live? Thank you, Dr. Haft. And just on behalf of the leadership team here at Anne Arundel Medical Center, we're very pleased to host this group and to be part of today's program.

Pat, Dr. Pat Czapp and Sharon Cameron told a little bit of the story of the HEZ that we've been most connected to here, so I'll be happy to address each of the questions. In addition to my role here as the CEO of Anne Arundel Medical Center, I actually serve as an HSCRC commissioner, one of seven commissioners, and we are wrangling with a number of different issues, not the least of which are the global budgets that we're working with now, and to Dr. Haft's point, what the next wave of the waiver will look like, Phase 2, if you will.

So I think that the HEZ program is an incredibly important success story to point to and to continue to invest in to help us manage what we're

calling now the total cost of care. So hospitals, health systems across the state, even though we don't control all the assets, and we certainly don't control the entire delivery system, we're being called upon to be the conveners to bring together community groups, to support community groups with some of the infrastructure dollars that we have in our global budgets to do just that, to manage the total cost of care.

And really, for decades historically, hospitals have been so focused on providing care to patients when they're in crisis. It's not the healthcare system; it's the sick care system. And I do think that all of the work here and all of the stories that we've heard are exactly the path that we need to be on, to focus more on the health of the population with much more of an orientation toward public health rather than sick care. And we do address patients when they're in crisis, and we don't always know what led them to that crisis. We're used to dealing with an acute episode. And we're getting better at that. We've been under the global budgets

now. We're in our fourth year. And we do have some infrastructure dollars that have been put to that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And to Howard's question about investments, that is how we think about the HEZs. So the HEZ here in Annapolis that Anne Arundel Medical Center is connected to, we lose money, "lose money" I'll say in quotes. We've lost \$880,000 on that, and that's if you look at the HEZ and the clinic operations on a discrete P&L basis. We lose money, but we know the better benefits, the greater good that is happening here in terms of the reductions in the visits to the It's not the right place to be getting your primary care. The reduction in hospital admissions and readmissions, the reduction in the 911 calls, so it's a little bit tricky to display that in a pro forma because you're really making investments for the greater good, not just in terms of those improved statistics around utilization, but ultimately in the health of the population.

And Pat said it well, Dr. Czapp said it well; we're going to continue to do this because it's the right thing to do. It's not because we've got a

money orchard available to us that we can just tap into, but we know the longer-term benefits are certainly there.

In terms of the other couple of questions, for us, the value of the HEZ -- I think, Howard, you said the value of the HEZs for these health systems.

Really, I would say for me as an individual, as a leader, it has challenged our thinking, and it's breaking the way that we've thought. For the 25 years that I've been working in healthcare, we have been driven around volume, volume, and more volume.

And it's important for us to break our thinking, so I think we've learned a lot over the course of the past few years with the HEZs. And again, I think it's a model to point to, to continue to invest in and support as we move towards some total cost of care issues.

Also, the challenge for all of us as leaders within health systems is to have the courage to do this, because when you show a P&L that's not really attractive from a financial standpoint, how do you rally your medical community, your boards to say

1	this is the right thing to do and to explain that.
2	Another example I was talking with Dr. Sue at
3	the break. Another example of investments that
4	hospitals and health systems are making are in
5	programs around palliative care and palliative
6	medicine as well. There's no P&L that's going to
7	show a margin on that, but, you know, we know that
8	it's the right thing to do. It's the right thing for
9	patients. It's the right thing for their families.
10	So I think there are more examples like that, and we
11	just have to keep challenging what has been our very
12	traditional thinking as hospital or health system
13	executives.
14	Sam?
15	DR. ROSS: Thank you, Tori. I experienced
16	some of Tori's creativity on my way in from the
17	garage.
18	(Laughter.)
19	DR. ROSS: I loved the sign that said,
20	"Free exercise equipment; take the stairs."
21	(Laughter.)
22	DR. ROSS: And I did. I took advantage of
	Free State Reporting, Inc.

1378 Cape St. Claire Road
Annapolis, MD 21409
(410) 974-0947

that. I thought that was really neat. I'll remind my staff of that when I get back. We have the unique experience in Bon Secours Baltimore that our garage does not have an elevator, so that's a stress test.

(Laughter.)

DR. ROSS: Every day. But you heard earlier from the front line, and now you'll hear from the back line, and that's us as administrators. So we have been on this journey. A number of years ago, patient-centered care was kind of the theme that was always talked about, and now I think I saw in your slide and some other slides it really is about person-centered care. And that's key to our strategic quality plan, and one of those goals is about how do we collectively co-create healthy communities. So I think the HEZ in our journey has been a big part of that.

And then the other thing, years ago we talked about medical homes and patients in medical homes. When you really think about the HEZ and GBR, we're now in this area where it really is about the medical neighborhood. And so you really have to

1 | think in terms of what makes a community healthy.

2 | And I've shared before in presentations that years

3 ago we had a slide that said what would make, you

4 know, a business or a market desirable? And none of

5 us would come into a community that had the

6 challenges, the social determinants that we have, for

7 | the most part, and try to start a new business. We

8 wouldn't see those elements as really positive for

9 what we're trying to do.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So just, you know, sort of take that forward to what we're experiencing on a daily basis as we try to provide care for people who are really in challenging situations, really do have health disparities, health inequities, and helping them to understand that we are really there to help. So I think one of the value-adds of HEZ, and even GBR, is it really helps us to I'll say crystallize and optimize our mission statements. Because all of us have these mission statements that say we do this, and we've had them for years, but have we really gone beyond the walls of our institutions? So HEZ has really been that accelerator around that.

I'll speak to GBR in the context of -- and
Tori knows this well since she mentioned she's now a
commissioner with HSCRC. You know, this is about
regulated services versus non-regulated services. So
even under the old model before the GBR waiver, it
was about things that really were either at the
hospital or closely connected to the hospital that
was in our rates that we got. So none of the social
stuff really was in that number.

And so now we go to GBR, and it's even more clear that the regulated versus the non-regulated, which is where HEZ falls. And the number you gave hits our bottom line. And we had a pre-meeting a couple of weeks ago, I guess it was, for this meeting, and I told people about GBR. And those who did TPR before GBR, it really was presented as this wonderful thing. An example I use, some of you are old enough to remember Sinbad the comedian, and Sinbad talked about in one of his jokes about when you're dating and how wonderful things are. So, you know, TPR and our early days of GBR were about dating. And he said, you know, you're with the woman

1	and you go to the movie, and you say would you like
2	me to buy you a popcorn, and she says no, I'll just
3	lick the butter from your fingers.
4	(Laughter.)
5	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Where are we going
6	with this?
7	(Laughter.)
8	DR. ROSS: I'll bring it back in, in just a
9	second.
10	(Laughter.)
11	DR. ROSS: But the point is everything is
12	rosy. In our first couple of years, we had more
13	flexibility with the corridors and stuff, and we
14	could invest in these kinds of things to a greater
15	extent, and chronic disease management. It was
16	great. But then Sinbad says, which came year three
17	for GBR, you get to the altar, and the preacher says,
18	I now pronounce you man and wife, and the woman looks
19	up at you and says there's got to be some changes
20	around here.
21	(Laughter.)
22	DR. ROSS: So where we are in GBR and HEZ
	Free State Reporting, Inc.

1378 Cape St. Claire Road
Annapolis, MD 21409
(410) 974-0947

1	is that there really have got to be some changes
2	around here. But the good news is, just like in
3	marriage, we're all in this together. So I think our
4	opportunities and the pluses really have been that
5	HEZ has helped to align partnerships. It's got
6	people working together and talking together that
7	for, you know, decades never really did that in a way
8	to be successful. I think it has been an accelerator
9	around a focus on quality. Because I think the other
10	thing that really helped with HEZ, you know, when we
11	did kind of some early-phase changes, it aligned, HEZ
12	metrics aligned with GBR metrics around readmission
13	reduction, around the emergency room utilization and
14	potentially avoidable utilization. So I think all
15	those things have been a plus.
16	And I'll just end by saying, you know,
17	often we do think about the losses, but to Tori's
18	point, I think we are beginning to understand it is
19	an investment. And how we utilize that going forward

Thank you.

will be key to sustainability.

20

22

DR. MICHAELS: It's a tough act to follow.

(Laughter.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

DR. MICHAELS: So I will not be anywhere near as amusing. But what I want to say is that St. Mary's County has been a microcosm of a whole bunch of programs that we've tried over the years, and we like to think of it as a test.

When I look at the investments in community health way before GBR and TPR and anyone talked about really value for -- value in healthcare, I looked at investments in establishing a hospice house, I look at 25 years of our Health Connections work. I mean, in 2000 we bought a bus and started doing community screenings, and in 2006 we started putting the bus out for primary care, all in an effort to reach the community, and not just the abject poor but anyone who couldn't get to healthcare. So it was a problem of access. And I think we've made great strides in that, and I, you know, point to some of the metrics that, you know, now are very, very important around GBR and about the at-risk dollars that we all face under the new system. And, you know, we're happy to be, you know, high performers on these metrics.

I think it's because of the foundation that was laid many, many years ago.

And as far as the HEZ is concerned, I think that this was -- this is a, you know, plank or I would say a new foundation in what we're doing. When we were awarded the grant, you know, we faced issues of physician recruitment, which is a chronic problem in St. Mary's County. We faced issues of meeting the requirements of the grant to provide the services that, you know, are dictated under the grant. But we put our heads down and said we're going to make the financial commitment, and we built an enormous building.

And the MedStar board and the local

St. Mary's board and the leadership decided that this was something that couldn't be passed up and have committed millions of dollars not only in capital but in at-risk fees to make this happen. And, you know, I'm happy to report that, you know, when we look at the results of the HEZ work, it's not the doctors -- and I'm not sure who said that last time -- but it is in the other aspects of sort of trying to relieve the

social determinants that are out there. You know,

the transportation issue, the community healthcare

workers, these are critically important aspects of

qetting the care out there.

I'll tell you, when I look at my readmissions every single week, I look at patients who have had 12 and 15 and 18 and 22 readmissions in a 12 -- or admissions in a 12-month period. And you can have as many clinics as you want, but until you start really getting to individual solutions for some of these patients, it's not going to -- you're not going to get to the next phase.

And so as far as the GBR component, we're facing a unique challenge with GBR in St. Mary's, at MedStar St. Mary's in that we have had significant growth over the last several years. So we had 13 percent growth in beds in 2016, and 11 percent the year before. And I don't think GBR accounted for that possibility. And so, you know, it's not a perfect system. I endorse the aims of the GBR system. It's a baby that's -- or not a baby, it's really like a Michelangelo statue that's in the

middle of being chiseled into perfection.

2 And so with that, I'll pass it on to my 3 friend, Ken.

(Laughter.)

MR. KOZEL: This is a really tough crowd. Can you hear me okay? Whoop. Thank you.

I tell ya, what a crowd. I'm up here with such esteemed colleagues, and they've pretty much encapsulated the perspective that I have as a CEO as well, but I'll add a few thoughts if you'll indulge me because I also know you want to hear more from Tiffany. So I'll pass the mic along quickly.

But, you know, I represent Shore Regional
Health, and our HEZ grant covered Caroline and
Dorchester Counties. And when I talk to the citizens
and the elected officials of those two counties, they
often remind me that Caroline and Dorchester have a
really distinct presence. And what they're proud
of -- or least proud of, I should say, is that
they're last in the things they want to be first in,
and first in the things they want to be last in when
it comes to overall health of the citizens of their

communities.

And that distinction really encapsulates, I think, the first question, which is what about GBR and what about the HEZs that are important to us in the hospital business? And sitting with you here today, I can share with you that they really helped me and our organization better define why we exist. So we've got a new mission statement. It's all about creating healthier communities together. And that has been the shift of our focus now. That's why we exist.

And the GBR process allows us to do that.

There are some dollars in our rates that allow us to connect technology and information and data with many of you in the room. It also allows us to cover some of the charity care that we experience that patients can't afford. So those rates are very, very helpful because we can use those dollars to reinvest. But I think the value that it's really added for our system is more about the people, the process, and the technology. It helped us focus on people.

And I stand here as the CEO, and I've got

2,000 people behind me, but the people you know in
this room that you've worked with are Kathleen

McGrath, Bill Roth, Terri Ross, Dr. Adam Weinstein,
those key people in our organization that have
shifted their purpose and function on the vulnerable
in our communities. So it's helped us put the
structure and the people in place.

It's also helped us with the process. And process, we could talk about partnerships, we could talk about alignment, we could talk about identifying exactly what we need to be focusing on. We know we can't solve everything, but we could focus on those five key areas that are important in our communities, and let's work together in doing that. And that process includes partnership with key individuals, many of you in this room, Choptank Health, Center for Behavioral Health Services, the Associated Black Charities. A lot of the groups in this room really help us align our strategies, our purpose, and our functions into those vulnerable communities.

And then finally, the technology. I think through the grants, through the GBR budgets, we've

1	now recognized, especially in our region where we've
2	got five counties, we're 2,500 square miles, we need
3	to rely on technology to bring information and help
4	to our communities, because we've got transportation
5	problems. We can't necessarily get our communities
6	to our buildings, to our systems, to our process. So
7	we're going to have to invest in technology to make
8	that happen. So the HEZ grant, the GBR rates have
9	all really helped us align. They've helped us form
10	very strong partnerships that have really, really
11	strengthened our relationships in our communities and
12	allowed us to focus on those things that are
13	critical.
14	So I think that's the contribution, that's
15	the benefit of global budgets and HEZ, and that's the
16	value that it's provided to Shore Regional Health.
17	Thank you.
18	MS. SULLIVAN: Good afternoon. I am the
19	new kid on the block, I think, up here, so I feel a
20	little like they've covered everything. I don't
21	think there's anything left for me to say, really. I

Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

want to thank Mike Jacobs, who's in the room, who

22

also works at Dimensions with me. We are kind of
joined at the hip. So anything I say today, just
double-check it with Mike; he'll tell you whether or
not I got it right.

But, you know, the GBR for me, coming in, in January from South Carolina, was something to learn about in this particular state. And the infrastructure improvements I want to focus on a little bit, because for me, it gives me the opportunity to implement some of my wild and crazy and creative ideas and say this is part of the infrastructure improvement work that we have to do. I think that is a really big piece in the GBR.

That's a positive from my perspective. We are really forced to kind of re-shift and really think in terms of the care continuum, and that is a big deal for our patients.

And I think I have one slide that I want to show you. It's number 3, but it puts into perspective from -- you know, I'm a public health and a population health person, and I always like to look at this slide, and it is in terms of the human.

Sometimes we really want to focus on the hospitals kind of in the middle, and the hospital is not in the When you look at the everyday life of a middle. human being, look at all of the different pieces and the web that touch the patients that we deal with on a regular basis. And if we're not working with all of these organizations, if we're not working together, then we're missing our patients at some point in this web.

So can you imagine when we say, well, how come they're missing appointments, or we call them noncompliant? Look at all the different systems that we have to have them working in. Look at all the different ways that we are crossing over but we're not working together. So our patients are really burdened by some of the things that we put on top of them without working together with all of these different kinds of health systems. And so we're data driven in a lot of ways, but we have to be patient focused and human driven, number one priority, for all the work that we do in our hospitals and in the community.

For the HEZ, it's been great to work with
Dr. Carter and Barbara and the team to learn more
about how we as Dimensions partner together in Prince
George's County. We are opening up a specialty
practice in December. We are very excited about that
work. The HEZ practices have been primary care, and
now we have the opportunity as Dimensions to also
provide specialty care in the HEZ setting. We also
work very closely with our P.G. County EMS and our
P.G. County community health workers, with Barbara,
for our frequent utilizers in the emergency
department. And Dr. Carter talked to you a little
bit more about that earlier, but we're linking those
patients with a community health worker, and we have
our teams in the room to really try to figure out
exactly what helps our patients stay healthy at home.
How do you partner with us, I think that
was one of the questions, or how do you access to
partner with us. You know, one of the things that we
look at from Dimensions is who is doing great work ir

Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

the community, who's already out there, who's already

doing a great job. We don't have enough money to

1	stand up all of these different organizations. So if
2	behavioral health is an issue, we don't have enough
3	money, we don't have enough funding to start a
4	behavioral health agency, but we do have enough
5	resources to partner with an agency that's doing a
6	great job and expand upon the work and the services
7	that you're doing.
8	So that's the type of relationship we're
9	looking to develop throughout Prince George's County.
10	Who's already doing a great job? How can we work
11	together? Who in these agencies, in these bubbles
12	kind of surrounding our patients are really knocking
13	it out of the park, and how can we work together to
14	expand that work and build upon the foundation that
15	we already have?
16	Thank you.
17	(Applause.)
18	DR. HAFT: Let's hear it for the panel. We
19	have some time for questions from the audience. If
20	you have, I think, specific questions for any
21	individual or for the whole panel, please come on up.
22	DR. JACOBS: Troy Jacobs. I'm the

pediatrician. And this question may be more
appropriate for Dr. Haft rather than the hospitals.

It's that, you know, if you think about MACRA, it
also includes CHIP. And you made a passing mention
at some point later we would -- I mean, this is all
sort of focused on Medicare and Medicare reform and

how that works with the HEZ.

We do need to -- if we are thinking about in our communities pregnant women that may have chronic conditions like gestational diabetes or preeclampsia or children that have asthma, we've got to think about Medicaid. And so if you could talk a little bit about sort of what's the plan to bring that into the fold? I mean, because this is all very exciting what you talk about in terms of primary care model and development of medical home, but medical homes for children and pregnant women is a little bit different than for Medicare populations.

And so I'm just very mindful of that particularly as a pediatrician. But if you could talk about sort of what's planned or what's unfolding in Maryland in terms of the Medicaid piece, that

would be good.

DR. HAFT: Sure. Well, I can say that

Medicaid has in place a dual-eligible plan that would

speak to the dual eligibles. The remainder of

Medicaid, for the most part, is in the managed care

organizations, which are managed to the extent that

they are. And I think that the door is open for

commercial insurers and for Medicaid in general to

move progressively toward more value-based programs.

