

Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene Virtual Data Unit Report (September 2012)

Data Use Notice: Methodological Changes in the 2011 Maryland Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System

Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)

The Maryland Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), is a is a statewide telephone survey that tracks prevalence and trends over time for many health variables. All states, the District of Columbia, and three territories collect BRFSS data. Maryland annually collects approximately 12,000 interviews and includes a Spanish version and an asthma call-back survey. BRFSS is one of the main sources of health data for policymakers and health programs in Maryland and is available through a public, online database: http://www.marylandbrfss.org.

BRFSS Methodology Changes

Sampling: A subset of the population is surveyed to estimate characteristics of the entire population. Previous to 2011, BRFSS only surveyed households with a landline telephone. CDC determined that cellular phone-only households should be included in the survey to account for the increasing proportion of cellular phone-only households.

Weighting: When the data collected from survey respondents are adjusted to more accurately represent the Maryland population, the resulting data are called weighted data. Weighted data makes the sample more representative of the total population and reduces sampling bias. As displayed in Table 1, BRFSS data previous to 2011 was weighted on age, race, and gender using a technique called poststratification. Beginning with 2011 data, BRFSS is weighted on age, race, gender, education, home ownership, marital status, and phone source (landline and cellular) using a technique called raking. For in-depth information from CDC go to: http://www.cdc.gov/surveillancepractice/reports/brfss/brfss.html.

Data Years	Technique	Weighted by:						
≤ 2010	Poststratification	Age Race Gender						
≥ 2011	Raking	Age Race Gender Home Ownership Education Marital Status Phone Source						

Table 1: BRFSS Weighting Methodology

All states, the District of Columbia, and three territories that collect BRFSS data have made these weighting changes. Since all states are equally affected by the change, state rankings of health variables will continue to be accurate and comparable.

How does all of this affect BRFSS users? The new weighting methodology has included more demographic variables to the Maryland BRFSS and has made the sample estimates more representative of the state's population.

IMPORTANT: 2011 BRFSS data should not be compared or aggregated to previous years of data, since the rates for the previous years are based on the old methodology. The 2011 and 2012 data can be combined and compared once the 2012 BRFSS data is available next year. Until then, BRFSS users will need to use the 2011 data alone or use data previous to 2011 to examine data time trends and aggregate multiple years of data.

Comparison of Old and New Methodology for 2011 Data

An analysis was conducted to compare the old weighting methodology with the new weighting methodology for the Maryland 2011 BRFSS data (Table 2). Only a small portion of the variables showed a statistically significant change.

As expected many of these significant differences occurred with demographic variables, most likely a result of the inclusion of the new weighting elements and cell phoneonly household interviews.

For the demographic variables, the new methodology significantly decreased the percent of 64 to 74 year old adults, White Non-Hispanics, college graduates, married individuals, households with an income over \$75,000, and home owners in the sample; and increased the percent of Black Non-Hispanics, Hispanics, individuals with less than a high school education, unmarried individuals, households with an income less than \$15,000 and \$15-\$24,999, the unemployed, and home renters in the sample.

For health variables, the new methodology reveals significantly fewer individuals with health insurance coverage, more individuals who could not afford to see a doctor in the past year, more current smokers, and more individuals who had been tested for HIV in their lifetime.

Table 3 (next page) compares the 2010 old weighting methodology prevalence to the 2011 new weighting methodology prevalence on a selection of BRFSS variables to allow an assessment of how these rates have changed due to the new weighting methodology. The comparison demonstrates the difference in disease and risk factor prevalence between the old and new methodologies; however, it cannot be determined if the difference is due to the methodology change or a change in the

Table 2: Maryland 2011 BRFSS Variables^a with Statistically Significant Differences between the Old and New Methodology

Direction of Change

Significant Differences between the Old and New Methodology								
BRFSS Variable	Direction of Change from Old to New Methodology							
Age Grouped in Ten-Year Categories 18 – 24 25 – 34 35 – 44 45 – 54 55 – 64 65 – 74 75+	 Decrease							
Race White, Non-Hispanic Black, Non-Hispanic Other Race Only, Non-Hispanic Multi-Race, Non-Hispanic Hispanic	Decrease Increase Increase							
Education Less than High School High School Graduate or GED Some College or Tech School College Graduate	Increase Decrease							
Marital Status Married Unmarried	Decrease Increase							
Household Income Less than \$15,000 \$15-24,999 \$25-49,999 \$50-74,999 Greater than \$75,000	Increase Increase Decrease							
Employment Status Employed Not Employed Student/Homemaker Retired/Unable to Work	Increase							
Home Ownership Status Own Rent Other Arrangement	Decrease Increase							
Have Health Insurance Coverage? Yes No	Decrease Increase							
Could Not Afford to See a Doctor in the Past Year? Yes No	Increase Decrease							
Current Smoker? Yes No	Increase Decrease							
Have You Ever Been Tested for HIV? Yes No	Increase Decrease							

^aVariable categories that had no significant differences are displayed as --.