And for whatever it's worth, the opportunity we have before us now is specifically for Medicare. And Medicare is the portion of our population that has a defined payer that is totally unmanaged except for Medicare Advantage programs at this point. So it speaks to that; it doesn't speak to everything. But it is an open door that allows other payers to enter also. But I'm very sensitive to your point, and it's very well taken.

DR. THOMAS: I have a question that I'll do from here. If anyone else comes up to the mic -this lapel, hopefully, should be working. I'll hold it a little closer. Just to say you've got your CEOs

up here, people. You've got the folks in the back
room paying the bills, going through the struggle of
this transformation from the volume to value. It's
not easy. And at the end of the day, they've got to
make payroll.

So what I'd like you to do, if you could,

take us into that boardroom. Take us into that
financial meeting when you're facing these kind of
legislative changes, some feel like mandate. You
know, you may be at the altar. And what are the

know, you may be at the altar. And what are the arguments that ultimately win the day? What are the arguments that ultimately stay the course in this very scary transformation, this inflection point that we're in so that we have an appreciation of what you do in the boardroom to connect and align these values and mission and the financial obligation that comes with it. What wins the day?

DR. ROSS: So I'll start. I'm with Bon

Secours. So I'm fortunate, I've been at Bon Secours

for 10 years. But what attracted me 10 years ago was

the fact that the organization and not just the

Baltimore -- because we're part of a national

me is that that organization had already made a commitment to building up the communities, you know, beyond what was going on in the acute care setting.

So for Baltimore specifically, you know, in addition to the hospital, we had, you heard it mentioned, Community Works, and we had other outreach that had been subsidized from our operation, you know, long before I actually got there. And the commitment was to social determinants, so workforce development, financial literacy, child development, but all things that grew out of interaction with the community and community prioritization that said this is what's important to them.

So housing was important to them because of a lot of boarded-up buildings, vacant buildings. And so our foundation person likes to say we're actually licensed at this point for 72 beds, but we have over 700 low-income housing apartments, because that's been the commitment, long before I got there, that housing was a health issue. And so we subsidize Community Works, depending on the year, you know,

somewhere to the tune of at least a million dollars a year.

know, our Vice President of Admission, he says we have our why; our why is our mission. And it just so happened that when Health Enterprise Zone and now GBR came along, it really was consistent with our mission, and so we saw the value-add there is, well, if they can help us bring some dollars that further subsidize the work that we're doing, then that's a plus.

And so, when we get into the boardroom, the decision starts with is it consistent with our mission and what we accept as our social justice mandate, and then we get into the other data points. Yeah, we still look at bottom line, but the other data points really are driven by the metrics that we have to achieve, whether it's under value-based purchasing in our other states or GBR and its requirements in this state. And if it's aligned with those purposes, then we make the decision as to how much of that we will subsidize.

1	I can tell you, for our program, knowing
2	that this was, at this point in time, limited, we
3	said a year ago put the team together, the transition
4	team together from behavioral health, from ambulatory
5	services, you know, from Community Works, everybody
6	that has components that are consistent with what
7	we're doing with HEZ, and those things will be
8	integrated into our operations as we go into our next
9	budget cycle, even if we don't get the additional
10	dollars. So we have to weigh the value, what's
11	really helping us achieve those goals, what's not
12	helping us achieve those goals, and that's the basis
13	on which we'll make those decisions.
14	MS. BAYLESS: So I would agree with Sam. I
15	think that for every organization and for all of
16	the hospitals and health systems in Maryland are
17	nonprofits, and we are accountable to these boards.
18	As CEOS, we're accountable to the boards, but we
19	really do park everything back to mission, vision,
20	values.
21	And back in 2009, even before the

Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

Affordable Care Act was signed into law, we put

22

together a vision that was called Living Healthier Together, and the emphasis even then, and I couldn't have envisioned all the specifics of the HEZ or the GBR at the time, but was to create a system of care that went outside the walls of the hospital, that was accomplished through collaboration and partnerships, that was driven by evidence, and that essentially also needed to be financially viable.

So I've co-opted a lot of things under that vision over the past few years. And the only thing I would say, in the boardroom in particular, we focus on five areas. So we focus first and foremost on quality and safety; we focus on serving the community. And there is pressure on health systems now because as we look at community benefit dollars, instead of just counting those in the rearview mirror, hey, what did we do over the past year, let's pencil that down on paper, is actually setting budgets more deliberately for community benefit.

Particularly as coverage has expanded, because what hospitals and health systems in Maryland and across the country would claim as a large portion

of our community benefit are charity dollars, but more and more people are insured now, so what else are you doing for the community? So that's become a new conversation in the boardroom that wasn't there, I would say, in 2009.

The other thing in the boardroom is an incredible amount of teaching and learning. You know, we talk about, you know, building a plane while you're flying it. There's a lot of change happening. It's really an unprecedented amount of change coming with all of these new methodologies, new policies, new laws, new regulations, and we don't want to feel like we're victims of that. We want to feel like we're actually steering this activity, that we're not just, you know, reacting to it.

But an incredible amount of teaching and learning and cautions for boards and organizations, because hospitals and health systems, we tend to be the 900-pound gorillas in our communities, right?

We're large, we're large employers, we're economic engines, all of those things, but we really have to be careful about not being institutionally arrogant

and thinking we have the answers. Because working with partners that we don't control, who aren't on our balance sheet is daunting, but it can be very rewarding as well. So those are the conversations we're having now and a really steep learning curve. These boards are incredibly talented, incredibly dedicated. They're volunteers, and there's a lot of education that goes behind it as well.

DR. MICHAELS: Well, what I would say is that the board, the community board at MedStar St. Mary's, they're community members. And they want to know that when they come to the hospital, that they're going to be taken care of in a safe way and have high-quality care. And so that is clearly a driving force between -- at board meetings. You know, are we meeting our quality metrics? And that translates, and I think in a very good way.

If you think back to when we first started worrying about things like core measure compliance, and a lot of you know what Delmarva Award is, that was a training for hospitals to get ready for quality-based reimbursement. And, you know, if

you're asking me what the financial, you know,

discussion is going to be, it's going to be how am I

as the administrator going to explain a potential

8 percent or 9 percent penalty, which would severely

impact our ability to deliver on our mission and

vision because we're not meeting our quality

measures.

So those are hard discussions, and we have four- and five-hour quality and safety professional affair board committee meetings where every detail of the quality of care that we deliver is analyzed and discussed. And that's the data that we're using.

We're managing to the metrics that have been put out by GBR, or value-based purchasing out in the PPS system, to make sure that we meet that primary goal.

As far as the community, I mean, as I said before, they're community members. They know what's going on in the community. They don't like to be accosted in the grocery store about issues that are going on in the community or at the hospital. And if they do, I get a very quick phone call about that.

And so our community connections are extremely

strong. Our partnerships with other providers -
we're the only hospital in the county, so we have a

unique position, but there are so many other very,

very important organizations that partner with us to

help make sure we execute on the mission and vision.

DR. HAFT: Next question.

DR. MANN: I'm David Mann from the Office of Minority Health and Health Disparities at DHMH, and I want to kind of get to the question of whether global budgets actually leave hospitals with the money in hand to support HEZs and social determinants of health and other similar things. There's a perception I hear a lot that says under global budgets, when volume goes down, hospitals save money.

I'm not 100 percent sure, so I'd like to let you guys weigh in on that, particularly on an example we had this morning where 545 ER diversions were estimated to represent a \$1.2 million savings.

In a fee-for-service system, I can believe that that's 1.2 million less the payers had to pay, but I'm not sure that a hospital under a global budget, when it has one or two less ED visits a day, is

actually able to put \$1.2 million in a vault and then 1 2 spend it on community health, social health, HEZs, 3 and social determinants. 4 DR. HAFT: Who wants to answer that 5 question? 6 (Laughter.) 7 DR. THOMAS: Who is the most --DR. ROSS: Let the new lady answer that 8 9 question. 10 (Laughter.) 11

MS. SULLIVAN: This is hazing, I think.

12 I'm being jumped in up here.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I think that's a great point. No, you're not going to get that 1.2 million, so I'm not going to get that back into my budget, but we also do have to, you know, divert those patients into a better source of care. So in my limited time here, what I've seen more is that, you know, we're not necessarily setting our budgets to say, okay, we're going to divert this many people from the ED and then we're going to put this money into our budget to show that it's my population health management budget.

Instead, we'll be more proactive in saying this is
the pot of money that you have to address population
health initiatives for your organization.

That's just my short version of the answer, just kind of being new here and my understanding of it. But no, I don't get that \$1.2 million in my happy little hands to work with.

MR. KOZEL: I think it's also important to note that there are a few other levers within the GBR budget that either add to our GBR budget or retract from that budget that we get each year. Those levers are things like patient satisfaction scores. How well do we do as a hospital system compared to the rest of the hospitals in the state? If we're in that top quartile, we're likely to either sustain our GBR rate for that portion of dollars, or we're likely to either gain or lose that portion.

And the other pieces are quality indicators. How are we doing from a quality perspective with regard to the rest of the hospital systems? How many hospital-acquired conditions have we had with regard to other hospitals in the system,

and how do those rates, that one GBR rate fluctuate, year after year, up or down, based on those measures? So even within the GBR structure, there's levers that are pulled to try to align us into better care.

But having said that, I think the other
piece I'd add is just that when we are able to reduce
avoidable utilization, and that's what all of you do
every single day with us, that's where I think we get
the most benefit from the GBR budget. That's when we
get the dollars that we can typically reinvest back
into our communities and back into our mission.

And back to the other question about the boardroom, I think our board is quickly shifting from the saying no margin, no mission to no mission, no margin. So we're starting to shift in that perspective. But hope that helps.

DR. HAFT: Ms. Bayless?

MS. BAYLESS: So if I put on my commissioner hat for a minute, I could keep you here all day talking about the methodology, but I think at the highest level, the GBR and the methodology behind that from a health policy standpoint, really it

starts with there's a belief that there is excess
utilization in the system and that patients can be
better cared for at lower-cost settings and that some
of that utilization can be in fact avoided.

So if a hospital's volume decreases, they don't lose all of those dollars. Their budget will get adjusted in the next year, including all the levers that Ken spoke of, where you're kind of like giving back 50 percent but you get to keep 50 percent. And some of those dollars are essentially freed up.

So if you're not providing care that was avoidable and could be rendered elsewhere, you can then take those dollars and invest them in other population health activities, such as HEZs or other activities, opening up additional access points, care coordination, partner with community resources around, social services to support vulnerable and marginalized populations.

So at a policy level, but to Sam's earlier point, you know, you get to -- you know, you're three years in, or you're three and a half years in, and

you're like, okay, you know, we have to continue to revisit the policy and say is it really working, where's the money going, who's saving the money? Because ultimately, you're trying to improve care, service, and quality, improve access and reduce costs. And we could argue for a long time as to whether those things are complementary or are they mutually exclusive, are they in conflict or not.

I mean, we do believe, I think, as a healthcare industry that we can improve care, quality, and service, improve access, but also reduce costs at the same time, and that's the objective of the system in a broad way. But it'll have to be adjusted and tweaked because it's not perfect. And when any new policy comes into place, three and a half, four years in, you have to make adjustments to it, and we'll see those over the next several years, including with Phase 2 of the waiver.

DR. HAFT: Thank you. I think because we're getting close to lunch, why don't we do one more question and then -- this has been an incredible panel. I'd love to keep them up here all day because

the answers are so --

1

4

8

22

DR. THOMAS: Dr. Haft, if you could keep

3 the two -- because there's an economist in line.

DR. HAFT: Oh.

5 (Laughter.)

DR. THOMAS: We're going to get that

7 economist to say something here.

DR. HAFT: Absolutely fine.

9 MS. PETERSON: Wonderful discussion. I'm

10 | Sadie Peterson, the Medical Director at the Center

11 for Chronic Diseases at DHMH. I wondered if you all

12 | could talk a little bit about the jurisdictions and

13 areas in the state of Maryland that were not part of

14 \parallel HEZs, and if you could tell a little bit about how

15 you might recommend disseminating some of the

16 | experiences that you've learned and some of the

17 successes and how to improve outcomes for patients

18 and patient populations to folks who have not been

19 participants in HEZs. As leaders of these

20 institutions, you might have some recommendations for

21 others across the state.

MS. BAYLESS: Stephen, you want to -- I'll

say something.

DR. MICHAELS: Well, I guess what I would say about that is that you're absolutely correct, we've learned a lot about -- the HEZ experience has taught us a lot. And I think the collective wisdom in the room here needs to be shared across the state and even across the country because I think we are a bit of an incubator in the state.

So specific recommendations: I mean, I think each community faces some unique challenges, so I'm not sure that broad strokes would necessarily answer that question. But I mean, I think that at the base, you know, my feeling is that HEZ and access is a plank in a broader issue around the social determinants, particularly, you know, racial, cultural, and most importantly, educational disparities that we face that continually breed the kind of problems that we're trying to solve with the HEZs.

So I mean, it's kind of a tough question to give you a specific answer to.

DR. ROSS: So Tori and I were just talking

about the learning. So MHA had a population health subgroup and, on a quarterly basis, would invite all of the hospitals in the state and, at different times, had HEZ leaders to present to those groups, and that included lessons learned. So I think we've had that kind of a forum, this kind of a forum, and other things need to happen so we can spread, you know, spread that learning more effectively.

The not HEZ issue also became the not regional planning grant recipient issue, not a second CHRC grant. So every time there is something given out, you know, there are limitations because it's really a zero sum game and not everybody gets. And we would love to be in a place where there were enough dollars that everybody could get. So I think that'll continue to be a challenge as we go forth. But there are education forums that have happened. We need to do more to spread learning and then continue to work on the funding aspect of it.

DR. HAFT: Next question from the economist.

DR. CHEN: Yes, I'm an economist. My name

is Jie Chen. I'm faculty University of Maryland. 1 So my question is regarding the cost economic 2 3 evaluations. In my point of view, I think an 4 economic evaluation is a critical component to show 5 the sustainability of the primary care model and the So if we can show if these models are 6 HEZ model. 7 cost saving and it can improve population health and reduce costs associated with health disparities, then 8 9 we can show it to convince those peers -- Medicare, 10 Medicaid, and other private foundations to continue 11 to, you know, invest in these great models. 12 So I'm interested to know, what is the 13 economic evaluation component that has been, in your

economic evaluation component that has been, in your point of view, under this global budget revenue model? And also, I think, you know, to do a comprehensive economic evaluation, a comprehensive data infrastructure is needed so the data across all the players, patients, doctors, the hospitals, the community workers, and also across jurisdictional areas. So I also would like to know your effort, you know, for this data infrastructure construction.

Thank you.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. BAYLESS: And we're out of time. No.

(Laughter.)

MS. BAYLESS: I'm just kidding. That was a great question. No, it is a great question. And I think it was interesting reflecting on Dr. Gaskin's slides earlier this morning. You know, when he was showing reductions in utilization for the HEZs, the non-HEZs, and then across the state, and we're seeing those reductions. So I think one of the difficulties in assessing the impact of any one discrete program is it's not operating in isolation. You've got other activities coming through at the same time, so what do you actually attribute all of the results to?

I mean, if we did a specific evaluation of our HEZ, which we have, and I've got some of the numbers here, so we've had a 17 percent reduction in ED visits. So typically an ED visit for us is going to be about \$2,400 because, you know, you've got to get a whole bunch of stuff done when you come to the ED, right? It's not a primary care visit. We've had a 26 percent reduction in 911 calls. We've had a 23 percent reduction in admissions, 37 percent reduction

in readmissions.

Now, that's a very -- it's a limited scale. You know, this wasn't across, you know, hundreds of thousands of people, but we were able to say, okay, do the math on that, tie it back, and then we are reducing all of this avoidable utilization. But it doesn't necessarily come through screaming at us on our financial statements as a huge success. So I think some of the difficulty is carving out the program discretely and saying this is exactly what is saved because there's other stuff going on.

But in terms of data and analytics, one of the big investments we've made, and many health systems are, is in our data analytics, data science and infrastructure, and also tapping into CRISP. So I think many of you are familiar with CRISP, which is our health information exchange here across the state and is in fact one of the robust HIEs in the country. So how do we continue to connect there? I also think there are other platforms that we can share data through, whether it's on the Epic platform. A lot of systems across the state are on Epic, but there's

also demand for interoperability across systems that aren't the same exact platform.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

But we've got a whole unit here on data analytics that's trying to mine not just the data associated with HEZ but how is our ACO working. as the population health data comes from Medicare in through the HSCRC to CRISP, we've got to understand that as well. But those are investments as well. Data scientists are as rare as hen's teeth. They're hard to find. We're all trying to find them, and they don't come cheap. Nor should they. They're very talented people. So I'm going to continue to work at it, but I think we struggle a little bit to analyze discrete programs, because we can inventory 15 different things we're working on, and how do you pin the results or the lack of results on any one effort?

MS. SULLIVAN: And the only thing I would add to that is we are looking at it at Dimensions in terms of I can't show it as a savings.

DR. THOMAS: Just pull the mic a little closer to you.

1 MS. SULLIVAN: Oh, sorry. I can't look at it as a cost savings. So my finance people always 2 3 challenge me, show me the savings. But we're looking 4 at it in terms of cost avoidance. We avoided this 5 set of costs with this particular patient population 6 by implementing these programs and services with 7 partners to address their social and health needs. And so I would challenge the term "savings," because 8 9 I get challenged on it quite a bit, that we are 10 actually avoiding costs. 11 DR. HAFT: So before I thank the panel, I 12 just wanted to point one thing out. I think this is 13 just such a great coincidence that in one week I 14 heard three times the same statement that housing is 15 I heard it from Dr. Ross today, I heard it health. 16 earlier in the week from Eric Lindamood, who's the 17 CEO of Health Care for the Homeless, and I heard it 18 from Secretary Holt, who is the secretary of our 19 housing division for the State. So if you hear it 20 three times, it must really be true. 21 But I wanted to just particularly thank 22 this incredible panel for the information that they

passed on and all of you for the great questions and
turn it over to Dr. Thomas.

(Applause.)

DR. THOMAS: What a wonderful panel. And I ask you to please just stay seated there, because when the boardroom and the front line start to align themselves in ways that the mission margin conversation switches and your healthcare professionals start to say, hey, this is why I became a healthcare professional, we don't want to lose that. And I think that last question spoke to the glass of the wrong size. You need new systems, new frameworks, not the old frameworks, and that's why it's so important that Senator Addie Eckardt here is in the room from the 37th District representing Caroline County, Dorchester, Talbot, Wicomico County. Give her a hand, will you?

(Applause.)

DR. THOMAS: That's what she can take back, talking about what other legislative levers that can help move things forward. And you should also know, again, that we're being watched around the country.

1	This is a big experiment going on, people. It's only
2	been four years. And we've moved the needle, and
3	we're moving the boardroom culture, and that's why
4	it's so important that Robert Wood Johnson is
5	watching. And Robert Wood Johnson has helped make
6	this conference not only possible, but also the
7	recording. The documentation that's according here
8	is to lay the roadmap for the nation. You are laying
9	a roadmap for the nation.
LO	So help me thank Dr. Krol. You're going to
L1	hear from him a little bit later.
L2	Raise your hand, Dr. Krol, so they can see
L3	you.
L 4	(Applause.)
L5	DR. THOMAS: From the Robert Wood Johnson
L 6	Foundation, who has the campaign A Culture of Health.
L7	This is what it looks like. It's hard work, but we
L8	are moving that needle here in the state of Maryland.
L9	So again, panelists, thank you. We are going to head
20	to lunch.
21	And, Dr. Haft, they're like the front line.
22	This is like your army out there moving things. Give
	Dune Obete Demontinu Inc

1	Dr. Haft a hand, will you?
2	(Applause.)
3	DR. THOMAS: And so we have a housekeeping
4	lunch announcement.
5	DR. DWYER: Thank you all. So you'll
6	notice we have just 30 minutes for lunch, and that's
7	because we have so many great speakers here today.
8	So please feel free to enjoy this beautiful campus,
9	but then also feel free to bring your food back in
10	here in 30 minutes, so as we restart. The eggplant
11	parmesan is vegetarian. The chicken and salad are
12	gluten free. The chicken pasta and salad are dairy
13	free. So thank you. And we want so if we could
14	be back by 1:30. Thank you so much.
15	(Whereupon, at 1:02 p.m., a lunch recess
16	was taken.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

A F T E R N O O N S E S S I O N

2 (1:36 p.m.)

DR. THOMAS: If I can have you all begin to grab your desserts, your drinks, take your seats.

We will do our best to pick up on some of our time, but the energy in the room, the fellowship is just wonderful, and we need more and more of that actually. It's amazing what you can do breaking bread together. And at least for me, I don't know about you, I never knew hospital food could taste so good.

(Laughter.)

DR. THOMAS: And you had all those options. So while we heard in many of the presentations this emphasis on mission and the recognition that at the end of the day a lot of you, particularly those on the front line, do this out of the goodness of your heart, in fact, I think that one could say that at the end of the day, to be successful, it doesn't happen because it's your job. So we're talking about the truly committed. And every now and then we get an opportunity to acknowledge the truly committed.

So one of the ways that I believe that you sustain efforts like this is to stay the course.

Yes, you applied for a grant; sometimes that's the exercise and the discipline of putting your ideas down on paper, and then lo and behold you get the grant, and now you actually have to make those ideas work and bring them into reality. And I don't think that Dr. Hussein, Dr. Reece, many of us who were on the commission writing the report had any idea of all the ripple effects that occurred, including the changes in the boardroom. So I say to you Marylanders, stay the course.

And so it really does help when you have national recognition. So I think it's very important that you go back to your organizations, and you talk about the significance of what you're involved in.

It is important that the Association of State and Territorial Health Officers awarded the HEZ here in Maryland, the model, the Vision Award for innovation and effectiveness and replicability. There's a keyword. All these elements can be replicated.

That's right, give yourselves a hand.

1	(Applause.)
2	DR. THOMAS: Can be replicated not only in
3	those places that applied but didn't get it, but
4	places around the country. And that's what we are
5	talking about now, replication around the country and
6	giving all those locales the ability to tailor to the
7	needs of their local constituents.
8	And so the Maryland Health Enterprise Zone
9	Initiative received the 2016 first place Vision Award
LO	for innovation and effectiveness and replicability
L1	from the Association of State and Territorial Health
L2	Officers. And these were awards that have been
L3	announced. And to kind of formally do that here at
L 4	this gathering, let's bring Dr. Spencer to the
L5	microphone to accept this award on behalf of all of
L 6	you out there. Give her a hand, will you?
L7	(Applause.)
L8	DR. THOMAS: Now, where's that
L 9	photographer? Where's that photographer? Do one for
20	the social media. Start tweeting. There you go.
21	Got it? Can you say hold that pose?
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: But I want the good
	Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

1	camera.
2	DR. THOMAS: Okay, here's the photographer.
3	Okay. Give her a hand. Thank you.
4	(Applause.)
5	DR. THOMAS: So if there's anything you
6	need to recognize is that documentation is important.
7	So the Twitter feeds, the Facebook pages,
8	documentation of the little milestones along the way,
9	do not take it for granted.
10	And now for the awarding of the Health
11	Enterprise Zone awards, I'm going to ask
12	Dr. Carlessia Hussein to come to the microphone.
13	Give her a hand while she's coming up here, will you?
14	(Applause.)
15	DR. THOMAS: As many of you know,
16	Dr. Hussein was a Director of the Maryland State
17	Office of Minority Health and Health Disparities from
18	2004 until she retired in 2014, and it's in that role
19	that she established a state of change to promote the
20	reduction of racial and ethnic health disparities in
2.1	Maryland.

She is truly a giant and a champion, and I

22

1	have known her for the greater part of my career
2	because Maryland was always in the forefront in
3	producing the kind of data documenting racial and
4	ethnic health disparities in the minority health
5	reports that came out. And so we were always
6	concerned, obviously, in her retirement somehow we
7	would lose that vision and wisdom.

And I think, Dr. Hussein, you have to be very, very pleased to see that that momentum that you helped set in motion continues to this very day.

And so it's really, really great to have her give the Health Enterprise awards today.

(Applause.)

DR. HUSSEIN: They gave me the microphone, so that was a mistake. I'm going to just take a few moments to say how delighted I have been all day sitting there listening to what has happened to the idea. And I said to a few people, and I'll say it to all of you now, I kind of felt like the mother and the children are now graduating from high school.

And I'm like, oh my God, it worked, it's moving fine.

So I'm very, very proud and very, very pleased and

happy to see so many faces that I know.

When I got the call from Director Spencer about coming to this, it made me stop the work I'm doing in Howard County and to look back at HEZ. So I quickly looked over my data. Do you know that it's six years and a little over seven months to the day that this all started with passage and signing of the ACO by the President in March 23rd, 2010? I looked at that and then looked at the events that occurred, and Michelle has gone over some of them. And on May 20th, 2012, a very important thing happened that I heard all day today. And I just want to take a second to share that.

I was part of the H-E-Z, or the HEZ -- I keep up to date here now -- workgroup, and there we were able to talk about and establish some HEZ principles. And actually, it happened one evening, late after work, with Dr. Mann. Is he still here? He's right there.

He and I sat at my work table, and we decided to look at all of the material from Dean Reece's workgroup to kind of put it in context,

and we came up with the principles. I mean, we

didn't come up with them; the principles were in the

material. We just pulled them out and crystallized

them.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And then I've heard them all day today. Provider-community balance, so there's a balance between community participation and input and the providers, the hospitals. Cultural, linguistic, and health literacy competence, I've heard that several times, and that, of course, relates to social determinants of health. And community coalitions was something that we struggled, and I think we did have some fights at the workgroup that this must be in it so there's a formal requirement that there must be community coalitions, with diverse people on those coalitions to help talk about the problems and be a part of the solution. And then contributions from local partners, and we heard the hospitals today, local partners working. And finally we put in planning for sustainability.

So that was wonderful. That has echoed through, and I think it's made the difference. So

I'm asking all of you to give yourselves a round of applause for doing a wonderful job and graduating from high school.

(Applause.)

DR. HUSSEIN: Now, moving on with the task that was given, we want to recognize the Health Equity Awards. Maryland's five Health Enterprise Zones have been awarded the 2016 Department of Health and Mental Hygiene Health Equity Award.

The DHMH Health Equity Award, advancing social justice through health equity, which recognizes programs and organizations that have successfully implemented efforts to promote justice by addressing health equity and social determinants of health in Maryland's most disadvantaged communities, this award considers costs, effectiveness, resilience, and impact on the State's public health.

The HEZs have created communities in which integrated healthcare systems led by community coalitions are pioneering healthcare and prevention efforts in a patient- and family-centered manner and

with a health equity approach. These systems work in tandem with a variety of stakeholders to improve health and decrease costs, expand access, empower communities, and reduce health disparities. The HEZ model aligns systems and incentives to broaden the scope of care within Maryland's most underserved communities to address social determinants of health. This is the segment from our Department. I'm still a

part of it.

The Annapolis Community Health

Partnership -- and at the end of this, if someone

from that group will come up, and we will provide

your award -- based at Anne Arundel Medical Center

established a new primary care medical home in

collaboration with the Housing Authority of the City

of Annapolis and a number of other community partners

within the Morris H. Blum Senior Apartments facility.

And I remember visiting there and seeing the people

living there coming downstairs and going into the

area to see the nurse and the physician.

The health center has expanded primary care and wraparound public health and social services for

1	the elderly and disabled residents of Morris Blum and
2	for low-income adults in the surrounding community
3	who were experiencing crisis-driven, episodic, and
4	fragmented care.
5	So if someone would come forth from this
6	HEZ so we could and more than one can come forth.
7	(Applause and cheering.)
8	DR. HUSSEIN: And this is awarded to you
9	for all your great work, and please take it back and
10	share with all of your staff and community residents.
11	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Thank you. Thank
12	you.
13	(Applause.)
14	DR. HUSSEIN: The next center is the
15	Caroline and Dorchester's Competent Care Connection,
16	CCC, Health Enterprise Zone. The Caroline-Dorchester
17	HEZ based at the Dorchester County Health Department
18	has collaborated with organizations across the two
19	counties to integrate the efforts of seven partners
20	that provide direct services in seven of the Eastern
21	Shore's most underserved zip codes.
22	These communities experience significantly

1	limited access to primary care, higher Medicaid and
2	WIC enrollment rates, and among the worst chronic
3	disease outcomes in the state. The HEZ has worked to
4	expand the primary care and behavioral health
5	workforce, improve outcomes, and reduce diabetes,
6	hypertension, and behavioral-health-related risk
7	factors. Key strategies have included recruiting
8	providers, opening a community mental health clinic,
9	and expanding care coordination, school-based
10	wellness, and mobile behavioral health crisis
11	services, among others.
12	So would someone come forth, as well as our
13	Senator from that area?
14	(Applause.)
15	DR. HUSSEIN: This award is presented to
16	all of you this day.
17	(Applause.)
18	DR. HUSSEIN: The third award goes to
19	Greater Lexington Park Health Enterprise Zone. The
20	HEZ in this area, based at MedStar St. Mary's
21	Hospital, has worked to expand and integrate primary
22	care, behavioral health, and community health

1	resources to reduce unnecessary ED usage and improve
2	health outcomes across the three zip codes where
3	Medicaid panels were closed in most practices, and
4	uninsured and underinsured residents were forced to
5	seek both primary and crisis care in the emergency
6	department.
7	Key strategies have included opening a new
8	primary care practice, expanding care coordination
9	and mobile primary care services, integrating
10	behavioral health services, and developing a mobile
11	medical route, specialty transportation service, and
12	dental van.
13	Would representatives from Greater
14	Lexington Park come forward?
15	(Applause.)
16	DR. HUSSEIN: This award is presented to
17	you this day.
18	(Applause.)
19	DR. HUSSEIN: The next award goes to the
20	Prince George's County Health Enterprise Zone. It
21	has worked to increase accessibility and availability
22	of primary care services by establishing or expanding
	Free State Reporting, Inc.

1	five primary care home hubs in the Capitol Heights
2	target zip code, which are supported by a countywide
3	public health information network and a community
4	care coordination team.
5	Capitol Heights led the county in poor
6	health outcomes and ambulatory-care-sensitive
7	hospital admissions, experienced poverty rates nearly
8	double those of the county as a whole, and Medicaid
9	and WIC enrollment rates that exceeded state rates.
10	This enhanced primary care capacity is expected to
11	improve health outcomes, increase community
12	resources, and reduce preventable hospitalizations
13	and emergency department visits.
14	Would those individuals from Prince
15	George's County come forward?
16	(Applause and cheering.)
17	DR. HUSSEIN: This award is presented to
18	you this day.
19	I'm trying to get set up here. Hold on.
20	(Laughter.)
21	(Applause.)
22	DR. HUSSEIN: And finally, we offer an
	Free State Reporting, Inc.

1378 Cape St. Claire Road
Annapolis, MD 21409
(410) 974-0947

award to the West Baltimore Primary Care It has employed a variety of Collaborative. strategies to increase primary care and community health resource capacity in four zip codes in West Baltimore in order to reduce risk factors, improve health outcomes, and reduce preventable ED visits, hospital admissions, readmissions, and related costs among targeted high utilizers of hospital care.

These neighborhoods had higher disease burden than most communities in Maryland and established the lower extremes for health disparities in Baltimore City and the state across all major chronic illnesses. Key strategies have included a two-tiered care coordination program targeting high utilizers of five partner hospitals, extensive training of HEZ residents, staff, and providers, mini grants to community partners to provide self-management and community supports, and a scholarship to increase and diversify the local healthcare workforce.

Would representatives from West Baltimore come forward?

1	(Applause.)
2	DR. HUSSEIN: And let's give an even larger
3	round of applause to all of these winners of the
4	2016
5	(Applause.)
6	DR. THOMAS: Okay. Dr. Hussein, come down
7	here. Come this way, right in front of the stage.
8	Come in front of the stage, quickly, quickly. And
9	get tight. Get tight, Dr. Krol. Can we get you in
10	this photo? And the State HEZ Team, you can't be in
11	one big line. Get on the other side, too. Get on
12	the other side. Come on. Here, get right there in
13	the middle. There we go. Tight. Now, hold those
14	awards up now. Come on. Now, who's the official
15	photographer with the good camera?
16	Okay, if you can see them.
17	MS. SAMMONS HACKETT: We can't see the main
18	camera. Where's the main photographer? Okay, if you
19	can't see Donna, Donna can't see you.
20	DR. THOMAS: Okay, here we go. This is the
21	one.
22	Okay, give them a hand, will you?
	Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

1 (Applause.) And don't worry, people, we'll 2 DR. THOMAS: 3 get caught up on time. But we have to acknowledge 4 and reward one another so that you can get back in 5 the fight when you get back home; am I right? 6 (Applause.) 7 DR. THOMAS: So yes, take the photos, post 8 them on your social media, and give us all an 9 opportunity to like your page and to retweet. 10 got to learn how to use all these things to get the 11 message out about the great work happening here in 12 the state of Maryland. 13 And so it's actually a real honor to 14 introduce our next speaker, who is going to talk 15 about how Maryland's Health Enterprise Zones are 16 building a culture of health, extremely important 17 that you've tapped into something that's occurring 18 across this country. 19 Dr. David Krol is a pediatrician, and he's 20 a Senior Program Officer for the Robert Wood Johnson 21 Foundation. He's focused on developing health and

> Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

healthcare leaders who will build and sustain a

22

1	culture of health. He is passionate about improving
2	the physical, mental, social health and well-being of
3	all infants, children, adolescents, and young adults.
4	Dr. Krol received his B.A. degree from the University
5	of Toledo. He was drafted by the Minnesota Twins,
6	and he played professional baseball for three years.
7	What a great game. It doesn't matter what team you
8	are rooting for, what a wonderful World Series.
9	Dr. Krol received his M.D. degree from Yale
10	University School of Medicine and completed his
11	residency in pediatrics at the Rainbow Babies and
12	Children's Hospital in Cleveland. And he received an
13	M.P.H. degree from the Mailman School of Public
14	Health. Dr. Krol has been a partner with the
15	Department in support of efforts to promote the
16	Health Enterprise Zone initiatives. This conference
17	is financially supported by the Robert Wood Johnson
18	Foundation through the support of Dr. Krol's efforts.
19	Please give him a hand as he comes to the
20	microphone.
21	(Applause.)
22	DR. KROL: Well, thank you, everybody.

It's a pleasure to have the opportunity to speak to you today. So I grew up just outside of Cleveland, so you probably perceived the sense of loss that I have today.

(Laughter.)

DR. KROL: But as a big baseball fan and a former professional baseball player, I recognize how great that was for baseball. That's the big picture, and what I'm going to focus on today is the big picture.

So in 2014, the Merriam-Webster Dictionary declared "culture" its word of the year because people use it so broadly. It can mean art or music. It can mean history and heritage. But basically, it boils down to this: Culture is how we do things, how we do things within our families, within our communities, and within our workplaces, and how we do things as a nation.

Now, as you may already know, the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, for over 40 years, has been working to bring health and healthcare issues to the forefront. So what's different now? Now, we're

focusing all of our efforts on building a culture of health. So what is a culture of health and why do we need one?

When we at the Robert Wood Johnson

Foundation talk about building a culture of health,

it means recognizing that health is an essential part

of everything that we do. Consider this: Culture

filters every aspect of our everyday life. It's what

we think and feel and care about. It's how we learn

and teach. It's how we relate to one another.

Now, picture if being healthy, as healthy as you can be, was part of our everyday culture, baked right into the very core of our existence in these United States. What if claiming, reclaiming, or sustaining health was a priority for everyone, no matter how much you earn, where you live, what you do, or where you come from. That's what we mean by a culture of health, and we're striving to build a national culture of health that will enable all of us to live longer, healthier lives now and for generations to come.

Now, my guess is that that sounds very

familiar to you all that have been working on the

Health Enterprise Zones for these past years. And

you're right; health means something different to all

of us. But we know one thing for sure: Being as

healthy as we can be helps us lead more productive,

prosperous lives, and that's something we all should

value.