Comparison of 2010 and 2011 Data

Table 3: Maryland BRFSS 2010 and 2011 Prevalence Comparison^a

BRFSS Variable	OLD WEIGHT ^b - 2010			NEW WEIGHT° - 2011				% D:00 g	
	%	n ^d	N ^e	CI ^f	%	n	N	CI	Difference ^g (2011-2010)
Current Smoking	15.2	1281	655586	14.3 - 16.1	19.1	1477	840489	18.2 - 20.0	3.90
General Health									
Excellent	22.5	1879	973436	21.5 - 23.6	21.9	2055	965965	20.9 - 22.9	-0.60
Very Good	34.3	3131	1477494	33.1 - 35.5	33.9	3479	1494781	32.8 - 35.0	-0.40
Good	30.1	2677	1298678	29.0 - 31.3	30.1	3020	1328128	29.0 - 31.2	0.00
Fair	10.0	1089	431177	9.3 - 10.8	11.1	1151	490655	10.4 - 11.9	1.10
Poor	3.0	388	130251	2.6 - 3.4	3.1	385	135835	2.7 - 3.5	0.10
Poor Health									
None	80.8	7259	3429423	79.8 - 81.8	79.5	8014	3472399	78.5 - 80.5	-1.30
1-2 days	7.2	534	304548	6.6 - 7.9	6.0	575	262744	5.4 - 6.6	-1.20
3-7 days	4.9	460	207986	4.4 - 5.5	5.7	528	246705	5.1 - 6.3	0.80
8-29 days	4.4	446	184739	3.9 - 4.9	5.4	501	236671	4.9 - 5.9	1.00
30 days	2.8	307	119160	2.4 - 3.2	3.4	354	147766	3.0 - 3.8	0.60
Adult Asthma	12.4	1120	535356	11.6 - 13.2	13.8	1174	610259	13.0 - 15.0	1.40
Child Asthma	16.4	362	216002	14.6 - 18.2	12.6	352	168878	11.0 - 12.2	-3.80
Health Coverage	10.9	667	467854	10.1 - 11.7	13.0	777	573191	12.2 - 13.8	2.10
Had a Flu Shot Past Yr-Adults	43.0	4485	1812946	41.8 - 44.3	41.0	4568	1704015	39.8 - 42.2	-2.00
Ever Had a Heart Attack	3.7	485	157342	3.2 - 4.2	4.2	518	183027	3.7 - 4.7	0.50
Ever Had a Stroke	2.5	335	107661	2.1 - 2.9	2.6	357	114517	2.2 - 3.0	0.10
Had a Pneumonia Shot-Adults	27.4	2822	1046964	26.2 - 28.6	30.4	3207	1124329	29.2 - 31.6	3.00
Had a Flu Shot Past Yr-Children	53.7	1195	670689	41.8 - 44.3	57.6	1311	728040	40.0 - 44.8	3.90
Last 12 Month Could Not Afford Health Coverage	12.0	830	518508	11.2 - 12.8	13.1	935	578569	12.3 - 13.9	1.10
Told You Have Diabetes?	9.4	1123	400679	8.7 - 10.1	9.6	1213	417895	8.9 - 10.3	0.20
Binge Drinking	14.6	944	609610	13.7 - 15.5	18.0	1163	732152	17.1 - 19.0	3.40
Chronic Drinking	4.5	388	187166	4.0 - 5.0	6.2	501	252498	5.6 - 6.8	1.70
Activities Limited Due to Physical, Mental, or Emotional Problems?	18.4	2118	791165	17.4 - 19.4	21.0	2443	880298	20.0 - 22.0	2.60

^aAll variables above (besides those with listed categories) are 'Yes' responses. ^bWeight used until 2010: includes age, race, gender, and only landline interviews.

In conclusion, there are significant demographic changes related to the new methodology for the 2011 BRFSS data. As a result of these changes, 2011 BRFSS data should not be compared or aggregated to previous years of data.

New weight from 2011: includes age, race, gender, home ownership, education, marital status, and landline and cellular phone interviews. Number of Maryland residents who took the survey.

^eWeighted estimate for the Maryland Population.

⁶Confidence interval at the 95% probability.
⁸Difference in the prevalence values between 2011 and 2010.