Good health gives people the opportunity to be their best, to fulfill their potential, and to thrive in an environment that supports their goals for themselves and their families. Good health fuels our nation's economy. It helps make businesses more competitive, today and tomorrow. Caring about health helps build neighborhoods in cities with green space and public transportation. It means reducing violence, and it means making sure our kids can enter school strong and ready to learn.

Over the past two years, the Foundation developed an action framework that translates our big vision for a culture of health into specific measurable benchmarks and shows how everyone has a role to play to help catalyze change on the ground.

It was designed to build on the energy and legacy of those of you who have worked tirelessly in the health and health equity arenas for years. It also opens the door to new allies, especially those who haven't realized their role in building a culture of health until now.

That's why it's called a framework and not a model. Rather than serve as a rigid blueprint, the action framework invites individuals, organizations, and communities to see how their goals and their priorities align with the goals of others. The action framework will guide our work and investments for the next 20 years or more, and it's intended to be a broader framework for the nation.

We will invest in creating communities
where health is a shared value. This means
positioning our nation's goals about health front and
center by increasing the demand for healthy places
and practices that benefit everyone.

We will support cross-sector collaboration so that leaders from different fields and industries, health systems, private businesses, local health

departments, community organizations, individuals,
government agencies, and other sectors like
transportation, housing, and urban planning will all
see opportunities for alignment.

we'll work to achieve healthier and more equitable communities by addressing head on the chronic environmental and policy conditions that hold back too many Americans from living in good health.

We'll strengthen the health and healthcare systems, which means balancing medical treatment with social services, and increasing the coordination between care, cost, and prevention.

Equity and opportunity are overarching themes in this framework and in everything the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation does, because to build a culture of health in America, we cannot leave anyone behind.

Now, I sense, again, many of you see your work on the Health Enterprise Zones in this culture of health, and so do I. At the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, we're stressing collaboration across fields and industries and asking the organizations we

work with to find ways to work with new and perhaps unlikely allies. One of the greatest strengths of our foundation is our ability to create alliances with strange bedfellows.

We're asking our partners and our allies to do the same, and you have been. We want everyone to break down silos, reach out to unconventional partners who can help accelerate the movement and build a greater sense of shared accountability. We'd like you to think about who else you could be working with to create real systems, real systems that last, and real systems that are changing. Building a culture of health means creating a society that gives every person an opportunity to live the healthiest life they can, whatever their ethnic, geographic, racial, socioeconomic, or physical circumstances happen to be.

We hope this framework and the culture of health movement will spark a productive national conversation about the physical, social, economic, and emotional conditions that influence health. It's a big task, but we know that when people in Maryland

1	and the rest of the United States put their minds to
2	it, we can accomplish anything. Of course, we need
3	your ingenuity, your innovation and inspiration to
4	make it happen. We need your deep connections to
5	your communities, your neighborhoods, and your
6	guidance on how to spread the word. It may take us a
7	generation to achieve, but we're committed to seeing
8	it through, and we hope you are as well.
9	The seeds of a culture of health have been
10	planted. Now we all just need to help them grow so
11	the health of everyone in America can rise to the
12	level that this great nation deserves.
13	Thank you very much.
14	(Applause.)
15	DR. THOMAS: Dr. Krol, can I get you to
16	help me?
17	DR. KROL: Sure.
18	DR. THOMAS: Could you come and help me for
19	a second? You know, RWJ is a big foundation. You
20	probably get a lot of phone calls, but one day you
21	got a phone call and you picked it up, and on the
22	other end of the line was Dr. Michelle Spencer. And

1	out of that came all of this. So I'm asking Dr. Krol
2	to help me thank Michelle.
3	You headed up here? Grab them, please.
4	Here they come.
5	Michelle, come on up here.
6	Give Michelle a hand, will you?
7	(Applause.)
8	DR. THOMAS: Where's our good photographer?
9	Thank you very much, Michelle.
10	(Laughter.)
11	DR. THOMAS: Every now and then the hope is
12	real and things happen.
13	And, Dr. Krol, thank you so much for
14	picking up the phone and recognizing on the other end
15	of that phone call you had somebody who could make a
16	difference and move the needle. And thank you so
17	much for making this possible.
18	So Marylanders, you've got to keep the
19	spotlight on what's happening here. And our next
20	panel is so important. What I'd like to have is our
21	next panel to begin making their way to the table as
22	I begin to set the stage.

This next session is titled New and Emerging Opportunities in Maryland for Addressing the Social Determinants of Health.

Michelle, do I have the right one?

Okay, we're just a little -- ooh, we're way behind. And so now we will hear from David Weinman,

Senior Program Manager for the -- uh-oh, hold on.

So we're going to hear from David Mann, epidemiologist at the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene and the Office of Minority Health and Health Disparities and a founding member of the State HEZ Team, who will now moderate this session on the New and Emerging Opportunities in Maryland for Addressing Health Disparities.

Let me just say this in terms of Dr. Mann:

I've known Dr. Mann, and he and Dr. Hussein were like

tied at the hip, with Dr. Mann generating that kind

of unassailable data from an epidemiologist's point

of view, and Dr. Hussein literally translating that

data into the voices of the people that were

suffering. And together, that's how Maryland

positioned itself to be one of the state leaders in

1	using data to shape policy and to advance a better
2	state of health.
3	
	So if you would help me welcome Dr. Mann to
4	the microphone.
5	(Applause.)
6	DR. MANN: Well, I'm glad to be here, and I
7	might be the other person who it's a mistake to give
8	the microphone to, but I'm going to try to keep
9	myself really brief since we
10	DR. THOMAS: We didn't give you any
11	PowerPoints.
12	DR. MANN: Well, I do have two slides, and
13	they're going to kind of quickly lay some groundwork
14	for, I think, the discussion we're hopefully going to
15	have from this panel.
16	And as an epidemiologist, I'm the data guy,
17	and although I'm not an economist, I play one on TV.
18	So I'm going to bring the kind of ruthless financial
19	perspective. So if we can go to the next slide,
20	please?
21	Because I've thought about so what are the
22	rationales of sustaining programs if HEZ wants to go

out and market itself, what's it going to be 1 marketing on? So there's two options I think. 2 3 There's a business case. If you produce a tangible 4 dollar return on investment, that's one kind of 5 marketing strategy, and it's important then to 6 realize that whoever reaps the return should make the 7 investment. So I think we're going to want to ask ourselves, as we think about this, is the savings we 8 9 get from global budgets accruing to hospitals, or is 10 it accruing to the payers? Because whoever gets most 11 of that savings ought to be the ones investing into 12 HEZs and the similar social determinants programs. 13 If you don't have a tangible return on 14 investment, or if it's too far in the future to bring 15 that money in and actually pay for the program, then 16 you're in an altruism, philanthropy, or good public 17 funding kind of model. And so in that case, 18 sustainability truly requires some kind of a

So what's a good example of perpetual subsidy? The Department of Defense we sustain with a perpetual subsidy. It doesn't actually generate ROI.

19

20

21

22

perpetual subsidy.

It has value, but not ROI. Public television, they're fundraising constantly, so that's their sustainability model. So I think the big question is are the insurers, the providers, or the regulators in a position to provide support to HEZ components under a non-ROI rationale? I don't know what the answer is, but I think that's something we want to know as we think about sustainability.

And the next slide.

So we slip into suppose you do have the ROI rationale, how does that relate to global budgets?

And this relates a little bit to my earlier question today. I think global budgets give us a different concept of what the relevant cost is to be discussing.

In the old fee-for-service, cost was the price that was paid by a payer for services, and that was very volume dependent. Under global budgets, the cost that matters is the production costs that a provider, a hospital, or as you move to the outpatient system has to actually pay to produce the service. And I think there's a different

relationship to volume. So fee-for-service was

tightly linked to volume. I think in global budgets,

the change in production costs may be uncoupled from

change in volume to a degree.

It's important not to confuse average costs with marginal costs. I've heard people say that average cost in hospitals is about half fixed cost and half variable cost, but I think marginal cost is a completely different discussion. If you think if it costs \$100,000 to do 100 MRIs, what's it cost to do 101 MRIs? Probably the same \$100,000. What's it cost to do 99? Probably the same \$100,000, because you can't really add or subtract the operational cost from one or more added at the margin.

I think that's important for understanding do hospitals save money with small degrees of volume reduction under global budgets, or is that really accruing somewhere else? So who holds the savings? Is it the hospitals, the payers, both, or neither? And then are these savings real or just kind of actuarial?

So we can value out things that have value,

1	but it's not money we can get our hands on and
2	transfer to another place. I think we have to be
3	very careful that we're talking about real savings
4	that we can then transfer into the social
5	determinants of health programs.

And so with that background and those questions in mind, I'd like to turn to our panel to give us some insights on their perspectives on all this. And so our first speaker is going to be Stephen Ports, who is the Director of our Center for Engagement and Alignment at the Maryland Health Services Cost Review Commission.

MR. PORTS: Well, test. Are we working here? Check, check, check, check. Test, test. All right, got it.

All right, well, thank you, Dr. Mann. It's very good to be here today. And thanks for pulling up the slides. You can go to the next slide.

If you haven't noticed, there -- oh, that would be great, yeah. If you haven't noticed, there are changes afoot. There are major changes in healthcare delivery. From hearing some of the

activities that you all have been doing, you are in the forefront of that. And Maryland is ahead of all of the other states, I would say, in this delivery system reform.

I think Dr. Krol had talked earlier about the silos, and Maryland is really trying to break down some of these silos. And the silos really have multiple impacts. It's a payment silo that's been created many years ago through an incremental payment system, but it's also delivery silos that people aren't talking. In the past, it was really a provider-based system. We are moving toward a patient-centered system, one where the patient is the epicenter of care.

And in Maryland, one of the reasons why

Maryland is a little bit ahead of some of -- many of
the other states is because of the all-payer model.

Granted, it only involves regulation of hospitals,
but hospitals really influence about 75 percent of
all care that takes place in the system. Really 55
or 56 percent is the hospital care, but of course
most acute care, after folks leave the hospitals,

they also have influence over the physicians that work at those hospitals. So this is a significant change that we're working on.

What I thought I'd do today, briefly, as briefly as I can, give a real brief background on the all-payer system, how the system is doing so far in its first three years, talk about global budgets, as Dr. Mann had talk about, and then also some of the things that you all are doing to help. And I do want to say through these awards. I heard a lot of terms like care coordination, like primary care, like potentially avoidable utilization. Three years ago, we never -- this group would have never been using these terms. And I think, really, it's because of the incentives in the system and with the movement that the federal government is moving to as well.

So let me briefly just talk about the all-payer system. The HSCRC, which I work for, is the entity that kind of facilitates the all-payer system. We regulate the rates that are paid for hospital service, and we've done that for about 40 years, actually more than 40 years. But in 2014,

things changed significantly when we received a waiver, an all-payer model demonstration project from the federal government, from CMS.

And when I look around the room, there are a lot of people that have heard this presentation many times and who actually have boots on the ground trying to implement some of the incentives in the system.

And it really modernizes this Medicare waiver that we used to have for years and moves it away from one that was volume based, one that was really around the per inpatient cost just for Medicare, actually, to one that is really looking at all payers, doesn't matter if you're a Medicare patient, Medicaid, commercial, and it looks at it on per capita basis. And this is the basis that brings this patient-centered orientation that hospitals now have the incentives to look outside of the hospital and ensure that patients, when they leave, have what they need to ensure that they don't come back and that folks that are a rising risk that may be future admissions, to help them so that they don't become

admissions in the first place.

Again, we oversee the regulation, been doing that for quite some time. And there's huge value in the system in that it includes the cost of uncompensated care in the rates that we set. That means that you don't have public hospitals like you have in other states, and there's no reason for hospitals to dump patients because they don't have insurance or something like that, or based on acuity of the service.

So, in 2014, what happened? The new allpayer model created some requirements. One is that
the all-payer total cost of per capita revenue growth
cannot grow more than 3.58 percent in any given year.

It's a five-year project that we're working under,
and we're currently working on the next phase,

Phase 2 of the all-payer model, which kind of moves
away from the metrics I'm going to talk about right
now, which are more hospital based, and to ones that
are total cost of care based.

Medicare, of course, wants to ensure that they see savings of at least \$330 million for

1 Medicare patients in terms of the trend compared to the nation. And we have a total cost of care 2 3 quardrail to ensure that costs don't pop out, if you 4 will, on the non-hospital side. But most important, 5 and I would say even more important are the 6 readmission requirements that you all are working so 7 hard to address, other potentially avoidable utilization like preventable PPCs, potentially 8 9 preventable conditions, and things that happen in the 10 hospital to patients that aren't the normal course of 11 a disease, so complications, in essence. And many 12 other, there are many other quality provisions that 13 we have to look at. 14 So what's happened so far? So far, we've done very well on the all-payer revenue growth test. 15 16 We've saved Medicare compared to the national trend 17 by reducing unnecessary and potentially avoidable 18 utilization. The quality metrics are on track, and 19 there's been much stakeholder participation.

is care delivery. The way we're looking at it is we need to change the care delivery system, improve care

Let's think about it in three buckets.

20

21

22

coordination activities. This is what we're told by the workgroups. This is the first phase of doing this.

The second is through health information exchange. CRISP is the train that we are latching onto, and I would suggest that if you're not engaged with CRISP, that you get engaged with CRISP, understand how you can use CRISP to show value for the programs that you are implementing to your community hospitals.

And then finally provider alignment. We only set rates for hospitals. We want to make sure that the other providers in the systems are incented as well under the same system that hospitals are incented under. So we are working actually on a care redesign program that will allow for gain sharing and some incentives for other providers for doing the same things that hospitals are working toward.

So Dr. Mann talked about global budgets.

What is that? Before, all we set were the rates per unit, and we had no control over how many units, in other words how many admissions, would come to a

hospital. So it was very difficult to manage to that 3.58 percent growth, so we created voluntarily a global budget structure, which said to hospitals we are going to provide you with the revenue from your previous year, which included very high readmissions, included complications, and if you get those down, you'll get the same amount of overall revenue, your cost would be less, and therefore, money will drop to the bottom line. And this is what Dr. Mann was talking about: Money would drop to their bottom line to invest in those strategies to continue reducing readmissions and potentially avoidable utilizations.

And this has been a concept that CMS has very much liked. They've used this, actually put regulations in place in rural hospitals around the nation to allow -- it's a safety net for hospitals, but at the same time making sure that hospitals are focused on the patient holistically.

So what does it mean to hospitals? It means incentives to reduce potentially avoidable utilization like readmissions, complications, ambulatory-sensitive conditions, which many of you

are very focused on right now, but also to prevent new admissions by spearheading some of the efforts that are happening in the community. It also helps payers by reducing utilization and providing some predictability over cost growth.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So the other thing you asked us to do is to talk about some of the regional partnerships and things that have been encouraging. And again, I see folks in the room who are involved with some of the implementation grants and the regional programs that we have been providing some support for. I do want to remind you that in Fiscal '14 and '15, the Commission included about \$160 million in rates over and above what normal inflation is to support care transitions and care coordination activities. So there were dollars in hospitals, and they are permanent, meaning that they stay and are updated every year to support things like 30- to 60-day discharge -- or 30- to 60-day support programs after someone leaves the hospital and other discharge planning and providing medications upon discharge.

In addition to that, we also provided 2.5

million to get regions, hospitals and regions to plan around patients, because in the past they've been competitors. They've been financial competitors. And today, we tell hospitals, yeah, we'd like you to be competitors, but we'd like you to compete on quality around the patient and not about just volume, as they have in the past. And they are getting the message, and we've had actually eight planning grants

And I know these are difficult to see, but I'm running out of time, so I'm just going to go through these very quickly.

that we've provided \$2.5 million to.

The next program is where do we go? We have these planning grants; now let's move towards an implementation process. Let's provide some additional dollars for systems, hospital partnerships, regional partnerships, as well as partnerships with community providers that are, I'm going to call, next generation care coordination activities, reaching out into the community and working with providers to ensure that we are reducing potentially avoidable utilization and providing best

care.

The Commission approved 9 of 22 proposals in Round 1. As you can see, there's a partnership here, a community health partnership with Hopkins, MedStar Franklin Square, Harbor, Mercy, Sinai, in the first round. And there's also an opportunity -- what we required was going to be reporting, and we're going to be providing public reporting on the status of those. But also, we wanted to provide some savings to payers, so we reduced the amount over several years.

The second round is before our Commission next week, and we are proposing to provide another \$6.5 million in rates for several hospitals, which includes the West Baltimore Collaborative. I know there's a group from West Baltimore that's here today.

I'm going to have to skip through this very quickly, but what I want to say is that when we -- and Dr. Haft could have presented this when he was here, but what I want to say about this is we are proposing by the end of this calendar year a model

for the next phase of this waiver, which would begin And part of that model is total cost of Instead of just looking at the hospital side, let's look at what's happening to patients holistically everywhere, no matter who the provider But as part of the model, we also are proposing a primary care model, which is sort of like a PCMH, a Primary Care Medical Home type model for individuals that need -- with high needs. And Dr. Haft is championing this and will be providing more details in the coming months before we present that to CMS.

And I know Tricia will talk later about a model for dual eligibles as well.

Last slide here. What can HEZs do to participate in this system? As I mentioned before, show data, get involved with CRISP, find out how -- what value CRISP has for you in terms of accessing data and being able to show hospitals that you, the boots on the ground can actually help reduce potentially avoidable utilization. They have an incentive now to -- they can't do everything at once. And I know that Nicole's going to say that. And it

1	takes time. It does take time for these things to
2	achieve ROI. They are going to be interested in
3	those projects that achieve ROI on a fairly quick
4	turnaround basis because that's the way that the
5	system works.
6	And if there are folks that you are serving
7	that have that are working with and providing care
8	coordination activities that are chronically ill, and
9	particularly if they are Medicare patients, I would
10	think hospitals want to hear if you are having
11	success in care coordination and provider alignment
12	and addressing social determinants. I'm sure they'd
13	be interested to understand how that is working.
14	So with that, I will stop, and David can
15	introduce the next contestant.
16	(Applause.)
17	DR. MANN: Thanks, Steve. So while we're
18	swapping out slides, our next speaker will be Nicole
19	Dempsey Stallings, who is the Vice President of
20	Policy and Data Analytics at the Maryland Hospital
21	Association.

Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

MS. STALLINGS: Great. Thank you. I have

22

to say, I'm humbled for two reasons. First, as I was saying to Dr. Hussein, I participated in Dean Reece's workgroup on maternity leave, and my son, Holden, just entered kindergarten this year. So, for me, you're talking about grandkids, I'm talking about babies, and that's how it feels. The other thing that I guess is a little depressing is Steve just went through everything that's dominating my life right now in about 15 minutes, and that's a little depressing.

So when I knew who I was going to be presenting with and the topics that would be covered in my role as an advocate for Maryland's hospitals, I thought that I would start by talking about social determinants and how they impact payment policies for Maryland hospitals, because we all know that health is more than clinical care. We all know that living in a severely disadvantaged neighborhood is a higher predictor of a re-hospitalization in the presence of an illness. We all know that nationally, safety net hospitals are penalized more frequently for re-hospitalization programs.

But then I thought, rather than talk about how those payment programs need to account for social determinants and tell the State what I thought and what Maryland's hospitals thought they could do better, I would instead be really candid and honest with you all and talk about where Maryland's hospitals can do a better job. And that is around diversity and disparities.

So this is a report that came out in 2013 from the Health Research and Education Trust of the American Hospital Association. This group and Health Enterprise Zones really founded understanding about healthcare disparities. But for diversity, what we're really talking about is do your leaders reflect the communities that you serve?

And the report found that while hospitals were actively collecting data, that only 22 percent of those hospitals were using it to identify disparities and to treat outcomes. We found that while 86 percent of hospitals were educating around cultural competency at orientation, a much smaller percent were actually having that ongoing training

with their staff. And we found that while minorities
represent 31 percent of patients nationally, when you
see the numbers, 14 percent represented on our
boards, 12 percent in executive leadership positions,
and 17 percent in first- and mid-level management.

The NAACP, in 2015, came out with their
Opportunity and Diversity Report Card, and I know
this is difficult to see, but they graded Maryland -or excuse me, national hospitals that were part of
these large systems, Ascension, Catholic Health, et
cetera, and you can see the grades on there. So if
Holden is coming home with those kinds of grades,
we're going to have a conversation. And we realized
that we needed to have a conversation with all of our
hospitals.

So a few excerpts from that report. "In study after study, we see that although some of these less-skilled positions are highly diverse, the middle and upper reaches of management and the so-called C-suite of corporate governance remain almost exclusively the domain of white men.

"It is paradoxical that an industry more

aware of the concrete benefits of diversity than most industries has been unable to achieve it."

"The monitoring of procurement diversity is lacking or at best rudimentary, and reflects a blind spot that is more pronounced in the healthcare industry than any other industry the NAACP has surveyed to date."

We call this holding up a mirror. And that's what we needed to do.

These five organizations came together around a national call to eliminate healthcare disparities. It was founded on the commitment that equitable care for all patients is not just the right thing to do but is central to the ongoing quality improvement work that our hospitals are committed to and part of a business imperative.

And this is the basis of the Equity of Care campaign that came together to focus on three core areas: increasing the collection and use of race, ethnicity, and language preference data; increasing cultural competency training; and increasing diversity in governance and leadership.

We asked the Maryland Hospital Association 1 and hospital associations across the country, asked 2 3 hospitals to sign the pledge to do four things in 4 four months. In the first month, choose a quality 5 measure and stratify it by race, ethnicity, and 6 language preference. By the second month, determine 7 if there was a disparity, and if so, implement a plan to address the gap. By the third month, provide 8 cultural competency training for all staff. And by 9 10 the fourth month, to have a dialogue with the 11 hospital board and leadership team. 12 I'm pleased to tell you that Maryland's 13 hospitals have universally committed to this 14 initiative. We are the only state in the nation that 15 has 100 percent of all of our community hospitals 16 that have signed up for this initiative. 17 (Applause.) 18 MS. STALLINGS: Thank you. So where do we 19 go next? Now, it's all about continuing to hold that 20 mirror up, it's continuing to put data back in front 21 of our hospitals, it's to collect stories, it's to

> Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

convene in gatherings like this and share resources

22

1	and tools and struggles. This is the tip of the
2	iceberg. We know that we have more work to do. But
3	if we are asking our community partners to help us in
4	this work, we have to make sure that we are holding
5	ourselves to the same standard within our governance.
6	Thank you.
7	(Applause.)
8	DR. MANN: Okay, and our final speaker is
9	going to be Tricia Roddy, who is the Director of the
10	Office of Planning in the Maryland Medicaid Program.
11	MS. RODDY: Good afternoon, everyone. I'm
12	here today to talk about what we're doing walked
13	in right when the pediatrician happy to talk more
14	about
15	DR. THOMAS: Let me have you bring the mic
16	a little closer to you. And just get it real close.
17	There you go.
18	MS. RODDY: can you hear?
19	DR. THOMAS: There you go.
20	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is it on?
21	DR. THOMAS: It's on.
22	MS. RODDY: Sorry about that. So again,
	Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road

I'm going to give you a background on the dual eligibles and why we think it's a critical piece in all the state healthcare reform activities that are going on in Maryland, talk about the guiding principles in the integration with the all-payer progression strategy, give you a highlight of our proposed model, and then open it up for questions obviously at the end.

Again, so just to give you some background on what kind of prompted us to look at the dual eligibles and where some of our funding is coming from, the Center for Medicare and Medicaid

Innovations gave Maryland a \$2.5 million design grant to help us complement all the activities that were already happening in Maryland. They were very, very clear to us that it had to be in partnership with the HSCRC and all the work that they were already engaged in, with the ultimate goal of moving beyond hospital expenditures and looking at per capita costs.

So how are we spending that \$2.5 millions?

We highlighted a couple of areas. We wanted to focus
on developing a dual eligible model. We wanted to

spend some money and figure out how we could connect
the skilled nursing facilities with our health
information exchange. There's been a lot of work on
the hospital side in the health information exchange,
and there's been some work on the ambulatory side,
but it's pretty much been silent on the skilled
nursing side. And obviously, to control total costs,
you really have to have that component connected to
the HIE. And our counterparts in the public health
program have been working on the public population
health planning activities.

And again, just to emphasize again, they have been very clear over and over to us that everything we do can't be separate and apart from all the other work that's happening.

So why are the dual eligibles important to us in this discussion around healthcare reform and what's happening at the all-payer model? You know, first of all, we serve about 1.3 million residents in the Medicaid program today. Over 84 percent of our population is already enrolled in managed care. And the people that are outside of our managed care

program are those that are eligible as well as for

Medicare, so they're dual eligibles, they are

individuals who are 65 and older, and there's a few

other populations. But that's generally the main

cohorts that are served outside of our managed care

program. We have a very mature managed care program.

It's been operating since 1997.

So for the dual eligibles, what we're talking about is there are 73,000 individuals. We have excluded the individuals who have developed mental disabilities from this discussion for the time being. At some point, they will be integrated into the discussions and the planning activities.

The average age of a dual eligible is 66 years old. Again, you know, characteristically they're aged, blind, or disabled. They have a wide range of needs. Some of them are, you know, in the nursing facility, some are in the home and community based settings, and some are receiving long-term care supports and services that are helping them stay in their home, and then there's a cohort that's just living on their own in the community.

So to give you -- I don't know if you can see this. I'll actually have to turn my page to actually see it. Again, just to emphasize, you know, the type of individuals that we're serving that are called dual eligibles, again they have a wide range of needs. You know, some of them are costing almost 8,500 per member per month, and then there are some that are about \$1,600 per member per month. So again, there's a wide range of needs within this group. Some of them are seriously mentally ill. Some of them are, you know, 80 years old, in a nursing home, to give you an example.

Again, why we think it's so important for the discussions that are happening at the all-payer focus is when we -- as you heard before, they are focusing on Medicare. A lot of it is focused on Medicare. And we think it's really important to connect the services that those individuals are getting on the Medicaid side so that it's not just talking about the Medicare services. And if you can see here, it's roughly -- the Medicaid side for dual eligibles is about 51 percent of the total costs for

these individuals.

So again, in order to really manage the Medicare population for the dual eligibles, you really have to see both sides of the pie and connect those two systems.

So we have been working with a stakeholder group since last February. And we laid out many, many different options. We talked about a capitated managed care program. We talked about a fee-for-service kind of primary care model, as well as what's called a dual eligible accountable care organization. And we really kind of landed on a dual eligible accountable care organization as well as a managed fee-for-service program.

We had guiding principles. We wanted to make sure that we were coordinating care for the dually eligibles. We wanted to make sure that we were utilizing CRISP and other health IT tools throughout the state. Again, when we are talking about total cost of care, we're not just talking about the Medicare side of things; we're talking about the Medicaid as well in that. And we were

talking about the whole person, patient-centered

care, again, value-based payment. And then we wanted

to make sure that we were working alongside the

all-payer model.

You know, there's a lot of things that are happening with the HSCRC, with Dr. Haft's primary care model. So this kind of gives you a flavor, this slide, and I'll just touch about it really quickly.

emerging from our discussions, there's this dualbased ACO that I'm talking about for the dually eligible. There's the primary care model. There's regional partnerships. There's some of the amendment programs that the hospitals and HSCRC are working through that will be incentivizing community-based providers as well as specialists. And then there's the existing Medicare ACOs that are already out there in the community. And there's common features throughout these models that we want to promote:

MACRA, population health, care coordination, person-centered health home, and data and analytics.

It's really important to all these models.

So where we've kind of landed is that we
would like to pilot the dual ACO in two regions,
Baltimore City, Baltimore County, and then Montgomery
County and Prince George's County would be the two
areas. And again, our stakeholders felt it was
important to not move to a managed capitated program.
They really wanted a dual ACO program. And, you
know, we were a little bit concerned about reaching
out to all parts of the state, and we thought that
these two areas made sense in terms of getting the
number of lives that would be that would make
sense in order to develop an ACO in those regions.
So if you're falling outside those regions,
we're hoping and working with Dr. Haft's primary care
program to make sure that there is some coordination
for the duals in those areas. So in the two pilots
that we're talking about, we're really talking about
linking the long-term care side of the shop with the
acute care side of the shop, which is really, really
important.
So again, some of our goals: We want to
move back to we want to basically make sure, which

doesn't exist today, is that the patient has a designated provider that's going to be coordinating all of their care across these two settings, that there's seamless coordination, that there's an incentive to actually coordinate care, and that they have the data and tools available for them to actually manage these two separate systems that exist today.

Again, you know, similar to what Dr. Haft is developing, we want to make sure that there's a primary care medical home. What might be a little bit different with our program, and what the stakeholders are asking for is it might not be a primary -- what's typically a primary care provider or even a specialist. They might want to reach out to behavioral health providers or even the nursing home to be that quarterback that's going to be managing and coordinating their care.

And this one just depicts that it's really, again, a patient-centered approach that we're coordinating all these different disparate services and programs and putting them all in one place for

the individual and the providers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Timeline in the discussion: We are trying to coordinate the timing for this program to be launched when the next phase of the waiver takes place in 2019. We have lots of work still ahead of us. You know, we envision we're going to be submitting a concept paper to CMMI at the end of December, but we really, really know that we need to spend the next year really planning this out and getting the details behind the program. So we'll be working with stakeholders again throughout all next calendar year on this. We'll be, probably in 2018, looking for waivers and just special permissions to operate the program from the federal government, and then with the goal to really launch this program in 2019.

We're all really, really excited about it.

I think there's tremendous opportunity to pilot,

again, two really disparate programs and actually put

all the investments that we've made in the long-term

care system and connect it to the acute care system

so that when a patient shows up in the hospital,

instead of just developing a care plan, that you actually get an alert that says, oh, this person already has a care manager and they're receiving all of these services, and you need to contact X, Y, and Z and make sure that you are coordinating those two systems. So we do, we think there's tremendous opportunity here, and we're really excited to work with you all on this program.

And, you know, I was asked, you know, how can the Health Enterprise Zones kind of connect with the work that we're doing? And I think real simply, I mean, we're not here to recreate anything that's already developed. We want to leverage the infrastructure that you guys are already building in the communities. We're interested in putting new dollars into the program, both on the Medicaid side as well as the Medicare side, but we don't want to pay twice. You know, if the systems already exist, we want to make the ACOs connect with those systems and make sure that they're leveraging all the good work that you guys have already been working on.

So with that --

1	(Applause.)
2	DR. MANN: So I'll ask if we have time for
3	questions, or are we trying to catch up on the
4	schedule a bit, or what's the plan?
5	DR. THOMAS: Yeah, we are trying to catch
6	up on the schedule a bit, but there may be a burning
7	question. Yeah, please, grab that microphone. Real
8	loud.
9	MS. WILLIAMS: Yeah, thank you. And this
LO	is for Tricia since I didn't get to ask you this the
L1	last time I saw you. So how do the MCOs play into
L2	the duals model, right? Many of these patients are
L3	in MCOs today. How does this work?
L 4	MS. RODDY: So yes, they're completely
L5	outside of our managed care organizations, so they're
L 6	not
L7	MS. WILLIAMS: But they're in managed
L8	care
L 9	MS. RODDY: Does not apply, right. Yeah,
20	it's
21	DR. THOMAS: Yes, question? Introduce
22	yourself, please.
	Free State Reporting, Inc.

MS. SHINE: My name is Tomiko. I'm a
research anthropologist, and I volunteer with the
RAPP Campaign. We advocate for the release of
elderly people in prison. These are folks that have
been in 30, 40, 50 years. And we're here in
Baltimore, Maryland.

But my question to you is, like, what happens a lot when our folks first come out after being in 30, 40, 50 years, it's just like a total disconnect, and a lot of them go straight to, of course, Medicaid, the social agencies. But because they're in such dire health when they come out, they need surgeries, some of them have cancer, and there seems to be a disconnect when they talk to the Medicaid person as far as where they go for the specialists, for surgery, and then you have Medicare. And so I'm wondering, in your working groups, do you have in there where you're beginning to talk about these folks that are coming out after all this time in prison?

MS. RODDY: So we do have an initiative that's going on right now that's addressing everyone

1	that's coming out of prisons. We're doing what's
2	called a presumptive eligibility determination to get
3	them directly into the Medicaid program before they
4	leave prisons and jails, and we're hoping to get
5	special permission from the federal government to
6	enact that by next July.
7	But you're touching upon exactly why it's
8	so important to focus on the dual eligibles, because
9	they are in our fee-for-service program. They don't
10	have a contact. And so what we're hoping with a dual
11	ACO is that we can provide you with a person that car
12	help you help them navigate the system. But
13	you're right; we don't have a contact today.
14	DR. THOMAS: Last question, and then we're
15	going to open this up after we get through our next
16	speaker. Quickly, yes?
17	DR. CZAPP: Hi, Pat Czapp. You've heard
18	from me before. Tricia, quick question: Will the
19	duals ACO take downside risk?
20	MS. RODDY: We are talking about downside
21	risk.
22	DR. THOMAS: Explain for those of us not in

that field what downside risk means. You can do it.

MS. RODDY: Yeah, it just means that if
they don't hit certain cost metrics, that there is
some risk, financial risk that these dual ACOs will

5 have to be accountable for.

We are, again, not talking about a managed capitated program where they're at risk for all the services that are provided; we're talking about probably the level of risk that's being discussed to comply with MACRA and alternative payment models. So we're talking about maybe 5 percent risk for services, but not the entire Medicaid expenditures or Medicare expenditures.

DR. THOMAS: Dr. Mann, can you close this out here?

DR. MANN: Yes. I think if I'm an HEZ shopping around for sustainability dollars, it sounds like HSCRC basically can make money available to hospitals but probably not to anybody else, so I've got to shop at the hospital for any funding from that perspective. It sounds like the Medicaid program, the place to shop is probably at the MCO level,

1	because they are the ones who have been kind of
2	capitated for the patient care, and so that's who the
3	HEZs would want to talk to, to see if the Medicaid
4	system could help sustain their operations.

I guess the final thought is, and I haven't quite gotten my clear answer to this yet, do hospitals feel like global budgets have given them some discretionary income or discretionary funding left that they could then invest in something like an HEZ?

MS. STALLING: So I think we heard Tori
Bayless answer that question a little earlier in
terms of this is difficult. There are a lot of
initiatives right now that are going on that are
producing savings under a global budget: accountable
care organizations, investments in primary care,
quality improvement programs, et cetera. And so the
challenge will be to demonstrate that ROI, and that's
why it's so important to collect the data and to
really be able to show how you are helping support
the hospital's goals.

But it's a challenge because there's so

much. It's a great thing. It's a good problem to have. There's a lot of innovation going on right now, a lot of collaboration, so it's hard to have

that one-to-one.

DR. THOMAS: Let's give this panel a hand.

(Applause.)

DR. THOMAS: Thank you, Dr. Mann. What a wonderful panel of experts that you have in front of you. And this is obviously not easy, but progress comes by embracing the challenge.

Well, now we're going to hear from David
Weinman, who is the Senior Program Manager for
Organizational Operations at the Camden Coalition of
Healthcare Providers, a coalition of Camden
healthcare providers, community partners, and
advocates committed to elevating the health of
patients facing the most complex medical and social
challenges. The Camden Coalition has over a decade
of experience innovating and testing healthcare
delivery models to improve patient outcomes and
reduce the cost of care using data-driven,
human-centered practices. Mr. Weinman will share

1	their sustainability strategies, successes, and
2	lessons learned. Please welcome Dr. Weinman to the
3	microphone.
4	(Applause.)
5	MR. WEINMAN: Good afternoon. Can you all
6	hear me okay?
7	One point of clarification, and I think
8	this will become clear as we go about I'm not
9	actually a doctor. Before I started working at the
10	Camden Coalition, I had zero experience in the
11	healthcare industry. But I think that ties in a
12	little bit to what methods we're using to achieve
13	sustainability with the Coalition.
14	I'm going to talk a little bit about and
15	you might see this
16	DR. THOMAS: You might want to just you
17	might pick that up and pull it closer to you.
18	MS. RODDY: Pick it up?
19	DR. THOMAS: There you go.
20	MR. WEINMAN: Thank you. The title of this
21	is our Super-Utilizer Interventions, and we call it
22	our operational journey because really what it does
	From State Poperting Inc

is it goes over our timeline of growth as an organization, how it grew from a fairly new and young nonprofit that was working the way that a lot of nonprofits work, where everybody would just run to a problem and run to a problem, and we didn't really have any organization across the organization.

And so we talk about how we put business systems in place to structure ourselves and get the results that we need so that we can take our findings and our results out to the public and to potential funders to talk about what we're going to do in the future.

A little bit more about our organization.

We are the Camden Coalition of Healthcare Providers.

We have our mission and vision up there. We've grown quite a bit over the past few years. We now have 85 full-time staff. When I started at the Coalition two years ago, a little over two years ago, I think I was employee number 36. So give you a sense that we tend to double every couple years. We're probably going to be close to 100 people by the end of 2016 or early 2017.

So with that fast growth, we've obviously gone through quite a bit of growing pains, and we do a lot of work to talk to people across the country so that they can learn from our mistakes and hopefully not repeat them.

And I'll talk a little bit about our background and what we were doing. You may have heard of our Founder and Executive Director,

Dr. Jeffrey Brenner. An article that came out in The New Yorker called "The Hot Spotters" kind of burst him onto the scene with quite a bit of fame and attention. With that attention, more and more people wanted to do stories on us and to talk about us. And so Dr. Brenner's a great speaker, and he does amazing work, and so we had story after story published on the Coalition and all the great work that Dr. Brenner had set up and that we were doing.

However, the only problem with that is it didn't necessarily reflect reality. We had gotten this great reputation as this shining organization and this leader in innovative healthcare and providing help for high hospital utilizers, but in

reality it looked a little bit more like the slide on the right. We were still figuring it out as we went along.

What we did is we went and got patients the way we knew how. We'd go talk to nurses on the hospital floor, say who are the people that you see here a lot, you know, and then we'd go to their homes and spend hours with them finding out what it was that was keeping them getting readmitted into the hospital. We didn't really have a process. We were just kind of figuring it out as we went. And then the outcome was we think we helped them improved their quality of life, we think we had decreased their utilization of the healthcare system, but we really didn't know whether what we were doing was working.

But along with that kind of fame and notoriety we achieved, a lot of people were interested in our work, and so we got quite a bit of different funding sources mixed from government funding and private funding as well. And with that new demand, we needed new structures because we had

now accountability to all these people that were funding our work.

And so I want to show you a little bit about what we're doing now. I took out, to shorten the presentation, a couple other of the humorous slides, but it showed a cat with a ball of yarn but still covered in yarn itself. We're figuring it out, and we're a lot better than we were two years ago, but we're still very much figuring this out as we go.

But some of the things that we've had the most success with are defining ourselves and the problems we solve, improving project and program management, defining and tracking our efforts, aligning and motivating our staff, and then planning for our sustainability.

I talked a minute ago about how our original interaction and our intervention with patients was just to go into their homes and spend time with them, get to know them, find out what it was that was causing their high utilization. And we realized that we couldn't just do it on a one-off patient because that wasn't repeatable, which means

it wasn't sustainable.

If we couldn't do it over and over again, you know, we were just recreating the wheel every time we met a new patient. And with such a complex population, that's obviously very challenging to do, because, you know, if you're figuring out someone's social determinants and everything each time, it's going to be hard to do it on a case-by-case basis and will never be able to scale.

of my colleagues built this framework, and it's what we go through with each patient. We go and observe how they're living their life. You know, the way we say it is if you went to someone's house and they offered to cook you dinner, you wouldn't go over to their house and start telling them they were doing everything wrong, like no, that pot should go over there, you should have the soup in there. You'd look at how they were doing it and you'd enjoy the meal, and then maybe talk about it afterwards.

But then we take on a coaching style with these patients. We talk a lot about motivational

interviewing, find out what's important to the patients, what are the pain points in their lives, what are the problems that they're trying to solve, and then work with them to make sure that we're addressing what they want to work on and not what we're dictating to them that they need to work on.

And then highlighting progress with data:
We go through an I-do/we-do/you-do approach. And so when we're helping people set follow-ups with their primary care, you know, show them how, like here's what you do if you're on hold and waiting to get an appointment. And, you know, then the next time you get on the phone with them, if they start getting frustrated with being on hold or not being able to get their appointment, just work with them through it and then have them do it on their own. So we're teaching them these skills of how to interact with the health system, something that's typically been very frustrating to them in the past.

And we standardize our workflows. This is where people like me that don't come from the health sector were able to help. We realized, you know,

people were just like, well, this is just what we do. We had all the knowledge in these people's heads, but they couldn't really describe what they were doing over and over again. And as you can see, we've got a really long process, but it puts out something that we can now repeat over and over again. We at least know what are all our inputs, what are all the people that we connect with along the way, and, you know, if this happens, do we need to loop back and try again so that we're not moving on if we haven't achieved the result that we're looking for.

Protocolizing our work, and again, this shows something that we -- a project that we did with a site called Aunt Bertha that we're really just getting off the ground that really standardized all of the community resources that we put forth for our patients that we work with so that it's not every time running around like I have this patient who needs a bus pass because they're having trouble getting to their primary care appointments, who do I go to? Oh, I think Jim on the eighth floor knows where that is, maybe go talk to him. We've got a

database now where you can go to and find all these resources and get in contact with them, or even better, put the patient in contact with them directly.

5 Solving the right problems: This is our 6 fun whack-a-mole slide because, again, we didn't 7 really -- it took us some time to figure out what it 8 is we were trying to do. We have a very exploratory 9 culture. We have people who like to think big and 10 dream big, and I call it chasing balloons because 11 it's like a kid running after a balloon, and he gets 12 it and he's like, oh, this is great, I'm going to do 13 such great things with this balloon, and then they see another balloon in a different color, let that 14

But we had to focus and realize that we are a small, a fairly small nonprofit, now growing to a mid-size nonprofit, and we only had so many resources that could work on so many things. So we had to prioritize what projects we were working on.

one go, and run and get the other one.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Project and program management. Again, this is -- we now have a different balance of M.B.A.s

in our organization than most nonprofits have. And as you can imagine, working with nurses and social workers and community health workers and health coaches, we weren't very welcome in the beginning because, you know, they want to be out in the field and working with patients and helping to make people better. And I'm trying to protocolize these systems with them and be like, well, if we do this now, it's going to make your life much easier down the line, I promise.

So what we did is we kind of paired up. We started having dyadic relationships on all our teams. The lady writing on the white board here is one of our nurses. She's a director on our care teams. And the guy to her left is one of my counterparts. He's a senior manager who also works in an operational role. And so we harnessed that power of, you know, someone who knows the care and really wants to get out there and help with someone who makes sure that the help is effective and that it's not just running and chasing balloons or, as the slide showed, playing whack-a-mole.

We also learned to fail fast, is what we say. We started using the lean startup approach, where we go and don't -- we always say don't let perfection get in the way of progress. And so we would -- you know, again, we have a lot of scientists that work for us, a lot of doctors that work for us, people that want to build something perfect in order to stand it up, and we have to teach people to just put enough together to make it work and then slowly build and build and live a continuous cycle of improvement.

Finding digital processes and efficiencies after analog iteration: This is, again, to the same point. We do have, we have several databases that we use now that help track and streamline our work, but we started all of this on white boards. You know, we didn't let the lack of technology keep us from innovating on our processes. You can't say I want to go out and do things this way. Well, first, we need a million dollars to go buy a database system and user licensing and training, and so three years from now we'll get to it. We started on post-it paper and

white boards, and then once we found a system that worked for us, we then went out and looked for the systems, the digital systems that would better help us achieve our work within those processes.

And so, again, this is just another one of -- I love workflows, so I'm going to put as many of them in as I can.

Assigning all projects to owners: We use what we call a RACI, a responsible, accountable, consulted, and informed approach. And so I go around and I work with all the different departments on their project lists, and each of these bulleted items here is a project that they're working on. And what this helps us do is understand our capacity as an organization so that we're not trying to do too much.

So if I go sit down with Renee and Andrew and say talk to me about your projects, they're just like we have too many of them, and they'll show me 500 projects, all of them that are moved this far. And I say, well, if you just focused on these one or two projects, because that's what you have the bandwidth to handle, you can push those to being

closed, and then you've improved that part of our care operation, and that will be running successfully and you don't have to think about it anymore; then you can move on to the next process.

So we're really trying to bring business best practices into our clinical environment to help focus our efforts, knowing that money is finite.

We're a nonprofit organization. We can't just keep growing and expanding and adding more resources. We need to find efficiencies in the things that we're doing.

Defining and tracking our efforts: I know we've talked about being able to show the results of the work that you're doing because that's a big part of finding continued funding. And holding onto your sustainability as an organization is showing that what you're doing is working. And again, before we just knew -- we could see subjectively that we had helped someone, and we could see that their health had improved, but we didn't have any -- we couldn't show causality, we couldn't show, you know, that it wasn't just regression to the mean and they were

going to get better and have lower utilization numbers with or without the Camden Coalition.

So what we did is we actually -- we have a huge data team. So on top of the business perspective, we also have a very large data and statistics team that tracks all of our patients, tracks where we get them from, what their utilization was before we started working with them in our interventions, and then what it is during the intervention, if it goes down, and then we also do follow-ups with them, as well, to see how their utilization is going afterwards. And it's helping us build an evidence base that our intervention is working, and it also helps us highlight things that may or may not be working.

Tools to drive our work: Again, four or five years ago, the idea of scorecards and dashboards would have been absolutely alien, and you would have been chased out with torches and pitchforks. But now, when people can see, you know, they can actually see the progress of what they're doing and the impact of the work that they're having and they can see

1	their numbers moving like we track how many of our
2	patients get reconnected to their primary care doctor
3	within seven days after being discharged from the
4	hospital. And when you can actually see those
5	numbers moving, it really builds morale in the
6	workforce, and people say, oh, I'm actually making an
7	effect, and you know, if I do these processes more
8	efficiently, I can reach more people with this very
9	effective intervention.
10	But again, to my point earlier, this is

But again, to my point earlier, this is where we started. We probably are the largest consumer of post-it notes in the state of New Jersey.

(Laughter.)

MR. WEINMAN: Most of our meetings, I start to get a lot of anxiety when I see someone walk in carrying a stack of post-it notes that high, because by the end of that meeting, I know they're going to be stuck up all over the wall, and I'm wondering how anybody is going to document what the heck we just talked about. But the point of the story is don't be afraid to go analog. Don't let the lack of systems keep you from measuring your data and looking at the

information that you need to look at to make your
processes and your workflows more effective. And
again, obviously what that does is helps us evaluate
our progress.

With these tools, we've built dashboards across the teams that help us see what our readmission rates look like, what our ED utilization looks like, and how they are affected based on the timing of our intervention.

And this is key one, I think. We expanded our evaluation beyond our clinical programs. I've mentioned that we brought on data teams. We have a lot of operational people and business people in the organization. Our first focus was obviously to apply our data practices to the care teams themselves because that was most important, if we were effectively deploying and utilizing our care teams and making an impact on the lives of the patients that we were working with. But we also wanted to make sure that we were effectively using these support systems that we had put in place as well.

And so we're still in the process of

building key performance indicators across all teams of our organization, again to make sure that -- money doesn't grow on trees in the nonprofit world -- make sure that we're using our resources effectively so that we can continue to provide the services that we're providing in the community.

Invested in operational analysis: That's one of the things that I do, is we sit back and we look at the numbers so that we don't just have nurses and social workers out in the field; we have people who are there to crunch some of the numbers and see are we making an impact, is this working, and what levers might we need to pull or knobs might we need to turn differently in order to create a better impact for our patients.

Aligning and motivating staff: I think I heard when I came in this morning someone talking a little bit about this. But we really focused on building a team for population health, and we started -- well, we just hired our second M.D., but in an 85-person organization, for most of its life we only had one medical doctor on staff, and that was

1 Dr. Brenner. Most of our team is around nurses and

- 2 | care workers, but we also want -- we have a
- 3 psychologist that works with us four days a week. We
- 4 have several behavioralists, and we have obviously
- 5 our community health workers, and our health coaches
- 6 as well. It takes a team to work with these
- 7 patients, and that way we're not solely relying on
- 8 one point of contact within our organization to help
- 9 these patients, and that way it's not -- we have
- 10 redundancy in what we do.
- 11 And I think I heard someone mention this
- 12 earlier also. A big thing that we push is hiring for
- 13 attitude, not licensure. Our interview process is
- 14 pretty robust. You can see someone's résumé, they
- 15 can have a string of letters behind their name, and
- 16 they could have done all sorts of things, but this is
- 17 such a complex and hard population to work with, we
- 18 need to make sure that they're empathetic and that
- 19 they're able to relate to the people that we work
- 20 with.
- 21 And so we -- I mean, just for a community
- 22 | health worker job, we'll bring someone in and make

them go through a day and a half of interviews because we want to build up their stress and see how they react under stress, because what we can't have is them sitting in someone's home and something stressful or dramatic happen and have them act out or not be able to handle it and then make things worse for that patient or their stressful situation than it already is.

And so we do take them even on home visits to see how they react, how they interact with a patient that they've never met before. Can they be trauma informed? Can they show compassion and respect for those patients? Because we don't want to figure that out once they're working with our patient population. We want to know that before we bring them in the door.

And also expanding the capacity of our staff. We've recently invested quite a bit in organizational development and growing the skill sets of our staff. Our COO likes to say we've now gotten to the point where our M.B.A.s are walking around talking about trauma-informed care and our social

workers are complaining about how they didn't hit their KPIs last month.

(Laughter.)

MR. WEINMAN: And so we're trying to share these knowledge bases across everyone so that our entire organization is focused on not only performance but in taking the best care of our patients that we possibly can.

This is just a chart to show how we measure the different parts of the attitude, aptitude, and availability for growth within our organization. And we also want people to live our core values. Again, you can have degrees from everywhere in the world. If you're not a good person who cares about the work that we're doing and is willing to put themselves aside and be patient-centered in your approach to what you're doing, the Camden Coalition is probably not the right place for you. And we want to make sure that we're always there for the patient first because that is the main goal in what we're trying to accomplish as an organization.

And I mentioned redundancy a moment ago.

We want to make sure that we have backup for anything so that any part of our information -- or excuse me, intervention doesn't come to a stop if someone wins the lottery or decides to walk out the door or if someone is out sick for a week. We teach self-care quite a bit within our organization because this is very stressful work for our employees, and it can become -- it's very emotionally attached work, and so it can be stressful for the employees as much as the patients. And so we want to make sure that we give people the time that they need to take care of themselves but that the Camden Coalition doesn't stop working while they're gone.

Improving business planning: Again, planning for the future, and I think it's the next slide.

Driving funding through strategy and not strategy through funding: This is something we were guilty of several years ago. We would take anyone who offered us money but then realized that what they wanted for that was something completely different than what we were doing, and so we'd have to shift

our focus away. Then we'd end up having to hire

people to do this tangentially related project that

the funder wanted to have done. And we realized that

we were again taking away from our core mission as an

organization.

And I am at time. I just wanted to say building an evidence base is also important as well. I loved listening to the patient stories earlier. As we were going out and looking for funding -- this is our randomized control trial, so we're in the middle of that now. It's been going on for about two years now, so we hope to have some evidence behind our intervention.

But in the meantime, we'd go out and tell those individual stories to potential funders, talk to people about -- you know, that's why our slides are all pictures and there are no words and graphs and charts. We like to talk about the individuals. We'll show their utilization before, their utilization after, talk about the improved quality of life, and talk about the people that we're able to engage with, because while we're building our

evidence base, just being able to tell subjective and really touching personal stories is a great way to build interest in the work that you're doing and to show the importance of the full patient-centered approach to care.

Quickly, we're also -- we know that our model within Camden, every health system is different, every city is different, every state is different. So we don't try to go around and tell other people to do exactly what we're doing. We just teach them the basics. You know, talk to this hospital, this hospital, the acceptance framework, using a trauma-informed approach, making sure to interact with your community resources. I don't know that there's any way that you can succeed in this work without involving the community resources. And make sure, again, that you're human-centered in your approach to the work.

And that is part of activating the Coalition. We're just making sure that we get all of the local, state, and national resources that are available to us aligned towards making our patients

lives better.

And just to review, we're defining ourselves, defining and tracking our efforts, and really just standardizing processes across the organization so that it's not only repeatable but improvable, so that we can continue our cycles of continuous improvement.

And we're certainly not done learning. I don't want to stand up here and paint a picture that our Cookie Monster cupcake is perfect and ready to eat. We've suffered from growing pains like any other organization, and it continues to be a struggle every day. We're going through a revisit of our strategy and our org chart and everything now, again, to make sure that we're aligned to the patients in Camden and to be able to spread the learning that we've achieved through our work to people around the country because we can't grow the Camden Coalition outside of Camden. We do want to share, let others learn from our mistakes so that they don't repeat the same.

And I am at time, so I will stop on these

1 last few. There's post-its again. (Laughter.) 2 3 (Applause.) DR. THOMAS: That's great. What we'll do, 4 5 we'll bring up our -- you're going to be with us? 6 MR. WEINMAN: Yes. 7 DR. THOMAS: We're going to have our next speaker, and then we'll open up for both of you. 8 9 what I was so impressed with was to hear your 10 organization's mission and vision and recognize that it's not a -- you're not a faith-based organization. 11 12 And so that in a secular organization, you have those 13 kind of core values is just very, very impressive. 14 Next, we're going to hear from Dr. Glen 15 Mays from the University of Kentucky, College of 16 Public Health. Dr. Mays will also be joined by 17 Douglas Scutchfield. And if they'll make their way 18 to the stage here? 19 Dr. Mays's research centers on the 20 delivering of financing systems for healthcare and 21 public health services, with a special focus on 22 estimating health and economic effects in these

efforts. He directs the Systems for Action Research
Program funded by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation,
which tests strategies for aligning delivery and
financing systems for medical care. The topic here
is going to be delivery and financing of systems for
healthcare and public service delivery. Let's give a
hand to our next speakers.

(Applause.)

DR. MAYS: Greetings. Well, it's wonderful to be with you here this afternoon. And you just get me today. My good friend and colleague Doug Scutchfield is back in the home front and taking care of our work in Kentucky. I have the privilege of actually occupying the professorship that's named after him, though, so I get to take his name with me everywhere I go.

(Laughter.)

DR. MAYS: But this is really great to be with you, and I think, you know, among many, many other feelings I've had in listening to this session today and thinking about where we are in Kentucky with regard to delivery and finances and

transformation, we're very, very envious. And I
think all of us all around the country are well
positioned to learn from the great work that you're
doing here.

But I do lead a research program funded by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation that focuses on studying ways of aligning delivery and financing of healthcare and public health and social services.

And I wanted to share with you a little bit of what we're learning about the value of those activities, about how we can document the impact of those kind of activities, and also about what we're learning about ways of building sustainability models for those kind of multi-sector population health improvement strategies.

I don't think I need to motivate the reasons for undertaking these kinds of multi-sectoral population health work. In this audience, you all know it well and have articulated it well throughout the conference today, but we know, again, drivers of health and well-being exist far outside of the medical care sector. And so we're looking for ways

of bringing together the sectors that can address
multiple fundamental determinants of health and
helping to reconnect medical care delivery with
public health services and supports, and also with
the broader constellation of social services and
supports that can drive health and well being.

That's what I know a lot of your efforts here in Maryland are about these days. And there's a growing constellation of these types of multi-sector, multi-stakeholder initiatives that are being implemented around the country now designed to improve population-wide health data.

We call these population health improvement strategies. They're the kinds of things that we study. They're designed to achieve large-scale health impact at the level of a neighborhood, a city, a county, a region. They're designed to target multiple fundamental determinants of health and well-being, and they're designed to do that not through a single organization or a sector but trying to align and support collective actions across government and the private sector, building the

infrastructure that we need to support collective actions, building the information systems, and building in the incentive structures to make that happen.

We also know through about 50 years of good social science research that supporting collective actions in health or in other sectors is really hard. There are lots of problems, challenges, barriers to collective actions. And this is some work from Elinor Ostrom, who won a Nobel Prize in economics for her work studying these kinds of issues even though she's not an economist.

So, again, we've got a lot of evidence to build upon in terms of what are those challenges we have to overcome to support collective action work, trying to align incentives, trying to balance cost and benefit flows, dealing with time lags, uncertainties, information imbalances, all of those kinds of challenges.

The work that we're doing: And we come at this work from more of a traditional public health perspective and thinking about what are the public

health supports and infrastructure that we can build in to potentially help to solve those collective action problems that can help hold together different organizations and sectors and help them stay focused on common health objectives.

And we've begun to focus, as many others are, around what's being called foundational capabilities in population health. What are the capabilities you need to be able to engage stakeholders in the community? What are the capabilities you need to be able to assess health needs and risks for the population at large? And then to identify evidence-based strategies that are connected to those health needs that are identified in the community.

And then what's the infrastructure you need to develop shared priorities and plans across the constellation of health needs you identify? How can you identify shared priorities from that? How can you support multi-sector roles and responsibilities in implementing evidence-based strategies? And then importantly, how can you build systems to monitor

progress, evaluate the impact of the work that you're doing in population health, feed the results back to those stakeholders to support the cycle.

These are the kinds of things that we are now measuring through our research work, measuring the extent to which these kind of infrastructures and supports exist in communities and the impact they have in terms of supporting multi-sector population health activities.

So we also have developed some metrics now around measuring those kind of capabilities at the population level across communities across the U.S. And we heard earlier today about the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation and their culture health strategy. Our research center is a part of that strategy.

And actually, one of the metrics, one of the national metrics that RWJF has developed to track progress over time in the culture of health action framework is this measure of the extent to which these population health foundational capabilities exist across communities. We also call this a measure of comprehensive population health delivery

systems.

These are systems -- these are communities that implement a broad array of those activities I just mentioned, stakeholder engagement, assessment, priority setting, planning, collaborative implementation, and evaluation. Communities that implement a broad set of those activities through dense, multi-sectoral networks of relationships among organizations meet our definition of a comprehensive population health or public health delivery system. That's one of the national metrics now a part of the culture of health strategy. As of 2014, not quite half the U.S. population lived in a community that had those attributes of a comprehensive system.

So how are we learning about these types of multi-sector population health work? Well, one of the tools we use in my research center is a national survey, this national longitudinal survey of public health systems. This is a survey we've been fielding across the U.S. now for 18 years. We started it back in 1998.

We started following a national cohort of

communities to measure the extent to which these population health activities were available and being implemented in those communities, and also to measure the range of organizations, both public and private, both medicine and population health and social services, the range of organizations that help to support those activities, assessment, planning, priority setting, implementation, evaluation.

So we've now been following a cohort of about 360 communities now, over time, measuring changes in those population health activities, changes in the organizations that contribute to them, and then now attaching those measures of activity to measures of health and economic outcomes so we can start to learn the potential health and economic effects from communities to build strong networks to support those kind of activities.

And again, these are the kind of -- these are the activities that we measure, the measures of population health, infrastructure, and capabilities that we've now been measuring over time across a broad array of communities, now for 16 years. So we

can start to look at both short-term and longer-term health effects.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So a few things that we're learning from this work. One not surprising finding is that communities vary widely in the extent to which they have these population health capabilities and services and supports across the country. On average, the average U.S. community implements about two-thirds of these activities. But as this slide shows you, we've got communities that have 100 percent of these population health activities and supports in place; we've got other communities that have less, you know, less than 10 percent of them. And so there's a wide variation in population health activities, just like we see wide variation in medical care practices in social services safety nets across communities.

We can map out what the delivery systems for these population health activities look like in terms of which organizations contribute to them and which organizations play larger and smaller roles, and which organizations are working together in

supporting these kind of activities using diagrams

like this network analytic diagram to kind of provide

a map of what the delivery system for population

health activities looks like in individual

communities across the country and how this changes

6 over time.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

We've used these data to classify communities in terms of the strength of their delivery system for population health activities and find out that communities basically fall into one of these seven kinds of categories in terms of the strength of their population health delivery system. The first three of these categories we call comprehensive delivery systems because they deliver a broad scope of those population health activities, and they do so through dense, multi-organizational, multi-sectoral networks. They are strong relationships that exist between organizations that play a role in supporting those activities. We call those systems comprehensive systems. And again, about 47 percent of the U.S. population now resides in a community that has that strong delivery system

for population health.

This is another way of looking at that definition as we see we're graphing the portion of these activities that are implemented in the community and the density of relationships that exist among organizations. And it's just the communities in that upper right-hand quadrant that support a broad scope of activity through dense, multi-organizational networks that meet our definition of a comprehensive delivery system for population health activities.

And we see improvements over time in the proportion of communities that meet that definition of a comprehensive delivery system. In 1988, about 24 percent of communities fell into that category, and now we're up to about 33 percent or so of communities falling into that category and, again, about not quite half the U.S. population living in one of those communities.

So this begins to allow us, from a research perspective, to look at, well, what are the health consequences and what are the economic consequences

associated with reaching that, building a comprehensive multi-organizational delivery system for population health activities? And I can share some of those results with you shortly.

But I first want to focus on the equity dimension of this aspect as well. Just like there are inequities in medical care delivery, we see large inequities in the strength of delivery systems for population health activities as well.

The bottom 20 percent of U.S. communities that we track over time can implement only about 40 percent of these population health activities. And those communities have actually lost ground in recent years in their capacity to support population health, whereas the top 20 percent of communities, you can see here, are implementing nearly 90 percent of the population health activities, and they've seen growth over time in the strength of their delivery systems. So we are, at the national picture, we are seeing actually greater inequity, kind of a wider gap between the stronger and the weaker delivery systems for population health. And this is something that

is, you know, certainly worthy of concern, and just as inequities and the strength of medical care delivery systems and access to medical care are of concern.

In the interest of time, I'm going to skip through a few of the details here so I can get to the punch line.

So now we've been studying communities for 16 years and looking at how the strength of delivery systems for population health activities evolve over time and looking at changes in the communities that meet our definition of a comprehensive, strong, multi-organizational delivery system. And what we found from very careful studies linking our measures of activity to measures of health outcomes, that clearly communities that move into building strong, comprehensive population health systems see sizeable gains in population health and well-being over time.

Here, I'm showing you measures of, several measures of potentially preventable mortality over time. Communities over the 16-year period that reach our threshold of comprehensive delivery systems see

about almost a 20 percent reduction in all-cause mortality compared to communities that don't reach that comprehensive status.

And we see even larger effects on some of the preventable cause-specific mortality measures:

Heart disease mortality, about a 14 percent reduction there; diabetes, about a 12 percent reduction; about a 13 percent reduction in cancer mortality; and large reduction in influenza mortality as well. So we're beginning to build, again, stronger evidence that there are real health consequences, real health benefits that we can attribute now to the ability to implement population health activities, and doing so through multi-organizational networks, assessment, planning, priority setting, implementation collaboratively.

We've also taken a look at some economic outcomes as well, attached economic outcomes to these measures of population health capacity across communities. And here, by attaching measures of medical care spending at the community level for the same 360 communities that we've been tracking over

time, we show that, again, communities that reach the status of having a comprehensive population health delivery system see substantial reductions in their medical care costs growth for Medicare compared to communities that are less comprehensive in their ability to support population health activities.

You can see here almost a six percentagepoint difference in medical care spending for
communities that meet that definition of
comprehensive population health systems.

Most recently, we've also taken a finergrain look at the issues of equity in population health to learn — to examine the extent to which these population health activities are related to equity. And we've linked our data up with very recent data from Raj Chetty and his colleagues at Stanford to give us community-specific, incomerelated — income-specific measures of life expectancy, life expectancy by income quartiles.

So we can look at -- in our case, we estimated the effect of communities that moved to comprehensive population health status, how that

1	affects life expectancy for the bottom quartile of
2	the income distribution compared to the top income
3	distribution. Not surprisingly, we see a large
4	effect, about a four-year increase in life expectancy
5	for the bottom 25 percent of the income distribution,
6	not much of an effect on the top quartile of the
7	income distribution. So the overall effect on the
8	disparity, the income-related disparity in life
9	expectancy, we see about a three-year reduction in
10	the disparity in life expectancy between low-income
11	and high-income populations, again, attributable to
12	communities that build that broad, strong delivery
13	systems for population health. So again, there
14	appear to be some real benefits in terms of reducing
15	equity from building these kinds of models.
16	I think I'm going to stop there because I
17	know we're running short on time, but I look forward
18	to questions.
19	DR. THOMAS: Thank you very much.
20	(Applause.)
21	DR. THOMAS: Yes, and please join us.
22	Everyone wants to know where Maryland is in all your

1	big data, okay?
2	DR. MAYS: They're in there. You guys are
3	in there.
4	DR. THOMAS: So if we can tease that out,
5	that would be great.
6	Finally, we're going to hear from Stephen
7	Pratt, President of Impact Catalysts, a benefit
8	corporation.
9	Stephen, if you'll start heading this way.
10	Providing management consulting services to
11	social enterprise. And Stephen will actually be
12	helping us in preparing the actual report coming out
13	of this meeting. So put your hands together for
14	Stephen Pratt.
15	(Applause.)
16	MR. PRATT: The clicker is here, is that
17	right?
18	DR. THOMAS: Yes.
19	MR. PRATT: So I'm standing between you
20	guys and interstate whatever, Route 50?
21	DR. THOMAS: These are the truly committed.
22	MR. PRATT: I like it. I like it. My
	Free State Reporting, Inc.

1378 Cape St. Claire Road
Annapolis, MD 21409
(410) 974-0947

1	first title when I got into consulting was Director
2	of Financial Sustainability, which I always thought
3	was a great title because it's one of those things
5	was a great title because it's one or those things
4	that everybody is in favor of even though nobody has
5	any idea what it is.
6	(Laughter.)
7	MR. PRATT: So that's a nice kind of upbeat
8	kind of way to start this presentation. So I think,
9	you know, one of the things that
10	Actually, it was you, sir, I think that
11	I'm sorry, your name, again, was?
12	DR. MANN: David Mann.
13	MR. PRATT: David Mann, right. Your
14	conversation actually quite perfectly set the stage
15	for the message that I want to bring in. When David
16	was talking about taking either a utilitarian or
17	altruistic play with revenue, I think that's the cor
18	of the question around sustainability, although I
19	also think that part of the challenge here is to
20	figure out a way if we can split the difference or
21	blend the two into some sort of hybrid model.
22	So what the heck am I talking about? The

standard approach to revenue planning, which is one that I've been on the receiving end of and also, you know, to some degree, been guilty of earlier in my nonprofit career, is entirely on the need side. So we start with this notion of who needs us, how many people need us, what's that universe of need, and what's our aspiration for growth into that, and then we build our revenue aspirations around it.

The problem with that is it assumes that you're only working toward success on the programmatic side. Really, if the only thing that we needed to do here was to deliver a great programmatic model, our lives would easier. I'm not saying they'd be easy, because clearly, just sitting here hearing all the work that's been done over the course of this day, there's nothing easy about developing an ACO for dual eligibles in the current environment. But if all you had to do was create a great program model and not think about the financial model, you'd probably sleep a lot better.

The issue is this dichotomy between who pays for the work we do and who is the beneficiary,

who receives the benefit of the work that we do. And we call this the difference between customers and clients.

So the clients are the beneficiaries of the work, the customers are who pay. And then in the for-profit world, it's usually one and the same. So you're running a dry-cleaning business, so the person who pays is also the person who's picking up the shirt at the end of the day, right? So it's pretty straightforward. If any of you have read Peter Drucker, who is kind of a guru of strategy, he's talked about this notion of customer-centric strategy. Well, that's what it is. You figure out how to deliver great value to that customer in that dry-cleaning business.

You know, when I describe what I do, doing management consulting to nonprofits, sometimes people screw up their face and say really idiotic things like is there such a thing as management in nonprofits, and things like that. But mostly, they assume that it's actually easier because there's less zeros involved on the balance sheet.

And I explain, no, it's actually a lot
harder because in the nonprofit world we have what is
called a decoupled strategic framework. You have to
deliver value to both your customer and your client,
and they're not one and the same. And the trick in
nonprofit strategy is to get the things that you're
doing as closely aligned to both of those values as
possible. In other words, the more space there is
between what you're promising to your customer and
what you're promising to your client, the more
headaches you're going to have. The closer you can
align those interests between the two, the better off
you are, which is why I'm suggesting that finding a
blend between the altruistic and the utilitarian in
the strategy that you're developing is really
critical.
Co our quatainability bypothogia is this.

So our sustainability hypothesis is this:

So you remember that prevailing approach, you start with what you need, you figure out how to grow into the need. What we think is that you need to take a market-based approach to this, which is -- the starting point is understanding how much revenue is

1 actually out there in the market, what's the size of 2 the market.

So if, God forbid, I was going to try to start an Olive Garden franchise tomorrow, and I mean for a lot of reasons, not -- I wonder if Olive Garden is a social determinant of health. It may very well be. Certainly the breadsticks are.

(Laughter.)

MR. PRATT: But if I were trying to start an Olive Garden down the road tomorrow and I wanted to go into the bank for money, the first thing the bank would ask me is, so how many people are in this income bracket that's the typical Olive Garden customer within, say, a 50-mile radius of where you want to put this in? How many of those people go out for casual dining on a Saturday or Friday, that sort of thing? In other words, they want to know what the market potential is, and then they'd want to know how many competitors are out there that also want to provide a kind of mediocre meal on a Friday evening for a midlevel price, right?

(Laughter.)

MR. PRATT: So that would be the basis for the strategy. So in our world, it's actually kind of the same idea; just it's a different set of things. So, you know, part of it is understanding. So if you're trying to address social determinants of health, certainly, first of all, I want to understand who are the customers? Who out there is actually buying that intervention? Who has an interest in that?

I think there's been a lot of talk in the room today about some suggested hypotheses of who has skin in this game, right? State government, CMS, hospitals, communities, cities. So really, what we're going to be testing out here is how true that is, first of all, who has skin in that game. I think we're also going to have to test out who else is making a play that they're serving that need for whatever that skin is in the game.

So, you know, one of the things that I've been struck by, and we talked about it a bit over lunch, a few of us at the table, was, you know, as we talk about things like the decline in utilization.

So, you know, I pulled up a White House report on my laptop while I was sitting here listening to this, and we've seen declines in utilization across the country, not just in places that have Health Enterprise Zones.

Now, that's a really reductionist way to think about this stuff, but on the other hand, the fact of the matter is, as several people have said when using this term "ROI," we need to figure out a way to make the case that Health Enterprise Zones are uniquely providing value and addressing that issue, right, the changes in utilization rates. And it's true across the board. What are you uniquely contributing to this that convinces that customer that buying you is better than buying something else that may also address the problem?

The other related issue, which I think is more unique to our world than it is to the for-profit world, are two principles: one, the tragedy of the commons, and the other the free-rider principle. And they're kind of related to one another. How many of you have heard the phrase the "tragedy of the

commons"?

Yeah, a few. So basically, what this means is that you have something that collectively is good for all of us but that individually it may not -- there may not be any incentive for you to do anything about it.

And so I'll give you a concrete example from our world. I'm doing a project nationally with an effort that's associated with the Alliance for a Healthier Generation working on obesity prevention. And so I've been talking to a bunch of public and private payers around the country about basically whether they would invest in efforts on obesity prevention.

And these are like payers in places like

Louisiana, with some of the highest obesity rates in

the country. And basically, what the payers have

said is, collectively, yes, this inures to all of our

benefits. If we invest in this stuff that these guys

are doing, within 5 years or 10 years, there's going

to be dramatic reductions in obesity rates, and we're

going to save money, great.

The problem with that is there's so much 1 2 subscriber churn that investing in an individual 3 patient getting that kind of obesity treatment 4 doesn't really inure to the benefit of that 5 individual payer. So that's the tragedy of the 6 Everybody in the commons has an interest in commons. 7 changing that arc, but the individual kind of self-interest of that individual payer is not clearly 8 9 there.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And so I think part of what you want to do is figure out a way to construct an argument for payers that overcomes that temptation to just act nakedly in the self-interests of the individual organization. And this can be true, by the way, for government, not just for private players as well. So that's just a little bit about the ideas that we're going to be working on, on this.

I'm actually going to jump past this one because we're running low on time here and just quickly describe what the project is that we're going to be doing.

So over the next three months, first off,

what we're going to be doing is a review of the overall Health Enterprise Zone model. We're going to look at the financials, we're going to look at some of the results.

And let me just say, having this conference today has been a fantastic opportunity for me. I was saying to the fellow from RWJ, you know, typically when we start off a project, this could be three or four weeks of my time to get the information that I got in this room today, so just what a wonderful piece of timing and opportunity for us.

So it means that when I start talking to individual sites, and that's what's going to happen in December, I don't have to waste your time asking some basic questions about what you do, because I got to hear from all of you today and talked to some of you in the hallway, and we can dive into some of the more particular things about, so who are the entities in your zone who have skin in the game with you? Who are the folks that you believe you're benefiting? How would you make the case for it? What makes you unique?

1	And then from that, what we're going to be
2	doing is building out the framework in this report
3	that will be due in January for what a sustainability
4	plan might look like. The idea is that there is
5	going to be some follow-on work that comes from this
6	first phase early in 2017 to develop out what that
7	sustainability plan would look like, what the
8	structure of it would be, that sort of thing.
9	So that's it. That's my spiel. I did it
10	nice and quickly so that we could still be here on
11	time. Should I take questions or something like
12	that?
13	(Applause.)
14	DR. THOMAS: Yeah.
15	MR. PRATT: Yeah. Thanks.
16	DR. THOMAS: So again, and line up to the
17	microphone. It is not often at the beginning I'll
18	put it like this: Things have fallen in place and
19	have just been quite amazing. And this report that's
20	going to be done, and analysis specifically around
21	sustainability, is just really a blessing.
22	So if you'll introduce yourself because
	Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409

1378 Cape St. Claire Road
Annapolis, MD 21409
(410) 974-0947

we're recording everything. Yes?

MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you. Hi, my name is Antoinette Williams. This question is for the last speaker. So you talked about the work that you're going to do in December specific to a sustainability plan and the individual HEZ interviews that you're going to do, and I know this is probably all still being fleshed out.

Maryland is an interesting state, right,
like every state, in that stakeholders, investors
tend to want to focus on communities or the things
that are important to them. And so, in coming up
with an aggregate plan or an all-HEZ sustainability
plan, you might get a different set of results than
you would if you were looking at, let's say, our HEZ
in West Baltimore, right?

MR. PRATT: Yep.

MS. WILLIAMS: And then as Maha mentioned this morning, there may be some funding that's there, you know, already just because of some of the work that she's done. Are you going to be doing individual HEZ sustainability planning, or are you

1 really planning to look at the aggregate? MR. PRATT: The answer is all of the above. 2 3 I'm certainly hoping that there's enough commonality 4 that we discover across them that I can make some 5 recommendations that transcend the entire system. 6 Honestly, a bias of mine would be that the more we 7 can find in common, the more scalable this model will be, more sustainable it will be. But I'm not coming 8 9 in with a presupposition or something like that about what I'm going to discover. I think that's part of 10 11 what I'm trying to figure out. 12 MS. WILLIAMS: And also keep in mind (off 13 microphone) --14 MR. PRATT: Right. I mean, I certainly 15 heard loud and clear today how diverse and distinct 16 these models are. And I had some sense of that just 17 knowing that you're talking about rural, suburban, 18 and urban settings. So in and of itself -- yeah, 19 exactly. 20 DR. THOMAS: And that was by design, and so 21 what you have is a tailoring. But again, if my 22 friend looked at the workflow and systems, you would

1	find some core elements that truly could go across
2	and truly could scale. So being true to the local
3	and the tailoring and yet having a systems approach
4	that could be scaled is excellent. Yes?
5	MS. LICHTENSTEIN: Hi. First of all, thank
6	you to all three of you for very wonderful
7	information for an older adult this late in the day.
8	But very impactful, and we're sitting to hear it all
9	My question is for David.
10	Oh, I'm sorry. I'm Karen-Ann Lichtenstein,
11	and I'm the CEO of an independent nonprofit care
12	coordination entity, not dissimilar to the work that
13	you all do. And we love the Camden Coalition, and
14	thank you for sharing your information and your mode.
15	and what you do beyond the borders of New Jersey.
16	Maybe I missed it, but could you touch a
17	little bit about how you're funded? With such a
18	wonderful and rich and robust data collection and
19	business side to your organization as a nonprofit,
20	how your work is funded?
21	MR. WEINMAN: Absolutely. And we've just
22	recently gotten a great round of funding from the

And

1	Robert Wood Johnson Foundation as well as Atlantic
2	Philanthropy and the AARP to start a national center
3	for population health, which we're in the middle of
4	standing up. Thank you. Our conference is in
5	December. It's coming up, if you'd like to go to the
6	website and sign up.
7	MR. PRATT: Tickets are still available.
8	(Laughter.)
9	MR. WEINMAN: And we also do a lot of
10	technical assistance contracts as well. I talked a
11	little bit about how we can't share you know,
12	every system is going to operate differently than it
13	operates in Camden, but we also we have a team
14	that's called our Cross-Site Learning Department that
15	builds a lot of curriculum to go out and work with
16	communities.
17	We've worked with systems in Puerto Rico
18	and California, in the Pacific Northwest, in the
19	Midwest, all across the country, just to really go

Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

and work with them and learn about their models.

it's anything from people that are still in the

planning stages to people that have been trying to

20

21

22

1	get off the ground for a little while, and we tailor
2	a curriculum for them to help them teach some of the
3	things that have been successful to us as well.
4	And then we do have some contracts with
5	we have some shared savings contracts as well, where
6	we're trying to help improve outcomes for the payers,
7	also, that are still working along. But we have
8	(Off microphone comment.)
9	MR. WEINMAN: Like Horizon. Horizon. Yep.
10	And we have several smaller funders, some
11	specifically who want to help with the data aspect.
12	We had a grant with Nicholson that helped a lot with
13	our data funding, and so people that are interested
14	in helping us build up our dataset.
15	DR. THOMAS: And again, the powerful role
16	that philanthropy plays in getting things started.
17	Yes?
18	DR. MANN: David Mann, Office of Minority
19	Health and Health Disparities at DHMH. Going back to
20	my ruthlessly fiscal perspective, I'm curious in
21	getting the answer to the question of where is the
22	money and how do I tap it, because I think that's the

question the HEZs came here asking. At least that's what I would ask if I was an HEZ.

So question for Mr. Weinman is, so what proportion of what Camden does do you think you can sell to funders under an ROI model? What proportion do you think you have to market to them under a perpetual subsidy, its altruistic model, and what sources do you tap to do that?

And then for Dr. Mays, what things could you say about what your research has told you about the kind of ways to try to put together that where's the money and how do you tap it, question and answer?

MR. WEINMAN: Great question. I'll do my best to answer it. And what we try to sell to them is the overall impact. I mentioned that we're doing the randomized controlled trial to try and show the effectiveness of our intervention, but at the same time, and with our shared savings models, as well, we're trying to save money, not only -- of course, our main focus is increasing -- improving the health of people with complex social situations, but at the same time, if that reduces their utilization, we do

work	with	а Ме	edicai	id po	pulat	cior	n. And	so th	ne	
reimb	oursen	nent	rate	for	that	is	lower,	from	what	I
under	stanc	d.								

And so by reducing their utilization and connecting them back with the primary care, it's cutting down on a lot of costs for things. And so we try to look at it from a payer perspective, from an institution perspective, and then also from the overall health of the customers perspective. Sorry, in this case I was meaning the customers as the patients that we work with.

Sorry, what was the other part of the question?

DR. MANN: (Off microphone) can you sell on an ROI basis -- really good for me --

MR. WEINMAN: Yeah. And to the point that

I mentioned about the patient stories is sometimes a

lot of our sales tactic is it's really good for

people, please give us some money, we're making a big

impact. But at the same time, when we do show -- a

lot of what we do when we take out with our pitches

is show like -- we haven't established a true ROI

yet, but what we do show is we had this person with this many hospitalizations that were costing the institutions this much money. After our intervention, you know, they've only been back to the emergency department twice, whereas they were going every other week before, just to show how that savings has affected across all the people in the ecosystem.

DR. THOMAS: This is going to be an ongoing tension, David. I think you should keep asking the question, because fundamentally it's going to come down to is what we do a market and operate like a market and therefore sometimes face the kind of false question of return on investment.

Some of this, as our colleagues in other parts of the world have already figured out, is the common good. It's what it means to live in a modern society. And we're going to continue to have to play around that before we figure it out, but it's clearly both, which is why I'm so impressed by the fact that some of you, your organizations have core values that sound like some of our faith-based core values, and

yet you're in this world of ROI.

So I think you're going to have to make sure that the voices of those citizens really get lifted up at the end of the day, because you may not have the metric in four years to prove these things.

And I think that's simply part of the tension here.

Yes, ma'am?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, I thought --

9 DR. MAYS: Oh, I was just going to add kind 10 of the --

11 DR. THOMAS: No, please.

DR. MAYS: Reflect on that. I agree. I think a lot of our work shows these are public goods, and so there's an important role for thinking about public financing for these kind of activities as well. And I think for a good public financing model to work, you want to first of all make sure you've got a strong governance model in place to make sure the decision making around how those resources flow is well made and transparent.

And you also want to make sure you can articulate clearly how much it costs to implement

your activity, so how much money you need, and very specifically what the public is getting from those investments, what specific activities are being delivered. And in the arrangements that we studied around the country, those core elements have been very important to launch successful public financing mechanisms, to get legislators and others willing to invest.

DR. THOMAS: So no one wants to hear the T word, the tax word. And, you know, whether financing it through sugar tax or other kind of things, but that's the other kind of side to this equation. And then there's this effort to say, David, that you build it back into your rate benefits and your bonuses. You should be able to follow the money and see where it goes. You should be able to.

But be mindful, there are unintended consequences. Your emergency medical operation, they make their case on how many runs they make. Now, we need a budget to cover the costs. These are all the 911 calls we're doing, and now you guys are out there reducing 911 calls. Do you know that that might have

1 | a ripple effect on your emergency medical

- 2 | infrastructure? These are unintended consequences.
- 3 We need to monitor those, because out of that group
- 4 might be voices opposing you because we're not making
- 5 the shift totally all together at the same time.
- 6 We're on the right track, but we've got some real
- 7 bumps along the way.

8

Yes, ma'am?

DR. ALPEROVITZ-BICHELL: Hi, I'm Kari

10 Alperovitz-Bichell. I'm the other physician at the

11 Morris Blum Clinic here in Annapolis. And my

12 question is for David, and it's a very practical

13 question. There were all those little boxes and

14 | flowcharts, and I would like to read what the details

15 of those are and like maybe with some paragraphs and

16 stuff like that. Is there a particular place, a

17 particular article or something to read about what

18 you actually do?

19 MR. WEINMAN: I don't know. If I can get

20 your contact information, I'll be sure to get it to

21 you. I can actually share those flowcharts with you

22 and all of the work that we did to build them, just

1 how our intervention works. We like to be open sources at the Coalition, and any works that we've 2 3 done and information that we've put together, we're 4 happy to share with anyone, anytime. And so if you 5 want to stop afterwards and get your contact 6 information, I'll be glad to find articles that we've 7 put out or actually just share the workflows with you 8 so that you can see what our intervention looks like. 9 DR. ALPEROVITZ-BICHELL: Yeah, I'm 10 interested in the paragraphs too. 11 MR. WEINMAN: Sure. 12 DR. THOMAS: And you're also hearing the 13 terms "workflow," "open source." This is language 14

terms "workflow," "open source." This is language coming out of the software industry and design thinking, and I think that's probably the new thing for you HEZs to be incorporating. This whole notion of design thinking could be a new way in which we come up with innovative solutions.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. SHIRD: I just wanted to interject.

Vern Shird, DHMH. All of the attendees here will get an e-mail of the different presentations so that they will have them for their records and they can review

at their leisure.

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

DR. THOMAS: Yes. Yes, ma'am?

3 MS. SAMMONS HACKETT: Well, I guess I get 4 the closing question or remark. Doreleena Sammons 5 Hackett, Directors of Health Promotion and Education. 6 Good to see you again, Dr. Mays. I really wanted to 7 say, first of all, I really appreciate the presentation because "Show Me the Money" could have 8 been the subtitle, and that's what a lot of the 9 10 people in this room needed to hear. I was the chronic disease director for the State of New Jersey 11 12 for 23 years. And so seeing the Camden Coalition, 13 I'm saying, really? And how long have you been

And hint for all of you: Anything you heard about money, write it down. Read my lips; write it down, because these are important things to help you to be able to grow and to move and to tap resources that you might not have tapped previously, might have been afraid to tap previously, or might not have had the data to show you how to tap it. So

around? And thank you for coming to Maryland now and

sharing your information.

you've gotten a whole lot of hints throughout this
day that I'm just amazed at and I really do
appreciate. And documentation is a lot of what's

been shown today as well.

Return on the investment, you know, I've heard that up my wazoo. And a lot of times you get disheartened for return on the investment. The return on my investment is somebody not losing a foot to diabetic amputation, not losing an eye to diabetic retinopathy, somebody not, you know, having to have a, you know, triple or quadruple bypass. You know, those are the return on the investment to people who are working in the communities, but actually melting together and showing them, okay, this is what you have to say, this is what you have to do to get the money, sustain the money and get it beyond what you're currently funding it for.

That's just my comments of appreciation.

And some of you all who haven't been in health 36

years, those are things that you really need to catch
onto quickly as the train is rolling.

DR. THOMAS: And indeed the train is

1	rolling. I'm going to have our speakers have the
2	last word, if there is a take-home message that you
3	want to leave here in this great state of Maryland
4	based on what you've heard today and the insights
5	that you offer. Let's start at the end of the table
6	and move this way. What's the take-home?
7	DR. MAYS: Yeah, I guess one take-home is
8	to and there's a lot focus on looking to these
9	short-term benefits and a lot of pressure, and
10	there's good reasons for that, but I guess I would
11	say don't lose sight of the long-term, much larger
12	health and also economic benefits that can flow from
13	these kind of collaborative endeavors. They're
14	tougher to galvanize support for, but they're but
15	the cumulative effects of these activities over time
16	really can be very dramatic.

MR. WEINMAN: And I would echo that as well, but also just say, sitting through the panels this morning and hearing about what great work everyone's doing, I know it can be frustrating and there is a lot of asking where the money is going to come from, but to not lose faith and remember that

17

18

19

20

21

22

the work that we're doing is great. And people are more and more, as the healthcare systems and the ACA is in the news more and more every day, people are looking for those improved outcomes and also cost savings across the organizations. So don't lose heart; people are very interested in this, and we're doing great work. And kudos to you all, I guess, is my take-away.

DR. THOMAS: Yes, sir?

MR. PRATT: My last thing is I don't want people to come away from some of the exchange we just had thinking there's something inherently wrong with altruism, with trying to make the case that the reason to invest in something is because it's good and meaningful. But what I would say is this: The important thing is then to answer the question so who out there feels altruistic? Show me the evidence that that body exists.

So I have one client in Boston called Rosie's Place, which is a sanctuary for poor and homeless women. They get 35,000 people a year to write checks for about 50 bucks each. And that's

1	fantastic. It's also a total outlier, but I mean,
2	that's altruism. That's why those people are writing
3	those \$50 checks.
4	But, you know, what I would be looking at
5	here is not, oh, there is this body of individuals
6	who exist out there who are altruistic. What I'd
7	look at is show me the evidence there's a body of
8	individuals who want to give to this, to this kind of
9	work. Show me a pattern out there that would do
10	that. That's what market analysis is. But we're not
11	going to give up the ghost on altruism as we look at
12	this. We're going to look at both that along with
13	the ROI stuff.
14	DR. THOMAS: Oh, I can't wait to read your
15	final reports. This is going to be great.
16	Let's give our speakers a hand, will you?
17	(Applause.)
18	DR. THOMAS: Thank you, gentlemen. Thank
19	you very, very much. And let me call Dr. Spencer up
20	to close us out here.
21	What a wonderful meeting. I am so proud to
22	be here and to say that Maryland call myself a

1 Marylander. 2 (Applause.) 3 DR. THOMAS: And listen, again, Dr. Mann, 4 you were in the room where it happened, okay? Who 5 could have imagined we would be here right now? do not lose faith, do not lose hope. You're on the 6 7 right track, and the nation is watching. So I'm going to let Michelle close us out. 8 9 MS. SPENCER: So really, really quickly, 10 can we just give a huge round of applause to Dr. Thomas for just being so incredibly awesome this 11 12 afternoon, all day. 13 (Applause.) 14 MS. SPENCER: And then a huge round of 15 applause for yourself, to yourselves for your passion 16 and your commitment and your dedication and for just 17 moving this work forward. I am in awe of each of 18 you, and I am so impressed and I feel so great about 19 this day, and certainly we couldn't be here without 20 your leadership and your commitment. So kudos to you

(Applause.)

21

22

Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St. Claire Road Annapolis, MD 21409 (410) 974-0947

and keep the good work going. Take care.

1		(Whereupon,	, at	4:10	p.m.,	the	meeting	was
2	adjourned	.)						
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
		Free S	tate	Repor	rting,	Inc.		

1	CERTIFICATE
2	This is to certify that the attached proceedings
3	in the matter of:
4	HEALTH ENTERPRISE ZONES SUMMIT:
5	SUSTAINING SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH PROGRAMS
6	November 3, 2016
7	Annapolis, Maryland
8	were held as herein appears, and that this is the
9	original transcription thereof for the files of the
10	Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene.
11	
12	
13	
14	1 on Bow
15	TOM BOWMAN
16	Official Reporter
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
	Free State Reporting, Inc. 1378 Cape St Claire Road